

THE DREAD MEASURE

Will the Government Drop the Hot Potato of Remedial Legislation? And Seek Refuge in Dissolution, or Will There be a Compromise Effected?

Ottawa, March 26.—Unless the commissioners to Winnipeg succeed in securing such a satisfactory settlement of the school question as will justify the government in dropping the remedial bill, there seems to be but two alternatives, to go right on with the bill until the 24th of April or dissolve within a fortnight, or as soon as it appears beyond the possibility of a doubt that the committee cannot get beyond the committee stage. Not a few are inclined to believe that dissolution will be the course adopted, for, if the bill cannot be dropped, no other business will be taken up, and there would be no reason to prolong the session until the life of parliament expires. The leaders of both parties are anxious that a compromise should be effected, and will rejoice if the commissioners bring back the report that the minority will receive redress at the hands of the Manitoba legislature.

The elections will take place on or about the 7th of June, and parliament will be called together in July to pass the estimates and finish other public business that will be left over from this session. In the senate yesterday Premier Bowell referring to the notice of motion that when the senate adjourned until the 7th of April. He said he was inclined to think that the lower house would sit not only every Saturday, but Good Friday and Easter Monday, as well. It was important, he added, that the business for which the present session had been called, should be, if possible, brought to a successful conclusion; if not, he wished the country to understand that it would not be the fault of the government.

Private members had an innings in the common yesterday. A number of returns were made. A long list of questions asked and answered and several bills advanced a stage. Seeking regarding the possibilities of the Winnipeg conference resulting in a settlement of the school case, Mr. Oulmet, who is regarded as a strong advocate of the Catholic minority in this case in the commons said: "I wish the bill passed and placed on the statute book as a consecration of the rights of the minority. I am sure the bill, once passed, would pave the way for an easy compromise between the Catholic minority and the Manitoba government. Catholics would naturally avail themselves of the permissive clause and pay their taxes towards, and send their children to, public schools, if they could only have their own teachers and text books, so as to ensure for their children teaching in conformity with their own creed and belief."

It is now announced that Sir Charles Tupper will visit Manitoba immediately after the prorogation of parliament. The house will probably sit on Good Friday and Easter Monday. Sir Charles Tupper gives notice that the house will sit on Saturdays after next Monday, commencing at 10 a.m. In reply to Mr. Mara's questions as to the value of mining machinery admitted free of duty in each province since the last return, the collector of customs gave the following figures: Nova Scotia, \$108,482; Quebec, \$15,697; Ontario, \$6,544; New Brunswick, \$730; Manitoba, \$2,551; British Columbia, \$8,775. Total, \$140,781.

Senator Macdonald, having asked about the removal of the wreck of the San Pedro said the government were determined to secure the removal of that obstruction. Mr. McLennan's bill respecting the liability of public contractors has passed the house. The Alaska boundary commissioners report was presented to parliament yesterday. The summit of Mount St. Elias is said to be 2.41 miles within Canadian territory.

Senator Lemine's minority report on the Kingston military college has been presented to the house. He recommends that a purely military training be given limited to two years. He says that the college is over-manned, the salary of a staff of 37 pupils. Winnipeg, March 26.—The commissioners of the federal government, to confer with Mr. Greenway and his cabinet with a view of arranging a solution of the difficulty arrived in the city last evening by the delayed Pacific express. The party consists of Hon. Mr. Dickey, minister of justice; Mr. Desjardins, minister of militia; Hon. Sir Donald A. Smith. The commissioners say they are fully empowered to meet and accept a final settlement, and have no instructions from the Ottawa government. The first meeting of the commission will be held to-morrow.

Toronto, March 26.—The municipal protest of the legislature has approved of the bill allowing cities to hold municipal elections on New Year's day. Montreal, March 26.—Robert Anderson, the well known capitalist, died yesterday, aged 65. He was vice-president of the Merchant's bank from 1882 to 1894, and a director of the Sun Life Assurance Company, Canada Paper Co. He leaves an estate valued at \$4,300,000.

FATAL FIRE DAMP.

Sixty Miners Entombed in a Burning Mine in New Zealand.

ITALIAN ARMY'S BAD STATE.

It Was Never so Badly Armed, Disciplined or Fed.

BEFORE THE COURT

The Small Debts and Coal Mines Regulation Acts to be Pronounced Upon.

Two interesting special cases were deposited with the registrar of the supreme court to-day. Two orders-in-circuit approved on the 20th instant, directed that cases raising the constitutionality of the Small Debts Act and of the Coal Mines Regulation Act, 1890, which prohibits Chinese from working underground in coal mines, should be referred to the full court for hearing and consideration. The reference is made under the provisions of an act passed in 1891, which empowered the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council to obtain the opinion of the supreme court upon any important constitutional or other provincial questions.

The questions submitted to the court are as follows:— "Has the legislature of the province of British Columbia jurisdiction to pass the act passed in the 58th year of Her Majesty's reign, chapter 13, intitled 'An Act to confer limited civil jurisdiction upon stipendiary magistrates and police magistrates, or any, and which of the sections of the said act, or any and what parts thereof?' "Has the legislature of the province of British Columbia jurisdiction to pass the act passed in the 53rd year of Her Majesty's reign, chapter 33, intitled 'An Act to amend the Coal Mines Regulation Act?'"

TRANSVAAL RAIDERS' TRIAL.

Proceeding, but the Excitement, and Glamour Have Worn Off.

VICTORIA MARKETS.

Retail Quotations for Farmers' Produce Carefully Corrected.

Table of market prices for various goods including flour, wheat, and other commodities.

IT IS ONLY A DREAM

But it is a Dream no Man Need be Ashamed of, to Create an Empire.

More Potent Than Any Which History Has Ever Known, Says Mr. Chamberlain.

London, March 25.—Dr. Montague, Canadian minister of agriculture; Sir Robert Herbert, General Galloway, Sir Staveland Hill, Admiral McIntock, Sir B. Colmer, and Messrs. W. E. M. Pamplin, Geddes and T. M. Suckling, Q. C., members of parliament, and many Canadians were present to-night at the dinner given to Rt. Hon. Joseph Chamberlain, secretary of state for the colonies, by the Canadian Club. Mr. Chamberlain presided, and was introduced by the chairman of the club to the health of Lord Aberdeen with which the names of Rt. Hon. Mr. Chamberlain and Hon. Dr. Montague were coupled.

The colonial secretary was given an enthusiastic reception as he rose to reply. He said that he felt honored to be associated with Dr. Montague, and that it was a great pleasure to meet the many representatives of the Great Empire, comprising the kindred nations forming the British Commonwealth. He had visited Canada and had met many of its leading statesmen, notably the great Sir John Macdonald, that most imperially minded man, whose guiding light was to maintain intact the local independence of Canada in close alliance with the mother country. At times he did not have an easy time. There were many prominent men on both sides of the Atlantic who had once assumed that it was the manifest destiny of Canada to be absorbed into the great republic on its southern frontier. (Cries of "No, never!")

Mr. Chamberlain continued: "That was the opinion. It is an ancient controversy and I will not refer to it now, except to repeat the contrast between the doubt and hesitation then, and the determination now of every son of Canada to maintain the local constitution in his special identity and at the same time to draw closer the bonds which unite him with the great parent state. The recent isolation, so to speak, threatened us evoked from all the colonies, especially from Canada, an outburst of loyalty and affection that reverberated throughout the world, which testified a sentiment deeper than words can express and which dispelled the idea that such expressions of loyalty and affection were superficial and would not bear the test of serious conflict, so that if war broke out the mother country would not be left to her fate and the colonies would take care of themselves. The shadow of war did darken the horizon and to none was the shadow more ominous than to our fellow-citizens of Canada. Yet though, if it had happened, it would be borne in the first instance by Canada, with no hesitation, the people of Canada, as Mr. Chamberlain said that although the matter did not affect their interests, it affected the honor of the British empire, and they would make common cause with us and were prepared shoulder to shoulder to bear with us all that might arise. This decision was emphasized by the debates in the Dominion parliament, and the moral was summed up in the eloquent speech of Mr. McNeill, who is quoted as having said: 'The British people, one people, are inseparable in the common empire.' This orator struck the right chord when he said that the empire of Great Britain is the common heritage of all its sons and is not the appanage of the United Kingdom. Many speeches were made in the Dominion parliament, and a resolution was passed by acclamation, repeated allusion being made to the opportunity, which every community in the empire was bound to seize, and the hope was expressed that something would be done over the heads of all that might be, to continue Mr. Chamberlain, and ask you in this demonstration not to allow, this almost universal expression of loyalty in the colonies to pass away without a serious effort to establish a more permanent and solid basis for the high sentiments into practical results."

Mr. Chamberlain then reviewed the growth of the feeling for imperial federation and said: "Although experience has shown that the final realization of the ideal is a matter of such vast magnitude and great complication that it cannot be undertaken at the present time; it does not follow on that account that we should give up our attempt to do everything at once, but must seek the line of least resistance. The boldest might shrink appalled before an attempt to create a new government for the British empire, with large powers of taxation and legislation over hundreds of separated by thousands of miles of sea. We may, however, approach this desirable consummation by a process of gradual development. We may endeavor to establish some common interests and common obligations, to deal with which it is natural that some sort of representative authority should grow up. The greatest obligation is imperial defence. The greatest interest is imperial trade. The former must be reached through the letter, as was the creation of the German empire. At first the reichstag was convened to deal with the commercial interests of the German states. Gradually it embraced national and political objects, and became the basis of unity and the basis of the empire."

Remarking that it was natural that Canada should take the initiative, Mr. Chamberlain cited the resolution of the Ottawa conference in favor of a similar arrangement between Great Britain and the colonies, and also Mr. McNeill's resolution in the Canadian legislature on Tuesday in favor of an ad valorem duty on foreign imports. Although he foresaw very serious disapproval because effective. Mr. Chamberlain asserted that the proposals merited respectful consideration. This proposal would involve at least a small duty on food and raw material, and would thus increase the cost of living

and pressure on the working classes. It would also tend to increase the cost of production, and would thereby prejudice in competing with foreign countries in neutral markets. It is useless for us to shut our eyes to these facts. In return we should get a very small return in the shape of a preference, may be two per cent, and perhaps even five per cent, in competing with foreign manufacturers in the colonial markets.

"This is a very startling proposal for a free trade union and seems in its present form impossible for us to adopt. I am a pronounced free trader, but at the same time I am not so pedantic that if sufficient advice were offered I would not consider a diversion from the strict dogma. But, so far, no sufficient quid pro quo has been offered to induce England to take a certain loss and the possible risk involved in revising her present commercial policy. The preference would be much smaller in the case of British goods imported into the colonies than in that of colonial goods imported into Great Britain. It is still more desirable to remember that our foreign trade is so great in proportion to the foreign trade of the colonies that the burden of taxation would fall with much greater weight upon the United Kingdom than upon the colonies."

Mr. Chamberlain proceeded to invite the colonies to continue their efforts and expressed the opinion that the Marquis of Ripon's dispatch to the governors of the colonies on this subject in 1885 had not closed their doors to the proposals which might be advanced in the future and he called particular attention to Lord Ripon's statement that an arrangement creating a customs union comprising the whole empire by which the aggregate revenue would be might be equitably proportioned among the principal communities would in principle be free from objection. Mr. Chamberlain regarded this as an alternative, and not one to be generally regarded, an impossible alternative. "His advantage to the colonies would be so enormous," he continued, "that it appears to me that the colonies themselves will be bound to give such a suggestion their careful consideration."

Going into details, he said: "In such a general free trade arrangement it is quite clear that exceptions must be made in the case of articles such as tinco and spirits, which are chiefly taxed for revenue purposes. If we are to make even the slightest progress in such a direction, protection must disappear, and the only duties must be revenue duties, not protective duties in the nature of protecting the industries of one portion of the empire against the industries of the other. I cannot help thinking that if a council of representatives of the whole empire should be called to consider such an arrangement—although the subject would present enormous difficulties—with the existing good will, the ultimate goal in view, something like a working agreement would be reached, and free traders, even if they had to abandon their principles to some extent, would remember that the enormous gain that would compensate for the loss of our dealings with foreign countries. For the States, forming the empire, are more likely to develop and increase in prosperity, population, wealth, power, commerce, and enterprise than any foreign states."

Apologizing for speaking on the subject at such length, Mr. Chamberlain added that he spoke for himself alone, but that the subject was so important that he could not resist the temptation, above all, in the colonies, "It is a dream, if you like," Mr. Chamberlain said, "but it is a dream no man need be ashamed of, to create an empire greater than the last empire, and none, however, in any direction until Great Britain and her colonies have decided upon imperial unity founded on the common will."

The speech of the colonial secretary throughout was listened to with the greatest attention and his various points were cheered with enthusiasm. Dr. Montague, in responding, said that it was because he fully realized the importance of the subject that he had exercised upon the future of the British empire that in Canada Mr. Chamberlain was appreciated as no colonial secretary ever before had been appreciated. No hearts beat truer to the interest of the empire, he said, than those of the Canadian Canadians were, however, no suppliants to England, except in the matter of defence, for which they right loyally expressed their admiration and gratitude. One thing that he had in mind, and almost all the Canadians, was the desire to see that the tide of emigration from Great Britain should be turned to their shores. Mr. Chamberlain, he said, would be doing a work that would send his name down to posterity with the greatest possible honor, if he would turn his attention to Canada. He thanked them heartily, he said, on behalf of the Canadians for the manner in which the toast had been drunk.

THE BOY'S FRIEND BURIED.

Author of Tom Brown's School Days Is Laid Away.

London, March 25.—The remains of Thomas Hughes, Q.C., author of "Tom Brown's School Days," etc., who died at Brighton on Sunday last, were interred to-day in the presence of a crowd of friends. In accordance with the desire of the deceased, the funeral ceremony was of the simplest kind. The grave was lined with ivy and many floral tributes.

A NOTED EPISCOPAL DIVINE SPEAKS.

Rev. John Langtry, M.D., D.C.L., of Toronto, has used Dr. Agnew's Catarrhal Powder, and Tells His Experience. Among the many distinguished citizens who have secured relief by the use of Dr. Agnew's Catarrhal Powder is the well known Episcopal clergyman and controversialist, Rev. John Langtry, whose familiar signature has been appended to many able newspaper articles. Having used the remedy here named for cold in the head and catarrhal troubles, he has likewise over his own signature spoken in favor of its terms of this medicine. In the case of cold in the head, the relief is so speedy that it is appreciated by all who suffer in this manner. In hay fever it acts like magic, relieving in ten minutes. For Sale by Dean & Hiscocks and Hall & Co.

TO SIT DAY AND NIGHT

Says Tupper, Declaring He Will Coerce Parliament to Pass the Bill.

Quebec Conciliated With Cajolery While Manitoba is Coerced With Clubs.

Another Cold Storage Scheme to Attract Money From the Public Purse

Ottawa, March 26.—While Mr. Oulmet is insisting on the remedial bill being passed, no matter what happens, he has at the same time given out for publication an interview which corroborates what appeared in this correspondence yesterday regarding religious instructions in the schools, which would be satisfactory to the Catholics. If the views of Mr. Oulmet in the interview are carried out, there might be no trouble settling matters, but, while he thus talks to Manitoba, Sir Charles Tupper and Premier Bowell himself are declaring to Quebec that they will coerce parliament into passing the remedial bill. They consider that the bill is necessary to bring Mr. Greenway to his knees, although it would give no more benefit to the minority than if the bill were withdrawn.

Sir Charles Tupper declares that the house will sit day and night to pass the bill, and Premier Bowell, in the senate corroborates this by saying that Good Friday will be utilized in forcing it through, but for all this, the government know well that they cannot pass it. They are good buffers, and that is all. Mr. Johnson, of the Dominion Cold Storage, and who wants to establish cold storage warehouses in Canada, to-day appeared before a senate committee with a scheme whereby he wants the government to guarantee interest at four per cent, on \$3,000,000 to enable this to be done. The committee passed a resolution asking the government to look into the whole question of cold storage.

MURDER OR SUICIDE?

Annie McGrath's Mysterious Ending in Philadelphia Being Investigated.

Philadelphia, March 25.—There is little doubt to-day that Annie McGrath, the beautiful 18 year old girl, who was found dead at 2926 Girard avenue on Monday night, was either killed as a result of a plan for double suicide with Samuel B. Langdon, a wealthy coal operator, whose mistress she was, or she alone successfully attempted suicide.

The evidence thus far adduced points almost conclusively to the theory that Langdon took her life and then attempted to commit suicide, but forsook this purpose and fled from the house. He is still a prisoner in the cell room at the city hall, where he will probably be kept until a chemical analysis of the girl's stomach is completed. A wet towel which lay over the girl's face when the body was found is in the possession of the detective department, but it's condition is kept a profound secret. The most incriminating fact, however, is that the girl's lips and almost all the interior of her mouth were burned and discolored as if by acid poison, while Langdon's tongue from lip to palate is a series of ulcers and mucous patches, which, according to his own statement, were not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made by Bertha Stewart, a colored servant employed by the couple, clearly shows important facts. She was not there before Monday. This condition is almost exactly similar to that existing in the girl's mouth, except that in the latter it is more aggravated. A statement made