Order Paper Questions

Percentage Distribution of Canadian Population for 1974, 1975 and 1976^(a)

	1974	1975	1976
British Columbia	10.7%	10.8%	10.8%
Alberta	7.6	7.7	7.9
Saskatchewan	4.0	4.0	4.0
Manitoba	4.5	4.5	4.4
Ontario	36.1	36.1	36.1
Quebec	27.3	27.1	27.0
Atlantic Provinces	9.5	9.5	9.5
Territories	0.3	0.3	0.3
Canada	100.0	100.0	100.0
(Total population, in			
thousands)	(22,446)	(22,800)	(23,110)

⁽a) Post-censal population estimates produced by using 1971 census counts as the base. All these figures will be revised based on final counts from 1976 Census.

PARTIES HELD IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PROCLAMATION OF NEW CITIZENSHIP ACT

Question No. 1,948—Mr. Epp:

- 1. What is the projected cost to the taxpayer for parties held or to be held in Vancouver, Kelowna, Prince George, Edmonton, Calgary, Regina, Saskatoon, Winnipeg, Oshawa, St. Catharines, Peterborough, London, Windsor, Sudbury, Thunder Bay, Waterloo, Barrie, Hamilton, Saint John, Halifax, Moncton and Charlottetown in conjunction with the proclamation of the new Citizenship Act?
- 2. What is the projected cost to the taxpayer for the liquor to be served at each of the parties?
- Hon. John Roberts (Secretary of State): Costs incurred for receptions held as part of the information programme on the occasion of the Proclamation of the New Citizenship Act: 1. Vancouver, \$4,052.85; Kelowna, \$1,007.57; Prince George, \$1,089.20; Edmonton, \$1,516.00; Calgary, \$1,890.20; Regina, \$1,531.00; Saskatoon, \$1,996.00; Winnipeg, \$2,310.32; Oshawa, \$1,611.64; St-Catharines, \$1,775.73; Peterborough, \$1,898.00; London, \$2,500.38; Windsor, \$1,704.12; Sudbury, \$1,685.21; Thunder Bay, \$1,211.40; Waterloo, \$1,524.05; Barrie, \$1,485.50; Hamilton, \$1,794.57; Saint John, \$2,535.45; Halifax, \$1,852.58; Moncton, \$1,691.13; Charlottetown, \$1,910.45. Sub-total, \$40,573.35.
- 2. Vancouver, \$1,506.30; Kelowna, \$152.35; Prince George, \$263.20; Edmonton, \$360.00; Calgary, \$628.45; Regina, \$474.50; Saskatoon, \$930.00; Winnipeg, \$763.02; Oshawa, \$663.64; St-Catharines, \$509.80; Peterborough, —; London, \$1,189.00; Windsor, \$791.12; Sudbury, \$754.25; Thunder Bay, \$543.40; Waterloo, \$545.71; Barrie, \$382.50; Hamilton, \$711.50; Saint John, \$1,405.15; Halifax, \$1,152.17; Moncton, \$847.50; Charlottetown, \$845.15. Sub-total, \$15,418.71.

TELECOMMUNICATIONS—COSTS OF EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES Question No. 2,320—Mr. O'Sullivan:

1. With reference to the answer to Question No. 1,943, which stated in part, that the total cost included in the 1975-76 Estimates of the Department of Manpower and Immigration for telephone and other related communications equipment rentals was \$4,412,836, what was the cost (a) incurred by (i) the Atlantic Provinces (ii) Quebec (iii) Ontario (iv) the Western Provinces (v) Yukon (vi) NWT (b) for (i) telephone equipment (ii) teletype equipment (iii) radio and other mobile communications devices rental (including any paging equipment) (iv) payments for actual communications services and rental of time on communications lines?

[Mr. Goodale.]

2. With reference to the answer to Question No. 1,943, which stated in part, that the total estimated cost included in the 1976-77 Estimates of the Department of Manpower and Immigration for telephone and other related communications equipment rentals was forecast as \$4,153,189, what was the cost (a) incurred by (i) the Atlantic Provinces (ii) Quebec (iii) Ontario (iv) the Western Provinces (v) Yukon (vi) NWT (b) for (i) telephone equipment (ii) teletype equipment (iii) radio and other mobile communications equipment rentals (including any paging equipment) (iv) payments for actual communications services and rental of time on communications lines?

Hon. Bud Cullen (Minister of Manpower and Immigration):
1. (a)(i) Atlantic Provinces, \$426,078; (ii) Quebec, \$1,104,-829; (iii) Ontario, \$1,823,503; (iv) Western, \$1,034,457; (v) Yukon, \$11,276; (vi) N.W.T., \$12,693. (b) Atlantic: (i) \$314,-912; (ii) \$1,693; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$109,473; (b) Quebec: (i) \$858,404; (ii) \$39,216; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$207,209; (b) Ontario: (i) \$1,484,820; (ii) \$83,493; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$255,190; (b) Western: (i) \$642,981; (ii) \$27; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$391,449; (b) Yukon: (i) \$5,698; (ii) Nil; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$5,578; (b) North West Territories: (i) \$8,008; (ii) Nil; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$4,685.

2. (a)(i) Atlantic Provinces, \$395,071; (ii) Quebec, \$1,045,-935; (iii) Ontario, \$1,741,349; (iv) Western, \$943,245; (v) Yukon, \$11,504; (vi) North West Territories, \$16,085; (b) Atlantic: (i) \$318,406; (ii) Nil; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$76,665; (b) Quebec: (i) \$821,185; (ii) \$59,354; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$165,396; (b) Ontario: (i) \$1,448,961; (ii) \$107,079; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$185,309; (b) Western: (i) \$682,167; (ii) Nil; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$261,078; (b) Yukon: (i) \$5,643; (ii) Nil; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$5,861; (b) North West Territories: (i) \$9,341; (ii) Nil; (iii) Nil; (iv) \$6,744.

CBC-SUITS FOR OLYMPICS

Question No. 2,464—Mr. Beatty:

- 1. Did CBC have a number of employees measured for special suits to be used during the Olympics and, if so, how many?
- 2. Were any suits subsequently purchased and (a) if so (i) how many (ii) at what cost (b) if not, for what reason?

Hon. John Roberts (Secretary of State): I am informed by the CBC as follows: 1. No employees were measured for special suits. Certain items of clothing were supplied but were to the nearest stock size.

2. No. Separate items were purchased. (a)(i) Sufficient quantities were ordered for the more than 2,000 Olympics personnel under the control of CBC as host broadcaster as well as for personnel involved in CBC domestic coverage. The clothing purchased served as a uniform and readily identifiable appearance for these personnel. (ii) 198 melon jackets at \$63.40 each, 16 blue jackets at \$63.40 each, 1,997 "common image" windbreakers at \$26.17 each, 16 melon pants or skirts at \$30.80 each, and 4,046 blue pants or skirts at \$25 each. (b) Not applicable.

[English]

Mr. Speaker: Shall the remaining questions be allowed to stand?

Mr. McCleave: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order involving all members of the House and arising out of the answers just given to us by the Parliamentary Secretary to the