

he has been a good soldier, and in the sense of integrity he would be a good all round real man.

NO CROSS-EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY THE COURT:

- Q. How is he considered by other officers?
A. Very favourably indeed I think.

IN THE OPINION OF THE COURT IT IS UNNECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH RP 63(B).

CHARACTER WITNESS

MRJ (MC I) MR DAVIS, 1 CSM, having been duly sworn, states:

The accused, whom I now recognize, came to 1 CSM as an att in Aug '44, and he worked directly under an offr from COMERY, and I saw him frequently. To my knowledge he was not occupied all of the time, he just brought a party over in the morning and took it back. He was later TCS the unit and was transferred to M's Coy and I had no knowledge of his work. As a soldier in the Mass he abides by the rules of the Mass and I've not had a word of complaint about him.

NO CROSS-EXAMINATION

IN THE OPINION OF THE COURT IT IS UNNECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH RP 63(B).

CHARACTER WITNESS

MRJ MR MURPHY, 1 CSM, having been duly sworn, states:

I've known the accused since approx Mar 44 when he was with my coy from then for 9 months after. I had no cause for complaint with him and he was a very good and useful type of man. We would be glad to have him back.

IN THE OPINION OF THE COURT IT IS UNNECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH RP 63(B).

PLEA IN MITIGATION
(NOTE ATT)

mg