- 2. If so (a) what persons or firms tendered (b) what was the amount of each tender?
- 3. What action was taken by the Post Office Department in respect of these tenders and what was the reason for such action?
- 4. Were tenders again called for these services on or about November 9, 1966?
- 5. If so (a) what persons or firms tendered (b) what was the amount of each tender?
- 6. What action was taken by the Post Office Department in respect of these tenders?
- 7. What were the terms of the contract awarded as a result of these tenders, and on what date did it become effective and for what period of time?
- 8. Has there been any renegotiation or amendment of the terms of the contract so awarded?
- 9. If so, what changes, precisely described, have been made and, in respect of each change, on what date did it become effective?
 - 10. Are wage differentials now being paid in respect of this contract?
- 11. If so (a) was there any provision for such payment in the original contract (b) what is the amount per hour of such differential (c) in each month, since the award of the contract, what total amount was paid to the contractor in respect of such wage differential?
 - 12. Are all hours between runs and collections now being paid for?
- 13. If so (a) was there any provision for such payment in the original contract (b) what is the basis of calculation of the total hours (c) in each month since the award of the contract, what additional amount has been paid to the contractor as a result of this basis of calculation?
- 14. Is there now an arrangement with the contractor whereby additional allowances are made for one clearance or stop at a given Sub-Post Office, enabling the contractor to double or triple the charges permitted by the contract?
- 15. If so (a) when did such additional allowances become effective (b) what additional amount has been paid to the contractor as a result thereof?
- 16. Under the provisions of the contract as now in effect and operation with such amendments, alterations, or additional charges as may have been permitted, what is the present actual unit cost to the Post Office Department for each item as compared with the tender originally submitted by the contractor for each item?
- Mr. Béchard, Parliamentary Secretary to the Secretary of State, presented, —Returns to the foregoing Orders.

Notices of Motions for the Production of Papers Nos. 5, 30 and 40 were allowed to stand at the request of the government.

Resolved,—That an humble Address be presented to His Excellency praying that he will cause to be laid before this House a copy of all correspondence, memoranda, briefs or other documents exchanged since the first day of January,