

Packard's type specimens came. We are indebted to Dr. Pearsall² for discriminating these two forms, and he has, quite rightly, I think, placed one in the genus *Euchæa*, and for the other has established the new genus *Nomenia*.

I may remark here that I have never refused, as Dr. Pearsall asserts,³ to accept the genus *Nomenia*. On the contrary, I am satisfied that it is a perfectly valid one, and I have now in my own cabinet a fair series of both males and females of the type species, but I still think, as I stated in a previous paper, that it is not quite clear whether Packard, when describing *12-lineata*, had before him the *Nomenia* or the *Euchæa*, and that, therefore, until the point has been settled by the examination of the actual types, it cannot be certain to which form Packard's specific name should be applied.

Now, Walker⁴ in 1860 described a moth from Nova Scotia as *Tephrosia ? comptaria*.

This species was not identified in American collections until 1895, when Dr. Hulst,⁵ after inspecting the type specimen, pronounced it to be the *Larentia perlineata* of Packard, and in consequence the name *perlineata* has been dropped from our lists.

Quite recently, however, as I stated in my last note to this journal,⁶ Mr. L. B. Prout, who has gone to a great deal of trouble to compare for me American material with Walker's types in the British Museum, has informed me that in this particular determination Hulst was in error, for that *comptaria*, Walker, equals *12-lineata*, Pack., not *perlineata*. Having great confidence in the carefulness and good judgment of my friend, I therefore list our species as follows :

Nomenia 12-lineata? Packard.

Euchæa comptaria, Walker.

= *12-lineata*, Auct. pars.

= *salienta*, Pearsall.

Euchæa perlineata, Packard.

These entries to replace Nos. 3330 and 3331 in Dyar's Catalogue. Dr. Pearsall disputes this arrangement, and writes :

2. CANADIAN ENTOMOLOGIST, XXXVII, 125, April, 1905.

3. CANADIAN ENTOMOLOGIST, XXXVII, 331, September, 1905.

4. Cat. Lep. Het. Brit. Mus., XXI, 406, 1860.

5. Entomological News, VI, 70, March, 1895.

6. CANADIAN ENTOMOLOGIST, XXXVII, 240, July, 1905.