

Questions

(x) Tier 5-E: 2976 Percival; 2385 Fournel; 1976 Meeker (Kingston Pen.). Tier 5-F: 2321 Olsen; 2762 Oaks (Sask. Pen.); 2510 Kovacs; 2603 Beeds; 2406 Beaulieu; 1498 Steele (Sask. Pen.). Tier 5-G: 2895 Sayer; 2509 Whalen; 2627 Paquette; 700 Peebles. Tier 5-H: 2407 Surrette; 2837 Roberts; 2439 Ducharme; 2671 Bernard; 2938 Colpitts; 3027 Pelton; 2679 Lamarche; 3028 Penner.

(xi) This inmate was pronounced dead by the penitentiary physician at 1325 hours, June 26, 1966.

(xii) 1250 hours, June 26, 1966.

(xiii) A Penitentiary Service Board of Inquiry conducted in this case made the following findings:

1. That Inmate 2242 Hunt, E. E. committed suicide by hanging himself with a shoelace, tied and suspended from the towel bar on the toilet fixture in cell 5-F3 of S.C.U. at the British Columbia Penitentiary, between 1115 and 1250 hours on June 26th, 1966.

2. That no blame should be attached to the Penitentiary Service or its officers.

3. That staff members were vigilant at all times and did all they could, under the circumstances.

The jury empanelled to inquire into the circumstances touching the death of Edward Elroy Hunt, an inmate of the British Columbia Penitentiary, made the following findings:

That the said deceased, Edward Elroy Hunt was declared dead at 1.25 p.m. on the 26th day of June AD 1966 in the hospital ward of the British Columbia Penitentiary in the city of New Westminster, county of Westminster, province of British Columbia from asphyxia due to strangulation which occurred in the Segregation Unit of the British Columbia Penitentiary in the said city on the date first mentioned.

We the Jury find that the death of Edward Elroy Hunt was due to suicide.

We the Jury find that the staff on duty in the segregation unit of the British Columbia Penitentiary at the time of death of Edward Elroy Hunt carried out their duties in full and find no blame attached.

(xiv) The autopsy revealed the cause of death to be suicide by hanging causing asphyxia.

(xv) In committing suicide the inmate used a shoelace tied to a towel rack. The towel rack is attached to the sink and is 30 inches above the ground.

OLD AGE SECURITY—REDUCTION OF ALLOWANCES

Question No. 2,190—**Mrs. MacInnis (Vancouver-Kingsway):**

1. Does the government pay any pensions and/or allowances which are reduced when the recipient or spouse is in receipt of the Old Age Security Pension?

2. If so (a) what are these pensions and/or allowances (b) what are the regulations in each case?

Hon. Judy V. LaMarsh (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Department of Veterans Affairs as follows:

1. Yes.

2. (a) Certain pensions under the Pension Act, certain pensions under the Civilian War Pensions and Allowances Act, war veterans allowances, civilian war allowances. (b) The governing authorities are: (i) pensions under the Pension Act, sections 5(4), 14(2), 25, 26(1) (a), 33(3),(4), 36(5),(6), 38, 39, and 45(2) of the act as amended. (ii) pensions under the Civilian War Pensions and Allowances Act, section 60 of Part X of the act (iii) war veterans allowances, section 3(2) and section 6 of the War Veterans Allowance Act, 1952 as amended; section 10 of the War Veterans Allowance Regulations. (iv) civilian war allowances section 6 of the War Veterans Allowance Act, 1952 as amended which applies mutatis mutandis to these allowances; section 65(2) of the Civilian War Pensions and Allowances Act as amended; section 8 of the Civilian War Allowances Regulations.

NOVA SCOTIA—ASSISTANCE UNDER INCENTIVES PROGRAM

Question No. 2,197—**Mr. Forrestall:**

1. How many firms have been assisted in Nova Scotia under the Area Incentives Program?

2. Where in Nova Scotia are these firms located?

3. What are the names of these firms?

4. To what dollar extent has each been assisted?

Hon. C. M. Drury (Minister of Industry): 1 and 2. As of October 31, 1966, 39 firms had declared their intentions of establishing or expanding manufacturing or processing operations in the designated areas of Nova Scotia in order to qualify for the benefits of the Area Development Incentives Act or Section 71A of the Income Tax Act, and have received provisional letters of assurance concerning eligibility. Another 36 applications for benefits are being processed. The 75 projects are distributed as follows: Amherst 5, Bridgewater 5, Kentville 13, Liverpool 4, New Glasgow 14, Springhill 2, Sydney 5, Sydney Mines 1, Truro 8, Yarmouth 18.

3. It is not in the public interest to divulge the names of the businesses which may benefit from the provisions of Section 71A of the Income Tax Act and the Area Development Incentives Act. However, 21 businesses which have received letters of assurance have announced publicly their intentions to establish or have given the Department of