Mr. PUGSLEY. Near Chatham.

Michipicoten, Lake Superior — wharf on Michipicoten river—revote of \$2,600 lapsed, \$5,000.

Mr. SPROULE. What are you going to do there?

Mr. PUGSLEY. This is to construct a public wharf, close faced, strongly built, 207 feet in length, 25 feet in width and 20 feet in height.

Mr. BOYCE. What is the estimated cost?

Mr. PUGSLEY. \$20,000.

Mr. BOYCE. Where do you propose to place this wharf?

Mr. PUGSLEY. We propose placing it along the south side of the ledge at the foot of the road leading to the village. It will be about 500 feet north of Michipicoten.

Mr. BOYCE. Are you going to commence work during the present season?

Mr. PUGSLEY. That is the intention.

Montreal river—improvements above Latchford, \$25,000.

Mr. PUGSLEY. This is to continue the construction of the Latchford dam. We have called for tenders and a contract has been let for this work.

Mr. HUGHES. This is only for the revote; we are not giving an extra \$25,000?

Mr. PUGSLEY. No. This is to raise the water and to improve navigation by means of flooding the rapids. It is really a very important work.

Mr. HUGHES. You are going to put a dam below the rapids?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Yes.

Mr. HUGHES. You will not require to spend a large amount for buying flooded land?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Not a great deal. We have had to pay something in Latchford. We bought from the Ontario Railway Commission there.

Mr. HUGHES. Have you paid the Ontario government for land taken there?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Yes.

Port Burwell—improvements to harbour works—revote of lapsed amount, \$50,000.

Mr. PUGSLEY. This is an important work. Port Burwell is the point to which the car ferries come.

Port Hope—repairs to piers—revote of \$3,300 lapsed, \$5,000.

Mr. HUGHES.

Mr. HUGHES. Who owns that harbour?

Mr. PUGSLEY. The chief engineer tells me that we have taken it over.

Port Stanley-harbour improvements, \$9,000.

Mr. HUGHES. What about the life buoy service there? Was there not trouble about the time of the wreck, or is that under the Department of Public Works?

Mr. PUGSLEY. No.

Mr. HUGHES. Was not the lighthouse out of operation?

Mr. PUGSLEY. That is under the Department of Marine and Fisheries.

Rainy river—improvements at Long Sault rapids—revote of lansed amount, \$50,000.

Mr. PUGSLEY. I explained to the House the other night in regard to this item. I do not know whether we will require to use it or not. We must get the consent of the United States before it can go on.

Mr. BOYCE. There is some international difficulty?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Yes.

Mr. BOYCE. That has been a long standing difficulty?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Yes.

Mr. HUGHES. Has it been taken up by the Waterways Commission?

Mr. PUGSLEY. No, they said they could not deal with it, and asked us to refer it to Washington. The United States government do not feel that they could allow a foreign government to build a work in their territory.

Mr. HUGHES. Is it the rule that no government is allowed to do work in another's territory?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Yes.

Mr. HUGHES. Well I take it for granted that the minister is opposed to damming the St. Lawrence at Cornwall?

Mr. PUGSLEY. That might be different. Suppose parliament gave the authority?

Mr. HUGHES. But the minister would certainly oppose it?

Mr. PUGSLEY. That would depend on what his view might be of the whole question. The minister is not yet prepared to support it.

Mr. HUGHES. I understood the minister was one of the leaders in the movement.