

"The 1611 King James Bible is probably the most confusing document in the world, as it's to be spiritually interpreted and fulfilled. Many revisions of it have been made by blind preachers who couldn't even understand a simple verse like Jude 16, so they destroyed it. But it's the key to understanding the Bible.

Based on what's happening in America today, the spiritual fulfillment of the flood in Noah's time can be seen as the flood of feminine influence in our lives. What, then, is the source of the rain? What are the sores in Isaiah 1:6? Who was Satan in Job 1:6? What about Psalm 1:6, Joel 1:6, Zephaniah 1:6, Haggai 1:6, I Timothy 1:6 and James 1:6?

The Bible was given to confuse us until the truth was revealed. For example: God didn't create a physical heaven and earth in the Bible, but a spiritual Jesus and Christ, or Son of God and Son of Man. These two represent man and woman. In the end, Christ's earthly nature died and He became one with Jesus, our example.

Also, confusion about scripture can be eased upon realizing that many references to "men" in the Bible actually refer to liberated women who wear pants. On the other hand, some "women" are men with long or mod hair, as in Acts 16:16. But the 1611 K.J. Bible is the tool to remove evil from our midst per John 16:11. Mark 16:16 is our guide."

Wayne L. Johnson
16759 Meandro Ct.
San Diego, Calif. 92128
USA

Skip crusty?

I must admit, Timmy and Davy, that I was really honoured that you and the rest of the few, the proud and the arrogant took time out of your busy social schedule to reply to my letter of last week.

I only hope that your letter, as was mine (preppie bashing) was written in jest; not to be taken seriously.

I too can understand the benefits of attaining a high level of status, as you seem to indicate you have. Due to my family and financial background, and being an esteemed member of the most highly regarded fraternity on campus, etc etc, relatively speaking I've reached the top of the proverbial heap, status wise.

Maybe you have too. If you have, I'd be glad to have you come up to my club, to talk, as only those of the upper crust can. Regardless, back to your letter, please don't refer to me, or anyone else as one of the "masses" simply because I don't walk around with a little alligator on the front of my shirt.

Social standing goes a little deeper than that, boys, so kindly reserve the snobbishness for those very few of us that truly deserve it.

Skip Lauren
Business III

Skip dead meat?

To Skip (wasn't that Ralph) Lauren:

In light of your letter of February 14 which appeared in the Gateway, we are unable to accept your application to pledge our fraternity.

Thank you for your interest,

Rush Committee
The MEN of Phi Kappa Pi

Radiation excessive?

On November 14, 1983, the Atomic Energy Control Board released two consultative documents - C-47 and C-78 - recommending increases in the permissible levels of radiation exposure. Substantial evidence exists which shows that the *current* levels are already too high. An AECB-commissioned study shows a quadrupling in the incidence of cancer

among uranium miners working at the current maximum. Dr. Alice Stewart demonstrated a 50 per cent greater chance of childhood cancer in children born to radiation-exposed mothers - etc.

The proposed increases are supported by the ALARA Principle, which argues that exposure levels should be kept as "low as reasonably achievable"; in other words, health risks must be weighed against possible unemployment. I don't think the risks we're talking about should have to be considered 'part of the job' by any employee. Specifically, I don't think current levels should be raised until the AECB has produced a refutation of its earlier report which justifies its current recommendations.

The deadline for public comment is March 14. Apparently, many politicians consider one letter as representative of 200 votes. I hope some of you might write a simple letter of opposition to the proposed increases - at least until further study has been done. The address is:

Hon. Jean Chretien, Minister of Energy
Room 438-N
House of Commons
Ottawa, Ont. K1A 0A6

Sally-Ann Mowat
ARTS III

Quotas unfair?

In light of the recent Students' Union election, a number of key issues were raised. One of which was tuitions and quotas. Though I examined the policy stance of our new Students' Union President I found that he lacked a good grasp of the underlying causes of increased enrollment. Therefore, any solution he may prescribe will necessarily be based on procrustian logic. He would inevitably make the problem of increased enrollment fit his "bed" of antigovernment protest. Sadly enough, our own wise provincial government also displays this line of thought in their approach of the monetary problems of increased enrollment. The recent injection of \$1.15 million which is meant to offset the costs of increased enrollment proves to be a very small band-aid for such a large wound.

If our beloved leaders wish to aid the democratic goal of equality of education they should recognize the cause of the problem: A new industrial revolution. With an obvious realignment of industry taking place, it becomes apparent that the root of the problem is, of course, the computer. Thus, with the U.S. and the Japanese in a race to develop the ultimate computer (artificial intelligence), three spin-off effects which directly affect University enrollment can be derived. They are:

1. A general sophistication of society. Now that man really has only one frontier left to explore, people are realizing that the key to success and survival is through higher levels of education.
2. The second effect of this change is a consequential freeing of the people from work. Consequently we now have more members of one of the last great leisure classes - the student.
3. The last effect is, like it or not, the Ivory tower of Edmonton is also holding pen for 24,000 potential members of the work force. Lacking the obvious employment opportunities of a war, the university picks up that segment of the society who are retraining and now realize the unstable nature of "big bucks" labour.

None of these points were openly discussed or even briefly alluded to by our leaders. But, I'm sure that if the provincial government and Mr. Hodgins contemplates the causes of the problem of increased enrollment and looks to how other countries (like West Germany) has attacked this issue they will be able to suggest a variant that would see no limitation on the level of education achieved due to one's wealth (or lack thereof). Since Floyd likes kids I'm sure he will lobby the government so that he will be able to see all kids having an equal chance at this Elite forming element of our society.

A result of the system:
Cynic at large,
Andrew L. Zebak
Arts II

continued page six

U of A Health Care

The Gateway is undertaking a feature on the effectiveness of the U of A's Health Services. We're interested in our readers' opinions. Anyone who has any comments about their experiences with Health Services is invited to contact either Barbara Eyles or Gilbert Bouchard at the Gateway, room 282 SUB (432-5168). All replies will be held in the strictest of confidence.

NEW COPY SHOP

at
10902 - 84 Avenue
invites everybody
MONDAY - SATURDAY, 9AM - 9 PM
Ph: 433-5234

STUDENT DISCOUNT LOW LOW PRICES

Grand Opening

FOR THE FIRST 500 SERVED
FREE Small Pepsi with purchase of
any Medium Flavoured Popcorn

at the

POPCORN PLACE

HUB Mall March 1/84

FLAVOURS: i.e. Pina Colada, Creme de Menthe, Choc Mint, Amaretto, Jamaican Rum.



THE STUDENTS' UNION



requires a

GATEWAY EDITOR

The Editor-in-Chief shall:

- be responsible for supervising all aspects of the editing and producing of the Gateway
- use his or her discretion as to what material is published in the Gateway
- submit the annual budget for the Gateway to the Administration Board in compliance with By-Law 700
- ensure the smooth operation of the Students' Union newspaper.

Salary: \$800/mo. (under review)

For further information, please contact:
Brent Jang, Editor-in-Chief, Gateway, at 432-5168, or in Rm. 282 SUB.

Deadline for applications extended to:
Thursday, March 1, 1984, 4:00 p.m. to Rm. 282 SUB.

SUMMER EMPLOYMENT CAMP HE-HO-HA

located 50 miles west of Edmonton on
Lake Isle, welcomes applications for:

Counsellors, and
Specialists (Nurses, outtrippers,
lifeguards, waterfront instructors, crafts
instructor, performing arts instructor).

Qualifications: Minimum age 18, proven leadership experience, an interest or experience in working with disabled individuals. Specialists qualified in own areas.

Salary: Room and Board provided.

Specialists — April 27 - August 28

\$30/day, 93 working days

Counsellors — May 2 - August 28

\$25/day, 90 working days

Interested? Apply and sign up for interviews at Canada Employment Centre, 4th Floor, SUB.

Interviews held week of March 12th.