time a decisive victory for the men of money. For the winners the batting of Gamble, Redmond and Bethune was very effective, notwithstanding that all the Trinity bowling was good. Of their bowlers, Dudley kept up his end steadily, taking 10 wickets at a cost of 34 runs, and Conolly has 7 for 24; but there was nothing in the bowling to excuse the utter collapse of the University in their first innings. In the second attempt Campbell made a resolute effort to pull the match out of the fire and was well supported by Hague. The former went in when 3 wickets had fallen for no runs and ran himself out when in with the last man, Fiddler, who played very steadily for 6. Their best bowler was Townley (6 wickets for 31 runs). The fielding on both sides was poor, but Brent (substitute for D. Howard who was absent during the first innings) made four good catches.

	1st Ins.	2nd Ins	Total.
May 27, University of Toronto.			
University of Toronto..	36	42	78
Trinity College.....	33	48*	81

Trinity won by 3 wickets. *7 wickets down.

Boulton was top scorer on the winning side, with a well played 19 in the first innings. In the second, Keefer obtained 11 (including a leg hit for 5) and Creelman carried out his bat for a like amount very well and carefully obtained. Townley headed Trinity's account with 13 and 9—he and Campbell (21) putting on 21 for the first wicket in the second innings. The ground had been well watered on the previous day and the rain, which fell almost during the entire time of play, converted the wicket into a veritable mud puddle, so that the batsmen who got runs are entitled to great credit for their performance. Most of the bowlers enjoyed quite a little picnic. In the first innings of Trinity, E. Wright bowled 15 overs for 8 runs and 7 wickets; Lindsey having 3 wickets for 16 runs. Creelman was their best bowler in the last innings, taking 3 wickets in 6 overs for 2 runs. Godfrey for the winners took 9 wickets for 20 runs in 86 balls; D. Howard had 9 wickets for 32 runs. Lindsey and Fiddler made good catches. A curious incident occurred in this match. Through a mistake in summing up the score of the losers' second innings, it was supposed that 47 runs were required to win instead of 46, and two wickets actually fell after the latter number had been obtained; so that the victory was really by 5 wickets instead of 3.

EXCHANGES.

The *Rockford Seminary Magazine*, for May, contains an excellent contribution entitled "Woman's Relief Work in the City," brave words, wisely and kindly written, worthy to be studied; and among others a literary article on "Happy Thoughts" which is worth reading.

In the *Occident* for May 18th are two good things—a poem entitled "The Voyagers," by Charles H. Shinn, having a smack of Mathew Arnold about it, far above the common standard of college journal poetry; and an article on Rosetti also exceedingly good. It is the best number we have ever seen.

The Collegiate is a college paper in the common style, which now gradually disappearing, made up for the most part of short editorials, locals, jests, exchange column, (not a very good one either) and personals. Its literary department, the most important in a publication of this kind, is apparently neglected. It contains two pieces clipped, one of them a bad copy of verses from the *Society Scroll*, and a small original article on Sir Thomas Browne, which is pretty good. The editors should pull themselves together and rouse more interest in things literary.

The best things in the *Spectator* of May 15th are the poem "A Visionary Pearl," by W. J. K., who seems to be a steady and valuable contributor; and the exchange column. The article "Erin's Exiled Sons and Daughters," however, is a decidedly bombastic piece of writing, containing more enthusiasm than truth. We thoroughly agree with the *Spectator's* exchange man on the subject of religious discussion in college papers. There are one or two of our exchanges who should read carefully his remarks and take them to heart. The *Spectator*, we are glad to see, does not indulge much in the standard college joke, his only fault in this respect being too large a use of those idiotic little ejaculations at the beginning of the "Multa non Multam," otherwise "Local Column."

A new light hath broken in upon us through the dark mists of college verbosity which have enveloped us round about for some time. It cometh in the shape of a *University Monthly* from the University of New Brunswick, Fredericton. The moment we set eyes upon it, we saw that we had a fellow sympathy with it. It has evidently a strong literary ambition, "the wide field of literature is open to us," say the editors, whose words are plucky and determined. The *University Monthly* and the College it represents seem to be much in the same box as ourselves: "Something is needed to awaken public interest in the University. As an institution it is only known to a few; our aim shall be that it shall be known and favorably known to many, and that the poorest shall be led to see that the country's interest consists in having it efficiently maintained." In a little editorial respecting exchange matters they say: "We hope by perseverance and by adhering to the principles avowed in making our bow before the public, to carry our journalism to a successful issue, and to obtain at least a respectable standing among the college journals of Canada." We wish them every success, and prophesy, from what true literary spirit we have observed in their columns, that they will obtain all the distinction they desire. Two of the contributed articles we were especially delighted with; the quaint humour of the "Truie and Faithfulle Hystorie of ye Squattykke Trippe" (especially the writer's description of the Anachronism), by C. G. D. R., of whom we have heard; and "Eadgyth Swanneshals," by Erato, the last lines of which, that is of the part published, are the best. Only one thing we object to, and that is some of the witicisms, indeed all of them, in the "De omnibus rebus" column. Such things may create a laugh when they are passed round from tongue to tongue, but in print they look like a very little boy in long trowsers, excessively foolish and out of place. This is the only thing, in our opinion, that the *University Monthly* need correct.