

Canada Law Journal.

VOL. XIX.

OCTOBER 15, 1883.

No. 17.

DIARY FOR OCTOBER.

22. Sun... *Twenty-second Sunday after Trinity.* Battle of Trafalgar, 1805.
23. Tues.... Supreme Court Session begins. Lord Monck, Gov.-Gen., 1861.
24. Wed.... Sir J. H. Craig, Gov.-Gen., 1807.
25. Thurs... Battle of Balaclava, 1854.
28. Sun.... *Twenty-third Sunday after Trinity.*
30. Tues... Primary Examination.
31. Wed.... All Hallow Eve. Primary Examination.

TORONTO, OCT. 15, 1883.

OUR lively cotemporary the *Albany Law Journal* (with whom it is charming to have an occasional tilt—his wit is keen and his repartee, though sharp, good natured) waxes even more funny than usual over the absurdity of Lord Coleridge “endangering his health by any such hyperborean journeys as the Canadians would gladly tempt him to . . . They might persuade his Lordship into an Arctic exploring expedition.” The intoxication resulting from the presence of a real live lord all to themselves seems to have been too much for our republican friends. “’Twas ever thus,” however. We have no doubt their distinguished guest will have many a good story to tell of men and things in that connection, when he returns to his ain fireside. As for ourselves we suppose living so near the North Pole keeps us cool in the presence of one with a long handle to his name, to say nothing of our being necessarily somewhat more used to it. The writer also tells us that the Chief Justice had all his expenses paid by the New York Bar Association “from his own door,” until his return, \$2,500 being appropriated for the purpose. Jumbo would have cost more, but would have drawn a larger though not such a select crowd. Waiving the question as to the good taste of the Lord Chief Justice of England

accepting the invitation on such terms, we can join with *Punch* (probably the best exponent of English sentiment on such a proceeding) in hoping that the “large takings confidently expected” by the managers have been duly realized.

THE *Law Journal* (London) has evidently misconceived the feeling of the Bar here on the subject of Lord Coleridge not visiting the Dominion. The feeling was generally one of regret that the Chief Justice could not come, to which was added surprise when it became known that he had, before leaving England, accepted the invitation of our Bar to be in Toronto on a certain day, which fact was known to and accepted by the New York Bar Association, as evidenced by the fact that their secretary wrote to the civic authorities in Toronto warning them of the proposed visit, “that you might have the opportunity of extending to Lord Coleridge any civilities which you may desire.” A few days before the day appointed his Lordship wrote the secretary of our committee saying he could not come. There was of course nothing to do but express regret at the fact, and countermand the almost completed arrangements. Some thought an engagement so made should not be so lightly broken. Others again were somewhat flabbergasted at the suggestion in his letter that though he, the invited guest, to whom, as occupant of so high an office, we desired to pay our respects, could not eat our dinner, he would, if we liked, send some one else for that purpose. This seemed a singular suggestion, but was doubtless made with the best motives, and was so received. Regrets were courteously expressed, and there was an end of the matter. No one was “snubbed”