

T'S the wonderful elastic texture of Watson's Spring Needle Ribbed Underwear that gives it its smooth, soft surface, and its greater strength. You are looking for the newest and best ideas in underwear; you get them when you see that the garments you buy bear the Watson Spring Needle Ribbed label. All styles and sizes and fabrics for men, women and children.



The Watson Manufacturing Company, Limited, Brantford, Ontario

CREAM! EGGS!

CREAM!

I PAY The Highest Market Price. All Express Charges on Cream. Cash for Each Shipment.

CALGARY CENTRAL CREAMERY P. PALLESEN BOX 2074, CALGARY

TO MUNICIPALITIES, CONTRACTORS, FARMERS

LUMBER Send your Orders SHINGLES

FARMERS' SAWMILL AND SHINGLE CO.

BRIDGE TIMBERS, SILO STOCK, WELL CURBING supplied on Short Notice. Prompt Shipment

Special Prices on PLOW SHARES



THESE PRICES are subject to change without notice, but so long as the mensy is sent in good faith, the goods will be shipped.

12-inch Shares, each 13-inch Shares, each 14-inch Shares, each 2.40

\$2.10 | 15-inch Shares, each \$2.70 16-inch Shares, each 2.70 . 2.40 | 18-inch Shares, each 3.00

The Canadian Stover Gasoline Engine Co. BRANDON

The Railway Problem

Article VIII. Provincial Guarantees, and the Simplicity of Provincial Legislatures. The Free Pass Evil-Waterways, Railways and Parliamentary ways.

By E. B. Biggar

The report on "Railway Statisties" of Canada for 1915 shows that the provinces of Canada have given to the privinces of Canada have given to the private railway corporations cash amounting to over \$37,000,000, the municipalities nearly \$18,000,000, and the Dominion government over \$183,000,000. If we count in the cash loans of former days which were cancalled the data days which were cancelled, the debts which were repudiated and the cash aid of \$23,000,000 voted during the last session of parliament, we have a total of about \$300,000,000 given into the hands of corporations, a large portion of which, in time past, has not gone towards the reduction of the cost of the people's transportation, but to swell the fortunes of a few individuals. This fortunes of a few individuals. This total does not comprise the Dominion and provincial governments' guarantees, which have a softer sound than hard cash, but yield the same reality of endorsement on the national credit to perpetuate private profits. These authorized guarantees now amount to \$409,869,165. The curious thing about the provincial guarantees and cash subsidies is that they are given in respect to railways which have since become integral sections of interprovincial and transcontinental systems. Hence these provinces and municipalities have given transcontinental systems. Hence these provinces and municipalities have given their endorsements to bonds over which they have absolutely no individual control. The function of railway transportation in British Columbia is linked with the same function in Prince Edward Island by links of a kind that cannot be broken by either province, except to its own damage. Even if this self infliction were attempted the intervening provinces could not permit it. But Prince Edward Island, having only government owned railways, and having government owned railways, and having escaped the attentions of the railway companies, is free of such uncontrollable endorsements, nor owes a dollar of interest thereon, while British Columbia has made herself liable to the railway companies to the extent of \$80,932,000 guarantees, in some cases amounting to \$42,000 per mile, or a liability of about \$180 for every man, woman and child in the province. To state it in another form, the people of British Columbia are liable to an annual interest bill of over \$3,600,000 for the work of two companies whose rates they can-not control, whose property they dare, not seize, the chief security for the debt being beyond the provincial boun-daries. And all the while British Co-lumbia and the prairies are the conlumbia and the prairie provinces are paying taxes to the other provinces to the extent that the rates imposed on them exceed the general average rates. Even the railway dividends, furnished in part by British Columbia labor and industry—go to foreign (that is non-Canadian) capitalists in the proportion of \$9 to \$1. (Only about ten per cent. of the stock of the C.P.R. is owned in Canada). At the other end of the scale is Prince Edward Island, with one system of government ways, with railway rates of about one third those of British Columbia, and not one dollar of liability for a kind of 'competition?' whose only effect is to

whose only effect is to increase the cost of service.

What has been said of British Columbia is true of Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba, with variations in the amounts. The railway bonds guaranteed by Manitoba amount to \$25,221,580, by Alberta to \$59,410,450, and by

Saskatchewan to \$41,625,000.

Then there are the land grants to railways—aggregating over 44,000,000 acres, when allowance is made for grants by municipalities—the possession of which has no relation whatever to the specific work of transportation, ex cept as to land actually used for right of way and stations. Such an alienation of the public domain is in itself a proof of the improper influence of the private corporation on government.

The Pree Pass Immorality

In the United States the free pass evil—which the companies had encour-aged that legislators, judges, and oth-ers might be well disposed towards the railways, but which, by the abuse of

the practice, was bringing grave trouble on the companies—has been abolished by law, but in Canada the evil goes on unchecked. Passing by the horde of pass-seekers who obtain favors of the railways either by some pull or some form of blackmail, there are two classes whose use of railway passes is a violation of the spirit of public law —the members of parliament and the

Prior to 1906 the law provided each Prior to 1906 the law provided each member of parliament with a travelling allowance sufficient to cover his railway fare to and from the seat of government. With a few exceptions the members accepted passes from the railway companies and then drew from the public treasury the travelling allowance which the law allowed them and which, by the way, was provided for them to save them from the suspicion attaching save them from the suspicion attaching to such personal favors. Since 1906 every member of parliament receives from the Clerk of the House of Commons a free pass over all the railways in Canada, so that they are no longer subject to the suspicion attached to free passes over railways. It is generally understood, however, that the members of parliament still receive from the railways passes for their families and that this abuse has become as great

greater than the original. It comes with a shock like that of finding a skeleton in your closet to learn that Canadian judges—and these are not confined to the inferior courts alone—very frequently travel on free railway passes. This evil varies in different parts of Canada, but in some portions of the country it is declared that the judge who pays his railway. that the judge who pays his railway fare is the exception and not the rule. That some of these passes are offered by the railway companies and not asked for by the judges, may satisfy the consciences of some judges but it will not secure an honorable acquittal before the court of public opinion.

In one respect the free pass evil is

the crowning immorality of private ownership. For no calamity can befall a nation like that by which the law makers and the law administrators lose the respect of the people. We send members to parliament to frame our laws that we may have liberty and bet ter moral government, not merely to safeguard the people's property, and we look up to our judges as the very in-carnation of liberty and justice. Is it possible for members of parliament and judges to hold the confidence and resjudges to hold the confidence and respect of the people when they habitually accept personal favors from men whose business methods are in perpetual conflict with the principles of representative government? If the railways were owned by the government it would be possible for members of parliament and their families and judges to use passes under the authority of the law and without any loss of dignity or independence.

Steamship Control On Great Lakes The water-ways system of Canada resents a problem of scarcely less impresents a problem of scarcely less im-portance than that of railway traffic; but it is a subject to which public men have given but little thought. Born and educated, as this generation has been, under the conception that the only de-fense against the exactions of a rail-way company is the rivalry and com-petition of some other company, we look upon water transportation only as petition of some other company, we look upon water transportation only as a check by competition upon the extor-tions of the railways. Years ago this competition was effective, and summer passenger and freight rates went down on the railways when navigation opened on the lakes and canals. That was at a time when many of the railway managers really believed in the effective-ness of competition, especially when they could inflict a direct loss upon a rival and gain a little popularity for themselves. It was such an idea that themselves. It was such an idea that led the West Shbre Company to carry immigrant passengers from New York to Chicago for ten cents, as they did in 1883, the people of Canada paying for this experiment by the loss

Septe

volved the rout perience the long they sa operate step w river a land. 7 various ly be do ports. ergin effected or four water w a syndi with th cients, spheres In fo

> meeting interest With anythin this ste any ot because Falls at advanta subsequ 000. O it costs a year ! charged than \$5 two thi powers. and the rence B

> > their st panies and at Cont Contr gium, carried chises t expens portatio ment hi the nat is every

state or

in evid

would b

ada too ough sto

land Sh ture so So th

with the

they lis

ernment

Norther