prafessors. Byron, indeed, auathematised it as "the destructive art of hraling," and when writing to a friend the details of a fever from which he had suffered, he tells hinn, "I got well by the hlessings of barley water, and refusing to see my physician :"-Genlemen do you blink that all these great men were inferier iu observation and reflection, to the herd of doctors and aputhecaries wha swarai in these times?

But so completely at variance with each other are even the grentest medical authorities nn every subject in medicine. that I do not know a single disease in which yon will find any two of them agreeing. 'Iake ihe sabject of Pulannary Consumption, for example; "The celebrated Stahl altributed the fruquency of consumption to the introduotion of the Peravian bark. Tine equally celebrated Morton considered the bark anetiectual cure. Reid ascribed its frequency to the use of mercury. Brillonet asserts that it ia only curable by this mineral. Rushsays, that consumption ia an infammatory disease, and shonld be tredted by bleeding, purging, cooling wedicines and starvation. With a greater show of reason, Salvadori maintained the disease to be one af debility, and that it shomblde treated by tonios, stimblating remedies, and a generons diet. Gilen, among the ancients, reconmended vinegar as the best preventive of conanmption. Dessinit, and other modern writers, assert that cousumption is oftell brollght on by a common practice of young people taking vinegar to prevent their getting fat. Dr. Beddoes recanmended foxglove as a specific in consmmption. Dr. Parr with equal confidonce, declared that he found toxglove more injurions in his practice than benefical! Now, what are we to infier from all this? Not, as some of you might be tempted to believe. that the science is deceptive or incomprehensible thronghont, but that its professors so this very hour have neglected to make themselves ncquainted with the true principles upon which remedies act, and know as litle of the trne nature of the disease whose treatment they so confidently undertake. And what is the daily, the hourly result of this tarrible ignorance and uncertainty ? In the words of Frank, "thousands are slaughtered in the quiel sick room." " Governments," continues the same physician, "should at once either banish modical inen and their act, or they should take proper means that the lives of people niay be safer than at present, when they took far less after the pructice of thix dangerous profersion, and the murders committed in it, than after the lowext irade."
"If false facte," anys Lord Bacon, " be once on foot, what throngh neglect of examination. the countenance of antiquity, and the use made of them in discourse, they are scarce ever retructed." The the fate professor Gresory ueed often to declare in his clasb-room,
that ninety-nine out of a hundred medical fact were ao many medical lies, and that medical doctrines were for the most part liule beitar Hisn stark-staring nonsense;-and this, Genlemen, we diall have some anusement in proving to you. In the luean time, wo may observe, that nothing ean more clearly explain the difficultes which beset the stadent of physie - Cor who can underatand nanmenie, and, when clothed in plirases which now admit one sense, now moller, what so difticult to refute? "Nothing," siys Sir Humplirey Davy, " hal so mach checked the progress of philosophy, as the confidence of teachers in delivering dogmas as truths, which it wonld be nresumpthons to questron. It was this spirit which. for more than ten centuries, made the crude physics of Aristotle the matural philosaphy of The whole of Curope. It was thes spirit whick produced the inpaisamment af the elder Bacon and the reannation of Galilea. It is this spirit, notwithstanding the example of the second Bacou assisted by his reproof, his genins, and his influence, which has, even in later tinpes. attached men ta imaginary systems,-10 mer* abstracted combiaations or words, rather thap to the visible and lizing world; and which hat often indnced them to delight more in brillians dreans than in heautiful and grand realilies."

Imposed upon by these abarracted combinations of words, we find it difficult in divest ourselves of the erroneous and mystica! distinctions by which our teachers have too olitey endenvoured to conceal their own ignorances -for in the "physical soiences," I ngain quote Sir Humphry Davg, "there are much greater obstac:les in overonming old errorb, than in discovering new truthe-the mind in the first case being fettered; in the laat pero fecily free in its progress." "To" say that any clase of opinions ahall not be impunged - Hat their truth shall not be called in queb tion, is at once to declare that these opinion are infalible, ard that their autbors cannot err. What can be more egregiously ahsurd and presumptuous? It is fixing bounde ta human knowledge, and saying mon cannot learn by experience-that they can never be wiser in fiture than they are to day. Tbe vanity and foliy of this is sufficiently evinced by the histury of religion and philosophys Great changes have taken place in both, and what our ancestors considered indiaputable truths, posierity discovared to be gross errort Ta conlinue the work of improvement, dogmas, however prausible, ought to be pro lecied from inveatigation."
In the early bistory of every poople, we find the priest exercising the functions of the physican. Lnoking upon the throes of disease s the workings of devils, his resource wal prayer and exorcism; the maniac and ohilept tio were termed by him demoniacs, and whost a cure was accomplished, the demon mity said to be cast out. Even now, the traces
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