vember 30, 1910

so short a time to

Sea once more we The land of brown wood," Bonne,

ling on the misty

see the Hebrides," the Galloway hills ome of us at least e at last ed at her knees,

myrtle and peat."
Ayr, the scenery
ad full of interest
very heart of the
ad the names of
d Richard Camer-

on the memory. it where the man and his Bible are where the heather

or, we were not on out to Burn'the exclamation; of the scenery, a pebbled share, could thickening the chief interest mortal" Robbie.

mortal" Robbie," of the few, the

were not born and mean con-and a ben," the ands, and forms ands of tourists orld, who have ine of Scotland's

rret, we found clock the same ital of Scotland.

gentlemen wer

o stay, while in

et, the Castle ur's Seat? Bu

ty taken for a the city. The d gray, lacked we had seen in tiles, but was

nore impressive mongate where cottish nobles, motto of some

seen over the hich led from the dwelling ndor, but how

Mary Stuart. ere Mary sat; up which the

night, when the heart of is plate in the where he fell

o the haples

at visited the an who made her independ-is Knox who thrill of pride have Scotch

have Scotch think of the ag his life in Queen ashe How do you miration for ally opposed i me, unless and beauty o truth, an

be granite know; and re endowed

ed Provos

nburgh

o' Cakes

Elevator Exaction Again

Big interests of Winnipeg Grain Exchange attempting to Destroy Small Dealers and Commission Men and thus Kill Competition

By ONE WHO KNOWS

A REWARD EQUAL TO NINE-TENTHS OF THE FINE WILL BE PAID BY THE WINNIPEG GRAIN EXCHANGE TO ANYONE SECURING INFORMATION WHICH WILL LEAD TO CONVICTION OF MEMBERS GUILTY OF INFRACTIONS OF THE COMMISSION BY-LAW

The fact that the council of the Winnipeg Grain Exchange have promulgated such drastic orders, implying the re-introduction of the famous or infamous "Search peg Grain Exchange have prominated such drastic orders, implying the re-introduction of the famous or infamous "Search Letter or 3rd degree Sweat Box System" is highly interesting indeed, particularly in view of disclosures during the recent court proceedings concerning the celebrated "Commission Rule No. 19" and the "\$50 per month salary clause." The commission rule which was suspended over a year, was recently re-established, carrying with it the commendable feature of the one cent per bushel commission charge, or the fee levied by track buyers and commission dealers who handle car lots of grain direct from the producer. When the one cent commission rule was dispensed with over a year ago, by the elevator interests in the Exchange who control the vote, much surprise was expressed by those who failed to understand or appreciate this apparent magnanimity on the part of the elevator people, it being impossible to conceive why matters so trivial as one cent per bushel should concern the elevator magnates of this country. The suspension of the one cent commission charge was, however, a question of grave concern to the track buyers and commission dealers since it represented their entire revenue, and the re-establishment of this feature of the bylaw will no doubt be hailed by the smaller dealers with pleasure.

Throttling Competition alers with pleasure

Throttling Competition

law will no doubt be hailed by the smaller dealers with pleasure.

Throttling Competition

However, ingeniously coupled with the excellent feature of the one cent commission charge in the commission rule, we find certain restrictive features, notoriously coercive and tending to absolutely throttle competition by eliminating from the grain trade dealers engaged in the carlot business, such as track buyers and commission men. This is accomplished by the re-introduction among other things of that highly celebrated and thoroughly court-aired "850 per month salary" clause.

Quoted below is a clause from the commission rule affecting track buyers and commission dealers:

By-law 19, Sec. 6.—"or shall, except as hereinafter permitted, directly or indirectly pay or give, or offer to pay or give any consideration of any kind whatsoever to any person, firm or corporation to influence or procure shipments or consignments of grain to any member of-this association, or to any firm or corporation admitted to trade therein; provided however, that nothing herein contained is to prevent the regular employment by members of this Exchange of legitimate registered travelling men who devote their whole time to the business of their employer, or registered track buyer, who is paid a salary of not less than (fifty dollars (8-50) per month, nor the regular employment by an elevator operator of elevator employees on salary (or part salary and part commission) at any country point.

Penalties provided for infraction of above by-law include fines of from \$500 to \$1000, censure, confiscation of property and expulsion from the Exchange. It will be seen that under the present commission rule track buyers are prohibited from "paying" or giving any consideration of any kind whatsoever to any person, firm or corporation, to purchase carlots, influence shipments or procure consignment of grain," but may employ ravelling mee, entailing an expense of about \$300 per month, and agents at country point,"

The Absurdity of the Case
The carlot business

The Absurdity of the Case
The carlot business is handled at a
gross profit of only one cent per bushel,

and it will readily be seen that the revenue derived thereform would not warrant paying such salaries to country agents as would induce men to devote their whole time to the carlot business, but would permit of paying a percentage per bushel or commission per car on the amount of business done, and such remuneration though small, would prove attractive to an agent otherwise employed and deriving revenue from another source. Experience has proven that country agents on the average do not handle over three cars of grain per month. This means a gross revenue to the employer of \$30, from which, of course, must be deducted all expense incidental to the handling of the grain, leaving a net revenue of about \$20 on the three-car transaction. The iniquity of having to pay such a country agent \$50 per month needs no comment, nor does it require a mathematician to figure out the loss to a track buyer who may have one hundred odd agents in his employ at \$50 per month.

In defending this nefarious \$50 per month scheme, the clevator interests have always contended that the payment of a percentage per bushel or per car, was a

trouble in the old Grain Exchange. Dis-graceful, not only because it is coercive and illegal, but because it has already brought many of the Exchange members before the courts, some within the shad-ows of the prison bars, and at least one or two have sought relief in exile in foreign climes.

two have sought relief in exile in foreign climes. **

During the past fifteen years many nefarious schemes have been launched by the elevator interests in the Grain Exchange for the purpose of climinating the track buyer from country markets. Now the despicable practice, espionage is to be inaugurated, placing a member who is contemptible enough to accept a bribe, on a par with a common whiskey detective.

Gentlemen of the Winnipeg Grain Exchange, you have lauded yourselves before royal personages, retailed your virtues at the Canadian Club, proclaimed yourselves at every opportunity men of dignity, high standing, philantrophy and integrity. Don't you feel ashamed of yourselves?

integrity. yourselves?

An Explanation

An Explanation

Note.—To bring the preceding paragraphs within the comprehension of readers who are new to the West, or who have not followed matters pertaining to the grain trade closely, a few words of explanation are necessary. Some few years ago the Winnipeg Grain and Produce Exchange, a chartered corporation, found themselves in trouble in the courts, the main complaint being on account of the commission rule of that hody. The clause of that rule prohibiting the handling



Cleaning Seed Grain on Maple Ylew Farm, the property of J.W.Blunkins Sintaluta, Nask.

breach of the commission rule, and rendered the dealer employing such practice liable to the penalties provided for "rebating commissions." The utter unreasonableness of such argument is only exceeded by the criminal motive behind it. One cent per bushel is the track buyer's gross and only revenue and his to de with as he may see fit, therefore a commission rule which stipulates that no part or percentage of this one cent shall be paid to country agents, might as well go further, and declare disbursements for advertising, telegraphing, office expense, etc., in connection with a track buyer's business as a "rebate of commission" and contrary to the by-laws, and why stophere; would not household expenditure be regulated by this commission rule, which aims at preserving intact the track buyer's one cent per bushel revenue?

Fat for Elevator Men

Fat for Elevator Men

From the foregoing it will be seen that the commission by-law plainly spells protection for the elevator interests, and 'bone yard' for the track buyer; coercive, because it denies the track buyer the privilege of exercising such a natural impulse and business practice of engaging the best man available as his agent, employing him at his worth, or on terms commensurate with the volume of business transacted; also because through the medium of a "search letter" a member may be forced to disclose to the council of the exchange the amount of remuneration paid his agents, and divulge the cost of obtaining and maintaining his business, thus possessing his business competitors of information which would enable them, under the "commission rule" to regulate and control his business operations; illegal, because the best, and certainly the highest legal authority in the province has declared it so, and amenable to the attorney general's department, the boasts about a "voluntary" association's immunity notwithstanding. As a matter of fact the restrictive measures of this bylaw concerning remuneration to country agents has never yet been enforced, but an attempt to enforce it about four years ago was the cause of nearly all the Fat for Elevator Men

of grain for less than one cent a bushel is a commendable one, in that it gives the commission firms a chance to live in competition with the elevator interests. At first glance the annulment of the rule would appear to be in the interests of the farmers but a thorough knowledge of the methods of the elevator concerns throws a different light on the subject. This commission of one cent per bushel is the only means a commission man has of making a living. The elevator firms are strong enough and have so many sources of income that this one cent commission is of less consequence to them. But without it applying they would soon be able to put commission firms out of business and be free to "farm the farmers" according to the dictates of their own sweet will. Coupled with this one cent per bushel proposition is another which makes it contrary to the laws of the Exchange to retain an agent at least \$50 per month. How this would work against the commission men and in favor of the elevator concerns is shown in the above article. When this rule was brought to the attention of the courts in 1906, the council of the old Exchange was given twenty-four hours to take the rule out of operation, which was speedily done. But the elevator interests, who then, as now, dominated the Exchange, saw a way out of their difficulties. The old Exchange chain that present legislation, does not affect them as a corporation, since they are simply a group of individuals, who voluntarily association was formed. The difference lies in this. The old Exchange claim that present legislation, does not affect them as a corporation, since they are simply a group of individuals, who voluntarily association for the present Exchange comes as much under the present Excha

The commission firms are up against a hard proposition, in that while they

desire the retainment of the one cent rule they do not want the \$50 rule. Both rules are contained in the same by-law, so that to retain one both must be retained. Until a few days ago no effort was made to enforce the \$50 rule, but the council of the Exchange have now evidently made up their minds to follow it out. The "search letter" system referred to in the article is a ruling of the council which makes it compulsory for commission firms to render accounts of their business to the council in order that this body may determine whether or not they (the commission firms) are observing the commission rule. The commission men see no reason for submitting their business transactions to men who are their business competitors, and knowing every detail of the commission firms' business, could soon put them out of business. It is probable that there will be another fight before the elevator interests are again put in their places.—Ed.

HARD LUCK ADAM

(By Grantland Rice)

(By Grantland Rice)

Adam had no Easter hat to buy for Mrs.
Eve;
Adam had no "cost-of-living" troubles
to aggrieve;
Adam had no job to hold by slaving day
or night,
Adding columns—beating carpets—planning stuff to write;
Adam had no hectic cinch—played across
the boards,
Everything that Nature and an idle life
affords—
And yet I wouldn't exchange with him or
trade my bitter cross—
He never saw a triple drive the winning
run across.

Adam had no dress to buy to calm his

spouse's grief—
(All that Adam had to do was go and pull a leaf)—
Back in Father Adam's day—long and

long ago,
There was not an Aldrich nor a crusty
Uncle Joe;
Raving politicians never raved about the

Double-crossing voters in a way to beat the band;
But with it all poor Adam never had a chance to dream
Of old three-hundred hitters and a pen-nant-winning team.

Adam living on Easy street—dreaming in the sun;
Never a policeman there to cut in on his fun;

Never had a cook around threatening to "Bridge" was

"Bridge" was not invented in the days of Mrs. Eve;
Take it up and down the line in those golden days,
Adam had it on us in a hundred different

ways;
And yet with all his blessings what a dull and massive pall—
Poor old Father Adam never saw a game of ball.

OPENS BIG TRACT

OPENS BIG TRACT

Vancouver, B.C., Nov. 23.—In connection with the proposed Mackenzie & Mann trans-provincial line from Stewart, B. C., to Edmonton, north of the G. T. P. route, announced several weeks ago, two features of importance have developed. Application for the charter will be made to the Dominion parliament at the approaching session, while one of the links will be the Alberta and Great Waterways Railway projected from Edmonton to Fort McMurray, if the firm is successful in its negotiations with the Alberta government to acquire the control of that charter. Other interests also seek the same franchise. A bill seeking to transfer the Waterways charter to a new company, it is espected will soon be placed before the Alberta legislature. The proposed trans-provincial railway of Mackenzie & Mann will, it is claimed, develop larger and more fertile agricultural regions than those tributary to the G. T. P. Detailed information in the possession of Mackenzie and Mann shows that there are over 1,000,000 acres of arable land in the Naas Valley alone. Mr. Lewis, the firm's engineer, who has been over the district this summer, states that easy grades can be secured. Survey parties will be placed in the field early next spring, when Mackenzie & Mann will likely place a small steamer on Mesiadin lake in the Naas Valley in connection with their activities in that district.