

## LAW SOCIETY.

Lefroy, C. S. Leitch, A. B. Fry, A. Bicknell, J. B. Quinton, P. Sherwood, J. Isbister, W. J. Elliott, G. G. Duncan, N. Jeffrey, F. T. Costello, G. M. Vance, G. S. Macdonald, H. E. C. Stoney, G. A. Sayer, A. S. Macdonell, J. B. Ferguson, G. E. Watterworth, W. H. Grant, W. D. Card, F. G. Evans, H. F. MacLeod.

MONDAY, 5TH SEPTEMBER.

Convocation met.

Present—Messrs S. H. Blake, Falconbridge, Ferguson, Foy, Hoskin, Irving, Lash, Mackelcan, MacLennan, Morris, Moss, Osler.

In the absence of the Treasurer, Mr. Irving was appointed Chairman.

The minutes of last meeting were read and approved.

Mr. Moss, from the Committee on Legal Education, presented a report on the case of Mr. W. E. Kelly, which was adopted.

A letter was read from Miss Ann Dolan, of Ohio, complaining of the conduct of a barrister and solicitor.

The letter was referred to the Committee on Discipline to report whether there was a *prima facie* case.

Ordered, That the Secretary be instructed to telegraph to Lord Herschell as follows:—

"The Benchers of the Law Society, on behalf of the Bar of Ontario, request the pleasure of Lord Herschell's company at dinner at Toronto on such day as he may name."

And that Messrs. Falconbridge, Irving, Kerr, Lash, McCarthy, Mackelcan, MacLennan and Murray be a committee to confer with the members of the Bar on the subject and to make all necessary arrangements—the committee to have power to add to their number.

The Secretary reported a verbal message from Mr. Tully, the Government architect, in reference to painting the east wing. Referred to the Finance Committee with power to act.

TUESDAY, 6TH SEPTEMBER.

Convocation met.

Present—Messrs. Beaty, Bruce, Falconbridge, Ferguson, Hoskin, Irving, Lash, MacLennan, Martin, Moss.

In the absence of the Treasurer, Mr. Irving was appointed Chairman.

The minutes of last meeting were read and approved.

Mr. Hoskin, from the Committee on Discipline, reported in the matter of the complaint of Mr. Reynolds, that a *prima facie* case had not been shown.

The report was received, considered and adopted.

Mr. Moss, from the Committee on Legal Education, reported in the matter of J. R. Haney, recommending that the Certificate of Fitness, which had been signed in 1881 by the Treasurer, should be delivered to Mr. Haney.

The report was adopted, and it was ordered accordingly.

The petition of S. A. Henderson, J. Kyles, T. Walmsley and H. E. Irwin, respecting their admission to the Law Society as B. A.'s of Toronto University, was read and referred to the Legal Education Committee for report.

Mr. Lash, from the Select Committee on Honors and Scholarships, presented their report which was read, and is as follows:—

The committee, to whom was referred the question of honors and scholarships in connection with the First and Second Intermediate Examinations, beg leave to report as follows:—

1. The committee find that Messrs. D. A. McKillop, R. M. Grahame and A. E. Lussier passed the First Intermediate Examination with honors, and that Mr. McKillop is entitled to a scholarship of one hundred dollars; that Mr. Grahame is entitled to a scholarship of sixty dollars, and that Mr. Lussier is entitled to a scholarship of forty dollars.

The committee further find that Messrs. S. A. Henderson, C. Kemp, W. H. Williams, H. H. Johnston, and F. Reid passed the Second Intermediate Examination with honors, and that Mr. Henderson is entitled to a scholarship of one hundred dollars, Mr. Kemp to a scholarship of sixty dollars, and Mr. Williams to a scholarship of forty dollars.

That Mr. Mundell obtained the necessary number of marks in the Second Intermediate Examination to entitle him to pass with honors and to be awarded the first scholarship had he been in due course, but owing to the length of time since he entered the Society (*viz.*, Michaelmas Term, 1876), he is not under the rules entitled to be passed with honors, or to be awarded the scholarship.

That Mr. A. Weir obtained in the aggregate of marks for pass and honor examination in the First Intermediate more than three-fourths, but he failed by two marks in obtaining the necessary one-half of the aggregate of the marks in one subject. And under the rule Mr. Weir is not entitled to be passed with honors or to receive the third scholarship to which he would have otherwise been entitled. The committee, however, recommend that he be passed with honors, and receive a diploma in that behalf.