BOARD OF PENSION COMMISSIONERS FOR CANADA.

COMPARATIVE SCALE OF PENSIONS

ANNUAL RATE AWARDED TO WIDOWS OF PRIVATES.

Country.	Widow only.	Widow and 1 Child.	Widow and 2 Children.	Widow and 3 Children.	Add for each Subsequent Child.	
*Canada United Kingdom. New Zealand Australia South Africa. **United States France Italy Germany.	\$ cts. 576 00 253 06 379 60 253 06 253 06 300 00 160 00 121 66 96 35	\$ cts. 756 00 464 01 632 66 379 60 347 96 420 00 220 00 121 66 136 74	\$ cts. 876 00 558 91 759 19 474 50 432 31 510 00 280 00 121 66 177 13	\$ cts. 972 00 634 74 885 72 537 75 506 12 570 00 340 00 131 39 217 52	\$ cts. 96 00 75 92 126 53 63 26 63 26 60 00 9 73 40 39	

<sup>\*</sup> The rates for Canada include a bonus for one year to take effect September 1st, 1919.

\*\* No account has been taken of benefits accrued from voluntary insurance.

A.B. 3-25. 11-9-19.

It will be observed that the figures for the United States in the above statement do not include insurance provision.

Mr. McMASTER: In order to make a fair comparison, can the minister tell us just what would be the annual value of the insurance provision which the United States makes for its soldiers?

Mr. ROWELL: It is difficult to do that. We had that matter before the Pension Committee at the last session of the House, and my hon. friend will find reference to it in the evidence given before that committee. The insurance was paid for by the soldiers themselves, the cost being deducted from their pay; but they got the insurance at actual cost, that is, there was no loading for other purposes.

Mr. McMASTER: I think the minister has failed to grasp the meaning of my ques-

tion. The minister is giving us a comparison of the benefits accruing to widows with and without children as between Canada and the United States, and he stated a moment ago that in addition to the pension benefits given directly by the United States Government, there was an insurance provision. Now, can he tell us what would be the annual value of that insurance provision, because without it we cannot make a correct comparison?

Mr. ROWELL: One cannot make an absolute comparison, and therefore I have stated with respect to the United States that there is an insurance provision, but that all the insurance that the soldiers get in the United States is paid for out of their own income.

The next statement is a comparative scale of pensions awarded to privates totally disabled:

BOARD OF PENSION COMMISSIONERS FOR CANADA.

COMPARATIVE SCALE OF PENSIONS.

ANNUAL RATE AWARDED TO PRIVATES TOTALLY DISABLED BY WAR SERVICES.

Country.	Pensioner only.	Pensioner and Wife.	Pensioner Wife and 1 Child.	Pensioner Wife and 2 Children.	Pensioner Wife and 3 Children	Add for each subsequent Child.	Allowance for Helplessness.
*Canada. United Kingdom New Zealand Australia. South Africa. **United States France. Italy	\$ cts. 720 00 506 13 506 13 379 60 379 60 365 00 480 00 243 33	\$ cts. 900 00 632 66 759 20 569 40 506 13 547 50 480 00 291 99	\$ cts. 1,044 00 727 56 885 73 695 93 601 12 669 16 540 00 318 75	\$ cts. 1,164 00 803 48 1,012 26 790 74 685 36 790 82 600 00 345 51	\$ cts. 1,260 00 879 42 1,138 80 854 01 759 20 912 48 660 00 372 27	\$ cts. 96 00 75 92 126 53 63 26 63 26 Nil. 60 00 26 76	\$ cts. 450 00 253 06 253 06 126 53 442 86

From January 1st, 1919, the pension has been increased from 50% to 100%, according to nature of disablement.

\*The rates for Canada include a bonus for one year to take effect September 1, 1919.
\*\*No account has been taken of benefits accrued from voluntary insurance.

I also have a comparative statement giving the details of the disability scale, and with the consent of the House I think it might be placed upon Hansard in view of the importance of the information to the members of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER: Does the House concede its unanimous consent to this document being incorporated in Hansard?

The House gave unanimous consent.

[Mr. Rowell.]