

plantar reflex after an attack of convulsions, nevertheless concludes that the attack has been hysterical and not epileptic.

That true epilepsy may occur in a person otherwise hysterical, and that an epileptic attack may be followed by an hysterical state, are facts too well recognized to call for more than passing notice; but it is difficult to refrain from a desire to have the opportunity of observing the attack from its inception to its conclusion, before accepting the statement that hysteria was alone responsible for the convulsions which permitted the extensor type of plantar reflex to be elicited in the subject of the fit.

Abolition of the knee-jerks, followed by their exaggeration, coupled with ankle clonus, and supported by the extensor type of plantar reflex, form a combination which we have good reason to agree must be aids to the diagnosis of genuine epilepsy, as contrasted with either hysteria or malingering.

It is equally difficult to accept the opinion of the observer who asserts that the paralysis from which the patient suffered was hysterical, and yet the plantar reflex was of the extensor type, especially when he has no better proof to offer than that the patient got quite well, and that this phenomenon, like all the other abnormal signs, disappeared.

The names of such distinguished authorities are associated with statements of this kind, that the only way which seems possible to reconcile their views with one's own experience is to suppose that certain types of disseminate sclerosis, so common with us in England, must be rare in other countries, so that the vagaries of these varieties of the malady so much insisted on by Dr. Thomas Buzzard in his writings on the subject, have not as yet been recognized by observers who are mistaking for hysteria cases that are in reality examples of disseminate sclerosis. That this is so in some instances is evident even from the information given of the clinical history of the patient's illness. The remarkable way in which the clinical picture may clear up in a case of this disease after the most pronounced signs of organic change have been determined, makes it difficult to believe otherwise than that there is a time in the course of the malady when the lesion is of a kind that permits not only of restitution of function, but also of repair of structure, so that the nervous system is not only able to perform its work again in a normal manner, but is also free from any evidence of persisting structural damage.

These considerations open up a most interesting question that I dare not do more than touch on in connection with the diagnosis of neurasthenia. May not a functional condition of the kind oc-