

WHERE GRASS IS GREEN.

FIELD SPORTS ON SATURDAY AFTERNOON.

The Toronto Win at Baseball and the Toronto at Lacrosse - The Reception at Montreal.

The garden party of the Toronto Lacrosse club, held on the Rosedale grounds Saturday afternoon, was a complete success.

The numerous plants, flags and other decorations, which "Col." Hampton had placed around the grounds and grand stand; the four sets of active tennis players; the various lawn tennis, lawn bowls, and croquet and fashionably dressed ladies, made a very pleasing picture.

The lawn tennis tournament between the grand L. T. C., Toronto L. T. C. and Vantage L. T. C., was won by W. G. McKenna and A. C. Galt of the Toronto L. T. C. after a close contest.

The conclusion of the lawn tennis match Major Hamilton presented the medals won on different events, which very one departed well pleased with their afternoon's enjoyment.

The Toronto's Second Victory. A glorious day with the heat of the sun tempered by a refreshing western breeze.

The opportunity of seeing the first championship baseball match in Toronto this season, attracted between two and three thousand people to the Jarvis street grounds on Saturday afternoon.

He honor the lieutenant-governor occupied a specially reserved box in the centre of the stand along with Mr. and Mrs. E. S. Coy and appeared to take the utmost interest in the game.

Punctually at the advertised time the box of a paper decided who should take the bat first, and the lot falling to the Toronto, Farrell, the Guelph pitcher, was almost instantaneously sending a shooter to Reid, who had the honor of opening out with the club.

But one run was the outcome of the innings. Reid took first on called balls, twice second, went to third on a fly to centre muffed by Bickers and crossed the plate on a passed ball. Guelph's first was more productive.

Maddock got first on balls, Purvis hit to right field, Smith threw to McKinley at third, who failed to get it and Maddock got first. Macklin missed a second run at first and Purvis secured the second run for the Leafs. So far the Toronto had not figured well in the field, while the Guelph work had been clean and sharp.

In the Toronto's second inning, Delaney was returned to the field, stealing in and Sheffer was taken at first. Guelph added one and the score stood three to one.

An enthusiastic graduate from the Royal Military College, who was offered \$200,000, it was taken with the rapidity that characterizes the closing of a field of a hot iron, McKinley and Macklin being equally alert the Guelph catcher fielder retired. The third innings was a wilderness of confusion.

On the fourth inning, the Toronto had two runs, three hits and an error. The Toronto captain imagined he had had about enough of Mr. Farrell in the box, so he put the gentleman back to right field, rather than to his chair, and Dyson took his place.

Quinn, being put on coming home, McKinley shared the same fate, but Macklin came to the rescue with a fly to centre field, which Reid and O'Rourke safely reached the plate. Delaney struck out, Reid hit in favor of the visitors, McKinnley took first on balls, Reid followed suit, O'Rourke first on balls, Reid followed suit, O'Rourke first on balls, Reid followed suit.

Reid hit in favor of the visitors, McKinnley took first on balls, Reid followed suit, O'Rourke first on balls, Reid followed suit, O'Rourke first on balls, Reid followed suit, O'Rourke first on balls, Reid followed suit.

A second that looked ominous. Cookman sent forth a rasper but McKinley with his usual reliability held. Bickers was tempted left field but it was captrally stopped.

Dyson, however, got home and Maddock and Bickers getting on the inning and the match both ended with the Toronto the victors.

As a whole the game can scarcely be pronounced a free-throw. The Toronto fielded loosely at the commencement, but picked up afterwards. On the other hand the Guelph did not crack nearly at the start but subsequently went rather to pieces.

The home man, however, played Farrell, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

McKinley, who was evidently stiff from previous work, judiciously. Hower and Cookman were prominent in the field for the losers and well earned the plaudits they received.

For Toronto, Sheffer played in splendid form throughout, his pitching of the whole nine innings, with the exception possibly of a little to the last, being admirable. But the gratification of the whole match was to see the way McKinley and Macklin, the two Toronto batsmen, came to the fore, the former at third and the latter at first making several excellent hits.

THE QUEEN DELIGHTED

AT MR. GLADSTONE'S ROYALTY

A Possible Split on the Irish Policy - A Possible Split on the Irish Policy.

London, June 14.—The Queen, it is said, is delighted over Mr. Gladstone's downfall. The impression prevails that the Parliaments will not have a much influence with the new government as they expected.

The conservative party is largely controlled by the prevalent opinion of the Irish policy, all of which think there is absolute necessity for the continuance of a coercion policy in Ireland. On this point the Tories and Liberals are in complete agreement.

Sir Stafford Northcote has already been in consultation with the Irish executive committee concerning the state of affairs in Ireland and it is understood nearly every one has stated that no English government could afford to be responsible for the government of Ireland during the coming winter without the continuance of the coercion policy.

Lord Chamberlain is probably the only one of the men likely to be as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet who is as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet who is as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet.

In court circles there is no longer any concealment of rejoicing over the fall of the liberal government. Mr. Gladstone's court office admit, was never in harmony with the queen on any subject, and her majesty and the premier disagreed bitterly on all important matters.

It is said that the queen is so much pleased with the fall of the liberal government, that she has already been in consultation with the Irish executive committee concerning the state of affairs in Ireland and it is understood nearly every one has stated that no English government could afford to be responsible for the government of Ireland during the coming winter without the continuance of the coercion policy.

Lord Chamberlain is probably the only one of the men likely to be as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet who is as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet who is as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet.

In court circles there is no longer any concealment of rejoicing over the fall of the liberal government. Mr. Gladstone's court office admit, was never in harmony with the queen on any subject, and her majesty and the premier disagreed bitterly on all important matters.

It is said that the queen is so much pleased with the fall of the liberal government, that she has already been in consultation with the Irish executive committee concerning the state of affairs in Ireland and it is understood nearly every one has stated that no English government could afford to be responsible for the government of Ireland during the coming winter without the continuance of the coercion policy.

Lord Chamberlain is probably the only one of the men likely to be as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet who is as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet who is as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet.

In court circles there is no longer any concealment of rejoicing over the fall of the liberal government. Mr. Gladstone's court office admit, was never in harmony with the queen on any subject, and her majesty and the premier disagreed bitterly on all important matters.

It is said that the queen is so much pleased with the fall of the liberal government, that she has already been in consultation with the Irish executive committee concerning the state of affairs in Ireland and it is understood nearly every one has stated that no English government could afford to be responsible for the government of Ireland during the coming winter without the continuance of the coercion policy.

Lord Chamberlain is probably the only one of the men likely to be as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet who is as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet who is as likely to support the coercion policy as the English conservative cabinet.

In court circles there is no longer any concealment of rejoicing over the fall of the liberal government. Mr. Gladstone's court office admit, was never in harmony with the queen on any subject, and her majesty and the premier disagreed bitterly on all important matters.

It is said that the queen is so much pleased with the fall of the liberal government, that she has already been in consultation with the Irish executive committee concerning the state of affairs in Ireland and it is understood nearly every one has stated that no English government could afford to be responsible for the government of Ireland during the coming winter without the continuance of the coercion policy.

POPPIUS AND LOUIS RIEL

The Rebel's Alleged Friends in the West

Winnipeg, Man., June 14.—A despatch from Fort Qu'Appelle announces that 300 Indians have left their reserves at the Flg hills and that Col. Scott has despatched a detachment of the 1st regiment to force them back again. It is not believed any serious result will follow beyond the desire to have a third dance for more supplies from the government.

A despatch from Battleford reports that the burring of the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians. The Battleford rifles have been disbanded. The people of Battleford are greatly annoyed at the conduct of the Indians lately released in pillaging grain from a settler's farm on the way back to their reserves.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

St. Paul, June 13.—A correspondent who paid a visit to Riel's old home, five miles from Winnipeg, says that in the house, framed and covered with glass, is a large nail, four inches in length, which Pope Pius IX. sent to Riel, accompanied by a medal, as a recognition of his services in the rebellion of 1869. The pope, so say the family, presented the nail to come out of the cross upon which the Saulteaux and the Turtle Lake by Indians.

COBDENITES AT DINNER.

SPRUCHES THAT WILL MARK A RENAISSANCE.

London, June 13.—The annual dinner of the Cobden club took place to-night. Among the speakers was Sir Charles Dilke, who said although England had great interests in Egypt other European powers were also entitled to a voice in the settlement of the future of the country. Those powers, however, ought to give a guarantee for the neutrality of Egypt.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.

He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England. He urged England to give freedom of trade to the country the same forms of good government as for England.