

Princess

The Well Known Bark Was Sunk and Eleven Men Drowned.

Princess Concession

Open Letter From Dawson Mining Men to Hon. Clifford Sifton.

Setting Forth Objections to the Two Extensive Privileges Granted.

London, April 17.—In the House of Commons today the official announcement was made of the announcement of the bankruptcy of the Duke of Manchester, enabling him to again take his seat among the peers.

And Demanding That Measure Be Entirely Rescinded in Public Interest.

Special to the Colonist. Dawson, Y. T., April 16.—The following letter has been sent to Hon. Clifford Sifton, minister of the interior at Ottawa:

Very Noisy

Proposal in Belgian House to Apply Closure Causes an Uproar.

Brussels, April 17.—The debate on the proposed revision of the Belgian constitution was resumed in the Chamber of Representatives today.

Socialists Use Threats of Rebellion, Amid Uproar by Members.

Brussels, April 17.—The debate on the proposed revision of the Belgian constitution was resumed in the Chamber of Representatives today.

Queen of Holland

Her Condition Is Understood to Be Better.

Amsterdam, April 17.—All classes of the population are deeply grieved at the illness of the Queen.

Obituary

Robert Clark of Red's Mills Found Dead—Almost—Centenary.

Newspaper Postage

Toronto, April 16.—The secretary of the Board of Trade received a letter from the British postal authorities...

Provincial Legislature

Third Reading of Mr. Martin's Alien Bill Creates Unpleasant Incident.

Mr. Gilmour's Master and Servant Bill Passes the Committee Stage.

Legislative Chamber, April 17, 1902. Mr. Speaker took the chair at 2:15.

Rev. Dr. Campbell offered prayer.

Mr. McPhillips presented the petition of T. B. Pemberton and others, re hearing of the appeal in the case of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

Mr. McPhillips presented the report of the committee on the petition of the Duke of Manchester.

The Atlin Investigation

Hearing of the Charges Against Mr. Graham Continued by Select Committee.

Cross-Examination of Mr. Sawyer Concluded by Mr. Belyea.

The Select committee, consisting of Messrs. Rogers, McPhillips, Dickie, and Belyea, resumed its inquiry today into the charges made by Mr. C. Sawyer against Mr. D. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Mr. Belyea, who appeared for Mr. Graham, resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Sawyer. The witness said that he would be surprised to hear that the contract of the Atlin claim was made by Mr. Graham.

Lord's Day Act

Decision of Appeal Court on the Ontario Law.

Toronto, Ont., April 17.—The Court of Appeal today gave judgment in a case respecting the constitutionality of the Lord's Day Act of Ontario.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

The court, consisting of Chief Justice Armour, Justices Gwynne, and Gwynne, decided in favor of the Ontario law.

A Basis of Peace

London Daily Mail Says Arrangement is Practically Agreed Upon.

Other Reports However Say That Delegates Have Widely Divergent Views.

Transvaal Threaten to Continue Conference Without Free Staters.

London, April 18.—The Daily Mail claims to have authority to announce that a basis of peace has been practically agreed upon at Pretoria, but it says that some little time will elapse before the details of the plan can be perfected.

The paper adds that upon finding on Wednesday that the British government refused to modify its terms with regard to amnesty, blanket and a responsible government, the Boer delegates met again on Thursday. The British delegates practically leaving them the alternatives of accepting the British terms or breaking up the conference, was then committed to them and the delegates proved more reasonable.

When Lord Milner, the British High Commissioner in South Africa, promised the delegates one or two seats on the legislative council, subject to the approval of the government, and pending the restoration of a responsible government they practically agreed to accept the British terms.

Some details continues the Daily Mail which are not likely to create disturbance still remain to be settled. Lord Milner has summoned an Australian expert on estimating the cost of rebuilding and restoring the Boer farms. The estimates, the paper concludes, have acted, so far quite independently of their respective officials in Holland.

Dr. Hans Sauer, a Loyalist Afrikaner, who spoke at Bradford tonight, was not optimistic about the Boer peace negotiations. He said he thought it was probable that the British would ask Great Britain for £500,000,000 or £600,000,000, and agree in return to clear out of South Africa all alienated Boer property.

London, April 17.—Serious differences, it is said, have arisen between the Transvaal and Free State delegates who are discussing peace terms at Pretoria. Gen. Botha and Acting President Steyn have been unable to reach an agreement on the subject.

The portion of the new British War Loan offered for public subscription, £16,000,000, has been over-subscribed thirty per cent. The new issue is now quoted at one per cent. premium.

Condor Was Undermanned

Father-in-Law of Commander Interviewed on Return From Esquimaut.

Says Condor Rolled More Than Usual and Was Short of Her Complement.

Sir Charles Cameron, Bart., of Balclutha, Greenock, has returned from his melancholy pilgrimage to Esquimaut, says the London Daily Mail of April 2.

He was father-in-law of Commander Clifton Slater, of the unfortunate Condor, and had gone there to seek personally for news of the missing vessel.

He had one other task when he was abandoned that of bringing home his daughter, Mrs. Clifton Slater, who was at the time resident at Esquimaut.

The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.

It may have a very serious effect in the handling of a vessel on the coast of Labrador. The Condor rolled somewhat short of her true complement of men, which, I believe, is frequently the case of warships on the coast of Labrador.