

account of his travels, and in his account he unfortunately made some reference to a "ring" comprised of Messrs. Heddon, Newman, Cook, Jones, and some others, in connection with the Heddon Hive. An editor in Sweden, who it appears had some ill-will toward Young, sent an account of Mr. Young's utterances to the American Bee Journal, and on the strength of this, the editor of the A. B. J. took the matter up, and stated that Mr. Young was not fit to associate with. He (Mr. McKnight) felt personally bound to defend Mr. Young in his absence, and wrote a defence of that gentleman for the A. B. J., Mr. Newman declined to publish it, and he (Mr. McKnight) sought to have the matter put right in Gleanings, and there too it was declined. He now desired to state that he believed that Mr. Young was an honest, honorable, upright, and conscientious man, and that this was proven by the fact that as soon as a knowledge of the charge came to Mr. Young he at once got a friend to write in English just what he had said, explaining that the word "ring," had a very different meaning to him, from what is usually attributed to it in this country, and that he meant no offence whatever.

Just here the representative of the Canadian Bee Journal asked Mr. McKnight to include the C. B. J. in his remarks, as perhaps more had appeared in that Journal with reference to the matter than in any of the others.

Mr. McKnight explained that he had not referred to the C. B. J., because the item had not first appeared in its columns, though he remembered that the explanation by Mr. Young's friend, came through the C. B. J.

Mr. E. R. Root, in defending the course which Gleanings had taken, said that he remembered the circumstances distinctly and that as they had not published anything in Gleanings, against Mr. Young, they did not consider it any of their business, nor was it journalistic etiquette for them to accept a communication which had been refused by another journal, which was the only one specially interested at that time.

Mr. Macpherson said that he had asked Mr. McKnight to include the C. B. J. in his list, so that he might have an opportunity of making an ex-

planation. He then reviewed the circumstances from the time of Mr. Young's visit, explaining the arrangement made by himself with Mr. Young for a translation of all articles which he wrote, having reference to his American and Canadian trip, for reproduction in the C. B. J. He explained that Mr. Young had promised to arrange with a friend to do this and failing this he would forward the translation himself. Though he was written to on two or three occasions no reply was ever received to the letters, and under the circumstances the publisher of the C. B. J. had good reason to accept the statement made by the editor of the Swedish Bee Journal, but when Mr. Hovind sent forward the correct translation of what Mr. Young had written, space was given it cheerfully and gladly though up to the present time Mr. Young has never replied or endeavored in any way to carry out the arrangements made during his Canadian visit.

INTERNATIONAL AMERICAN BEE ASSOCIATION.

COMMENTS ON MR. ROOT'S PAPER.

L. J. Mullock,—Was a bee keeper and a school teacher. He always felt the better on Monday for having a change of occupation by working amongst the bees on Saturday.

S. Corneil,—thought that a hobby horse was a very good thing but the trouble was that it was generally ridden so hard that a person's attention was detracted from his legitimate business.

R. McKnight,—Confirmed Mr. Corneil's statement and related his own experience which was filled with laughable incidents.

R. L. Taylor,—Kept bees before he knew anything about them theoretically. He thought it was dangerous to ride hobby horses. The whole current of his own life had been changed through riding his hobby. He was opposed to ministers going into bee keeping.

Rev. W. F. Clark,—While in favor of hobby horses for the purpose of relaxation from the other pursuits thought that the thing was going a little too far when people rode their hobbies right over other people and instanced the position taken by our friend A. I. Root on the tobacco question.