

Questions

GROSSE ÎLE QUARANTINE STATION

Question No. 1,207—**Mr. Berger:**

1. What was the total cost of the quarantine station for animals at Grosse Île, Quebec, including architects' and engineers' fees, materials and labour?

2. Were Charolais cattle kept this winter at Grosse Île for experiment and, if so (a) how many head (b) is this the maximum number possible and provided for (c) what is the total value of the said Charolais cattle (d) what was the cost of the quarantine operation with regard to transportation, feeding, salaries, maintenance, etc. (e) how many persons were directly or indirectly employed for this purpose and how were they hired (f) how will the said Charolais cattle be distributed among the breeders who have applied for them, according to province, when the quarantine period is over?

3. Is an increase in activity expected at Grosse Île during the 1966-67 season?

4. Is it the intention to offer again this year, the summer courses provided for American and Canadian veterinarians during the last few years?

Hon. Judy V. LaMarsh (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Departments of Public Works and Agriculture as follows:

1. Total cost to date including architect's and engineer's fees, material and labour, \$565,804.90.

2. Charolais cattle were kept at Grosse Île during the past winter as part of a quarantine procedure. These cattle were the property of the importers who selected and purchased them in the country of origin. (a) 113; (b) Yes, of the age and size in this importation; (c) Not known as they are the property of the importers; (d) Importers bore the cost of transportation. The total cost of feeding, salaries and maintenance is not yet known and will be borne by the importers; (e) four animal attendants were provided by the importers. In addition, a departmental veterinarian was in charge of the quarantine procedures; (f) By provinces, the cattle will be released, at the termination of the quarantine, to the holders of import permits as follows: British Columbia, 4; Alberta, 50; Saskatchewan, 28; Manitoba, 6; Ontario, 14; Quebec, 11.

3. No.

4. This has not yet been decided.

*PROSECUTION OF PENCIL MANUFACTURERS

Question No. 1,228—**Mr. Orlikov:**

Did the government charge and prosecute a number of companies that make pencils and, if so (a) what were the names of the companies (b) have the trials of these companies taken place (c) were the companies found guilty and, if so, what were the penalties imposed by the court (d) what were the costs to the government of investigating and transcribing the above cases, exclusive of the salaries of permanent government employees, but including fees paid to lawyers, court reporters, etc., and travelling expenses for officers of the Department of Justice, cost of transcripts, etc.?

Hon. Guy Favreau (President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, the answer to the first question is yes. The answers to the subquestions are as follows: (a) Eagle Pencil Company of Canada Limited; Venus Pencil Company Limited; Dixon Pencil Company Limited; Eberhard Faber (Canada) Limited. (b) Yes; (c) Yes. A total fine of \$16,000 was imposed, apportioned as follows: Eagle Pencil Company of Canada Limited, \$8,000; Venus Pencil Company Limited, \$4,000; Dixon Pencil Company Limited, \$2,000; Eberhard Faber (Canada) Limited, \$2,000; (d) \$14,959.66. A prohibition order was granted against each of the above companies.

ARDA PROJECTS, CUMBERLAND COUNTY, N.S.

Question No. 1,238—**Mr. Coates:**

1. Have any projects been approved under ARDA in Cumberland county since April 8, 1963, and, if so (a) what are they (b) what was the objective of each (c) what was the cost of each?

2. Are any projects before the government under ARDA pending approval for Cumberland county and, if so, how many?

3. Were all of the above projects proposed by the provincial government and, if not, what projects, if any, were initiated by the federal government?

Hon. Maurice Sauvé (Minister of Forestry): 1. See following list. (a) Answered by 1. (b) Answered by 1. (c) Answered by 1.

2. No.

3. The above projects were initiated by the province and approved by Canada as a joint federal-provincial project.