Hon. Mr. GOWAN-I think after hearing the observation of my hon." friend opposite I ought not to persevere in moving the adoption of the report Had I seen the difficulty in time I could have divided the report into two, placing the cases requiring the suspension of the rule in one and the other cases in the other.

Hon. Mr. MILLER moved that the report be concurred in so far as it relates to the two last cases contained therein.

The motion was agreed to.

BILLS INTRODUCED.

Bill (F), An Act respecting the Primitive Methodist Colonization Company, Limited.—(Mr. Vidal.)

Bill (6), An Act to amend the Government Railways Act.—(Mr. Smith.)

Bill (47), An Act to amend the Railway Act.—(Mr. Smith.)

Bill (5), An Act to amend the Act respecting public officers.—(Mr. Smith.)

Bill (20), An Act respecting public stores.—(Mr. Smith.)

Bill (16), An Act respecting the Banff National Park.—(Mr. Smith.)

Bill (17), An Act respecting the representation of the North-West Territories in the Senate of Canada.—(Mr. Smith.)

Bill (21), An Act to amend the Act respecting offences against public morals and public convenience.—(Mr. Vidal.)

LAVELLE DIVORCE CASE.

PETITION READ AND RECEIVED.

Hon. Mr. KAULBACH moved that the petition of William Arthur Lavelle, praying for an Act to dissolve his marriage with Ada Mary Caton, be read and received.

petition was read and received.

NOEL DIVORCE BILL.

SECOND READING.

The order of the day having been called for the second reading of Bill (A), An Act for the relief of Marie Louise Noel, and that the petitioner do attend at the Bar and be heard by counsel.

HON. MR. OGILVIE moved that Henry Daniel Lawrence be called to the Bar of this House to be examined.

The motion was agreed to, and the witness was ordered to attend at the Bar of the Senate.

HON. MR. OGILVIE moved that the following questions be put to the witness:

The motion was agreed to.

Q.—What is your name, place of residence and occupation?

A.-Henry Daniel Lawrence, of the City of Sherbrooke, in the Province of Quebec, Advocate.

Q.—Look at the paper writing now produced and shown to you, marked "A," entitled, " An Act for the Relief of Marie Louise Noel," and at the paper writing now produced and shown to you, marked "B," being an order of the Senate dated the 22nd day of April, both writings being certified by the Clerk of the Senate; did you serve copies of these writings with the certificates thereon of the Clerk of the Senate upon any person, and if so upon whom, and on what day and date, and at what place?

A.—I served true copies of the writings now shown to me, marked "A" and "B" respectively, with the certificates thereon respectively of the Clerk of the Senate, upon the said Robert L. Johnston in Sherbrooke, upon the 28th day of April, 1887, at the City of Sherbrooke,

in the Province of Quebec.

O. State the particular mode in which

you effected such service?

A. I served the said copies of the writings A and B on the said Robt. L. The motion was agreed to, and the Johnson personally, by handing the same to him and leaving the same with