These expressions for  $p_4$  and  $p_5$  furnish the criterion of solvability for the quintic

$$x^{5} + p_{4}x + p_{5} = 0. (41)$$

117

The root of the equation is

$$x = \theta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha \theta^{\frac{2}{3}} + \lambda \alpha^{3} \theta^{\frac{1}{3}} - \lambda \alpha^{3} \theta^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

where  $\lambda$  is a root of the quartic equation

$$\lambda^4 - m\lambda^3 - 6\lambda^3 + m\lambda + 1 = 0,$$

and

$$\alpha = -\frac{\lambda^2 + 1}{n\lambda(\lambda - 1)},$$

and

hod is

, from ent to

(39)

al, the

re the

(40)

$$\alpha = -\frac{\lambda^2 + 1}{n\lambda(\lambda - 1)},$$

$$\theta = -\frac{n^5\lambda(\lambda - 1)^2}{(16 + m^2)(\lambda + 1)(\lambda^2 + 1)}.$$

In the same issue of the Journal in which these results were established, Mr. J. C. Glashan of Ottawa, in "Notes on the Quintic," gave the relations between the coefficients of the solvable quintic

$$x^{5} + p_{5}x^{5} + p_{3}x^{2} + p_{4}x + p_{5} = 0,$$

and, in his wider formulæ, the forms of  $p_4$  and  $p_5$  in (40) are included. They were subsequently announced by Mr. Emory McClintock, who had discovered them independently. It is to be regretted that Mr. Glashan has not made public the method by which his conclusions were reached.

§25. From our present position the criterion of solvability of the quintie (41) can be at once deduced, and the solution of the equation effected more readily than by the process employed in the article of the Journal just referred  $m = \frac{4A}{y}$  and n = 2t; to. For, put

then the first of the equations (9) may be written

$$p_4 = 5y(3-m). (42)$$

Also, by the second of equations (9),

$$p_5 = -4B + 40ty. (43)$$

But, from the first of equations (8), B = -t(y + 2A). Therefore

$$p_5 = 44ty + 8At = 2ty(22 + m).$$
 (44)

And, by (15), in connection with (14),

$$t^{4}y - y^{2} = A^{2} = \frac{m^{2}y^{2}}{16},$$
  

$$\therefore y = \frac{16t^{4}}{16 + m^{2}} = \frac{n^{4}}{16 + m^{2}}.$$