UN Does.



A.W. Robertson/lw

Twenty-second session SIXTH COMMITTEE Agenda item 88 Statement No.68. November 3, 1967.

QUESTION OF METHODS OF FACT-FINDING

Preliminary Statement to be made by Canadian. Representative on Sixth Committee, Mr. A. W. Roberton

Mr. Chairman,

Canada was a co-sponsor of the original proposal put forward by the Netherlands in 1963 for a study by the Secretary-General of possible methods of fact-finding. In keeping with the general Canadian approach to the development of the peaceful settlement of disputes, an approach conditioned by our experience with United Nations peace-keeping activities in many areas, we have supported in the past and will continue actively to support further examination of the suggestion that some sort of permanent organ, able to accumulate experience in impartial fact-finding, might have a positive role to play in the contribution to the peaceful settlement of disputes between states.

In speaking on this item in general debate on this subject I would like to make clear from the outset that my Delegation is very much in favour of the proposal which was tabled a few days ago as Document A/C.6/L.624 of October 31, 1967. We believe that the position of delegations in respect to the question of methods of fact-finding was made clear during the debate on this item last year and therefore that little can be gained at this stage in our proceedings from what in fact can only be a continuation and repetition of those discussions. That is why we support the idea of the establishment of a working group at this stage. We share the belief of the cosponsors of this proposal that an examination of the documentation and suggestions which have already been made in relation to this item by such a working group will produce useful additional material, in the form of recommendations and suggestions.