

INDEX TO PRINCIPAL MATTERS.

PAGE		PAGE
	COSTS :—An application such as the above may be renewed on the production of further and sufficient affidavits. (Do.).....	25
	" :—A foreigner who has given security for costs demand security for costs from a party who had left the country previously before such foreigner was himself a party to the cause. (Do.).....	25
	" :—An Attorney, acting as such in his own cause and on his own behalf, is entitled under a judgment in his favour <i>ave depend</i> , to the same fees as are allowed by the tariff to Attorneys in all ordinary cases. (Gugy, appellant, and Brown, respondent, P. C.).....	141
	" :—Where an action by a foreign plaintiff has been dismissed for want of security of costs, a second action for the same cause of debt will be suspended until the costs of the first action are paid. (Dunlop et al. vs. Jones, S. C.).....	316
	COUNSEL FEES :— <i>Vide</i> ADVOCATE.	
	COURS D'EAU :—Justices of the peace, to whom application is made for the homologation of a <i>proceed verbal</i> respecting a <i>cours d'eau</i> , ought to take the evidence in writing, if there be any opposition to the homologation. (Smith et al. vs. Ogilvie et al., C. C.).....	84
	COURT MARTIAL :— <i>Vide</i> HABEAS CORPUS.	
	COURT OF REVIEW :—Cases not susceptible of Appeal to the Q. B. cannot be reviewed by the S. C. (Taylor vs. Mullens, S. C.).....	48
	" :— <i>Vide</i> APPEAL.	
	CRIMINAL PRACTICE :—In an indictment, for conspiracy, an offence prohibited by penal law must be set forth in the averment of the end or means, and a simple charge of cheating or defrauding, without specification of the thing or things of which the defendants intended to defraud, will not constitute such penal offence. (<i>Regina</i> vs. Roy et al., Q. B.)..	80
	" :— <i>Vide</i> NUISANCE.	
	" :—A writ of error, allowed and signed by an ordinary crown prosecutor for and in the name of the Attorney General is null : such writ requiring the actual allowance of the Attorney General in person. (Dunlop, plaintiff in error, and the Queen, defendant in error, Q. B.).....	271
	DAMAGES :— <i>Vide</i> MONTREAL, Corporation of.	
	" :—A party injured by being run over can only be held responsible for the exercise of such care and prudence as are equal to his capacity. (Beauchamp vs. Gloran, C. C.).....	287
	DECOMPTURE :— <i>Vide</i> HYPOTHEQUE.	
	DISTRIBUTION :—Hypothecary creditors must be collocated merely on the net proceeds arising from the specific properties hypothecated in their favour : (In the matter of Larivière, insolvent, and Whyte, assignee, and McEvilla, contestant, and Angers, appellant, S. C.).....	265
	DOUAIRE COUTUMIER :—In action for, by the children, it is unnecessary to prove that there were not other properties in the succession subject to the dower sufficient in value to meet it ; the <i>onus probandi</i> of the fact being on the party prosecuted. (Lepago et al. vs. Chartier, S. C.).....	29
	" :—The share of the children repudiating does not accrue to the other <i>donaire</i> s, but falls into the succession of the father. (Do.).....	29
	ERROR, Writ of :— <i>Vide</i> CONTEMPT.	
	" :— <i>Vide</i> CRIMINAL PRACTICE.	
	EVIDENCE :— <i>Vide</i> PRACTICE.	
	" :—In an action against the Corporation of Montreal, for damages caused by negligence in the performance of works which they were authorized	