

THE ONLY
One Cent Morning Paper
IN CANADA
18 KING ST. EAST, TORONTO

FOURTH YEAR

WARRING ON LIQUOR.

THE ONTARIO PROHIBITION CONVENTION IN SESSION.

A Mr. Way's Work in Temperance Hall—

Work from Various Delegates—

The Prohibition convention for Ontario,

in connection with the Dominion alliance,

opened yesterday morning in Temperance

hall. The attendance was not very large,

as a number of delegates had not arrived

later on in the day. The chair was taken

by Rev. John Smith of this city, in the

presence of Mr. S. B. Chisholm of Hamilton,

the president. The proceedings were

opened with singing and prayer.

Mr. W. S. Fox, secretary of the Ontario

branch of the alliance, submitted the report

of the secretaries and treasurer for the past

year. The reading and discussions bearing

on this report took up a considerable part

of the morning session. It drew particular

attention to the organization of auxiliary

branches in each county and municipality

and the necessity for a petition to the govern-

ment for the introduction of scientific test-

ings in public schools, the prevention of

the sale of liquor to minors, shop licenses,

etc. It also gave a statement of the work-

ing and prospects of the Scott act in vari-

ous counties.

The financial condition of the alliance was

not so good as might be wished for. The

literature also was in a backward state and

needed to be replenished. It was also nec-

essary that steps be taken to send delegates

to the Dominion alliance council to be held

at Ottawa on the 25th proximo.

FINANCIAL REPORT.

Receipts.....\$654 64

Disbursements.....632 02

Balance on hand.....\$22 62

The assets and liabilities showed a deficit

of \$757 30. Both of the above reports were

referred to the committee on finance.

The various delegates to the convention

then presented reports of the work in their

respective districts.

Mr. W. B. "Gimmie" gave an interesting

sketch of the progress of the temperance

work generally in Durham county.

Mr. Moore, Moore, James, and J. Warren

each drew a glowing picture of the work

of the Scott act in their respective

districts.

Mr. William Burgess on behalf of the

Toronto branch of the alliance gave an

account of its work in the city.

THE AFTERNOON SESSION.

The chair was taken by the Rev. Mr.

Smith at 2 p.m.

The usual opening exercises were con-

ducted by Rev. Mr. Woodcock, gave a

favorable account of the work in the

county. Hon. Senator Vidal of Lamb-

ton, then addressed the meeting. He

stated that the Scott act was entirely

due to the use of money in the hands of

unprincipled men. The verdict against

the speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the

speaker was that all temperance peo-

ple would heartily support the alliance in

legislation to secure permanently the tem-

perance cause. The speaker also stated

that the Scott act was entirely due to

the use of money in the hands of un-

principled men. The verdict against the