Motions for Papers

Hon. Judy V. LaMarsh (Secretary of State): 1. Mr. Guy Renaud, 106 Jacques-Cartier Blvd, Donnacona, Quebec.

- 2. He was appointed July 28, 1965.
- 3. No information.

## FEDERAL EXPENDITURES, SOUTH SASKATCHEWAN DAM

Question No. 356-Mr. McLelland:

What are the total expenditures to date by the federal government on the building of the South Saskatchewan dam and irrigation project?

Hon. J. J. Greene (Minister of Agriculture): The total expenditure to December 31, 1965, by the federal government on the construction of the South Saskatchewan river project is \$106,029,378. This sum includes the shareable and non-shareable costs. On the shareable portion, the province of Saskatchewan has repaid Canada its obligation in the amount of \$19,877,100.

# QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

**IMMIGRANTS DURING 1965** 

Question No. 13-Mr. Allard:

1. How many immigrants entered Canada during the calendar year 1965?

2. How are they distributed according to their

country of origin?

3. How many are English speaking and how many are French speaking immigrants?

#### VETERANS AFFAIRS HOSPITALS

Question No. 26-Mr. Orlikow:

1. What was the number of patients in the Department of Veterans Affairs hospitals in Canada, hospital by hospital, in the last year?

2. What was the number of patients in the Department of Veterans Affairs hospitals in Canada,

hospital by hospital, ten years ago?

3. What was the staff employed, full time and part time, in the Department of Veterans Affairs hospitals in Canada, hospital by hospital, last year as between doctors, nurses, orderlies, etc.?

4. What was the staff employed, full time and

part time, in the Department of Veterans Affairs hospitals in Canada, hospital by hospital, ten years ago, as between doctors, nurses, orderlies, etc.?

Return tabled.

### \*TENDER—REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

#### Question No. 165—Mr. Irvine:

With respect to tender M.S. 05-611-4359 Department of Defence Production, closing date October 21, 1965 (a) who were the various persons, firms companies which were invited to tender (b) what firms, companies or persons actually tendered (c) what was the quoted amount of each tender (d) what firms attached conditions to their tenders and what were the respective conditions of such firms (e) who was the lowest tenderer (f) to whom was the contract awarded, and why (g) why was the contract not awarded to the lowest tenderer?

Return tabled.

[Translation]

# MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

Mr. Albert Béchard (Parliamentary Secretary to Secretary of State): Mr. Speaker, the following notices of motions for the production of papers are acceptable to the government: 4, 6, 11, 23, 24, 25 to 37, 40, 47, 48, 49, 52, 53, 55 to 70 and 73 to 76.

Mr. Speaker: Does the house agree?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Béchard: Mr. Speaker, the following notices of motions are acceptable to the government subject to the usual reservations about the agreement of the provincial authorities concerned: 5, 22, 41, 42, 44, 46 and

Mr. Speaker: Agreed?

[English]

Hon. Marcel Lambert (Edmonton West): Mr. Speaker, in that connection I think there has been some comment by the present Minister of Transport (Mr. Pickersgill), myself and others on this side of the house, that the phrase "the usual reservations" must not be used at any time because the reservations are very indefinite. Since the parliamentary secretary has used the phrase, the reserva-tions by consent should be part of the order. The reservations should always be spelled out in the order.

Mr. McIlraith: It was spelled out.

Mr. Speaker: The reservation was stated by the hon, parliamentary secretary. Is it agreed that the notices listed are agreed to subject to the usual reservations?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: Shall the notices listed by the hon. parliamentary secretary also be adopted subject to the reservations stated?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

[Translation]

Mr. Béchard: Mr. Speaker, Notice concerning Motion No. 2 is acceptable for the government provided it is well understood that the papers to be produced have some connection with letters and correspondence received from the general public.

Mr. Speaker: Does the house agree?