WEEKLY SUN, ST. JOHN, N. B., MARCH, 12, 1898.

THE LEGISLATURE.

Mr. Fowler on Public Accounts Committee Meeting.

Mr. Barnes and His Connection With the Cocagne Bridge Matter.

The Corornors Inquest Bill-Mr. Poirer th New Member for Gloucester Introduced.

FREDERICTON, March 5.-Mr. Howe made his inquiry for particulars as to the diseased animals in the recent stock importation.

Hon. Mr. Labillois answered the several questions as follows:

Q.-How many animals of the recent stook importation made by the government were found to be affected with tuberculosis or otherwise diseased? A .- Ten animals were purchased from one man, and snipped by him without being tested, contrary to bargain and instructions. Seven out of the ten reacted under the tubercu-

Q .- How many of said animals were slaughtered, and upon whose recommendation. A .- Seven, by the owner, Mr. Reburn.

Q .-- What veterinary surgeon or surgeons examined and reported on the diseased animals, and was such report in writing? If not, what was the nature of the report? A .- The tuberculin test was applied by Dr. Henry of Fredericton. He reported that seven out of the ten animals reacted over two degrees, as per his written report on file in the department of agriculture.

Q.-What arrangement was made with Mr. Reburn in connection with the said animals, or any of them, and what amount of money was paid to Mr. Reburn by the government or any department thereof, and for what? A-.It was agreed to send the seven animals back and prepay the freight. The freight charges and the cost of attendant there and back was estimated to cost \$100. After this had been done Mr. Reburn elected to accept the \$100 in lieu of freight charges and slaughter the animals here.

Mr. Howe, in the absence of Mr. Pinder, made the latter's motion with reference to particulars as to the construction of permanent bridges. Hon. Mr. Emmerson said the infor-

mation would be furnished without the formality of an address. Mr. Stockton said he would move

that his notice of motion, asking that the public accounts committee be authorized to call for persons and papers in connection with the banking operations of the government, stand over till Monday. If this were not acceded to, of course he could withdraw the motion and give a new motion. One reason why he desired the motion to stand over was that several hon. members were absent.

Hon. Mr. Emmerson said he thought the matter should be proceeded with now. Assuming that it was to be corporate the bishop and chapter of Christ church cathedral, Fredericton, Mr. Venoit in the chair. Progress was reported with leave to sit again.

Hon. Mr. Richard committed a bill to enable the county council of Westmorland to sell and convey certain lands in the parish of Salisbury held for school purposes, Mr. Barnes in the chair. Agreed to with amendments. Mr. Fowler committed a bill to in-corporate the Provincial Coal Co., Mr. Smith in the chair. Progress was eported.-Adjourned.

FREDERICTON, March 7,-Mr. Fowler rose to a question of privilege. He had noticed in the St. John Sun what purported to be a report of the doings of the public accounts committee on Saturday last. That report was entirely erroneous. Mr. Sumner-I claim the right of

eply. Hon. Mr. Twedele-Do you claim the right of reply whether what he states

is correct or not? Mr. Sumner-No, only if he is out of

line with my ideas. Mr. Fowler said it would appear by the report as though a disposition had been shown by himself as chairman of the public accounts committee to shut out the investigation of the various items of expenditure in the accounts. On the contrary the desire shown by every member of the committee was that all the accounts that came before them should be most thoroughly investigated. When the committee met on Saturday the hon. member for Westmorland (Sumner) had said that he would prefer that the committee should not sit owing to the absence of Mr. Pinder. He (Fowler) had then stated that the meeting on Friday had been adjourned because of the absence of the hon. member for

York, and that as it was the intention to get through with the work of the session as rapidly as possible the committee must bring in its report in ample time so that it might be discussed in the house. The hon, member for Westmorland had then stated that he would withdraw from the committee. He (Fowler) said this was very strange conduct in view of the necessity of getting through with the work as soon as possible, and in view of the fact that the previous day's session had been postponed to suit the convenience of the hon. member for York (Pinder). He had never said, as stated in the Sun, that all the business of the committee must be got through with at that session of the committee. He had not got down from his high horse in any respect that he was aware of, as stated in the report, but on the contrary had told Mr. Summer that a quorom could be had by calling in the provincial secretary. The committee then went on with its business, and various items were taken up and disposed of, and the committee adjourned at its usual

hour. The report in the Sun was really inconsistent with itself, because in one part it insinuated that he had been guilty of gross partisanship and in another part it intimates that he (Fowler) was attacking the chief commissioner for the management of his department.

Mr. Sumner said he would agree to

ore rain drops an the tears hich have fallen from women's eyes. There is a world of truth in the old song which said: "Man must work, and woman must weep." Women must weep not only for the troub-les and ills of those they love, but because of but because of the physical ag-ony and suffering that they them-selves endure in

Nine-tenths

edge, and a resort to the right remedy. When a woman feels weak, sick, nervous, fretful and despondent, and suffers from pains in the back and sides, and burning fretful and despondent, and suffers from pains in the back and sides, and burning and dragging down sensations, she is suf-fering from weakness and disease of the distinctly feminine organism. Dr. Pierce's Favorite Prescription is the best of all medicines for alling women. It acts di-rectly and only on the delicate and import-ant organs that make maternity possible. It makes them strong and well. It allays inflammation, heals ulceration, soothes pain, and tones the nerves. It does away with the usual discomforts of the timorous period, and makes baby's coming easy and almost painless. It is the discovery of Dr. R. V. Pierce, an eminent and skillful spe-cialist, for thirty years chief consulting physician to the Invalids' Hotel and Sur-gical Institute, at Buffalo, N. Y. No honest dealer will urge a substitute for this su-perior medicine.

"I cannot say too much for Dr. Pierce's Pavor-ite Prescription," writes Miss Clara Baird, of Bridgeport, Montgomery Co., Pa. "I cannot praise it too highly for the good it did me. If any one doubts this give them my name and address."

Send for Dr. Pierce's Common Sense Medical Adviser. Paper-bound, 31 one-cent stamps; cloth-bound, 50 cents. Ad-dress Dr. R. V. Pierce, Buffalo, N. Y.

Mr. Barnes said he would crave the indulgence of the house in order to make an explanation upon a matter of privilege.

Mr. Speaker-Are you speaking upon the hon. member's motion? Mr. Barnes-Yes; I would second the motion.

Mr. Barnes said that a few day ago the hon. member for York (Pinder) in the course of a lengthy speech had made a personal attack upon him in reference to the Cocaigne bridge. Perhaps he should not be surprised at this, because he was told that the hen. member was subject to nightmare, and doubtless this was only a recurrence of what took place when the hon. member was speaking through the country. The hon. member had stated that the Cocagn bridge investigation had brought to light the important fact that "an account for \$203 was shown the committee, when the man in whose name the account was made up states that he charged only \$50 and was only paid that amount, and he knows nothing about this, \$203." I would ask the non. member if that was the language

Mr. Pinder-Well, it is pretty close

he used?

of \$294.37. In the same year Warrant No. 47 for \$50-no vo In the same year Warrant No. 52, for \$100-no voucher. In 1879 Warrant No. 49, for \$40-no voucher; and in 1885 Warrant 14, for \$60-no voucher. Making in all \$671.87, which, deducting the amounts over-expended, amount-ing to \$27.91, left a sum of \$643.96 which the hcn. member had received from the government, and for which he had rendered no account. Mr. Pinder-I can explain the whole of it. Mr. Barnes said that for the infor-

mation of the house he would read what the auditor general had to say on this subject: AUDITOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,

FREDERICTON, March 4, 1898. I have carefully examined the returns made by bye-road commissioners filed in this office and as stated in the auditor gen-erel's report since the yeer 1873 and cannot find any account of return in any way ef-fecting the correctness of the sunexed state-ment

JAMES S. BEEK, Auditor General.

Dr. Stockton-What, has the auditor general been giving statements of that | of \$35 in Sunbury county, for which kind to private members?

Mr. Barnes, continuing, said that the hon. member for York (Pinder) certainly took a great deal of interest in his country's welfare. Besides performing large service for the provin-cial administration, from time to time he figured extensively in the dominion accounts for the year 1893, when he worked as day laborer upon a certain public work known as Bear Island bar, at one-fifty per day, while his son enjoyed the position of foreman at \$2 a day. Mr. Barnes wave a list of the persons employed on the work, remarking that they were mostly friends and neighbors of the hon member for York. There was charged for this work in the year named \$946.65, and it was said that the bar was still there. It was suggested that the work must have been done under water, for the men said to be em ployed were scarcely ever seen there

at work. Dr. Alward rose to a point of order. The hon. member (Barnes) should not e allowed to travel back into ancient

history, still less take up the time of the house with matters pertaining to the dominion legislature, and not this house. He would insist upon a ruling. Mr. Speaker-The hon, member is

speaking to a motion to adjourn the house. I cannot possibly know what the hon. member is coming at.

Mr. Pinder-He has got on Bean Island bar and has got stuck there. (Laughter.) Mr. Barnes said he would ask the

ouse whether the hon. member for fork had ever in all the years he repesented the county ever formulated any original scheme or anything that would benefit the province? On the contrary, the hon. member had simply been a fault-finder and had shown great economy with the truth. The hon, member was to be found at all times hiding his light and in his noc turnal rambles looking for matter that was putrid and filthy-dug up from the garbage heap of provincial politics. This was the matter that he threw at the government and their supporers. As long as the hon. gen-

tleman persisted in that course, he (Barnes) could not meet him as one

ry member of the house? fet it would appear that private mem-bers supporting the government were able to get to that official and get certificates with reference to public accounts that were twenty and twenty. five years old, while if any member in opposition went there it would be refused. If the auditor general was under the control of the government to that extent, it was well that the country should know it. There was information with reference to the finances of this country which the opposition wanted all the session and which was only brought down last With reference to the Saturday. charge that the hon. member for York (Pinder) had rendered no vouchers or accounts, the auditor's report for this year or any year showed that dozens

and dozens of amounts had been paid for which no accounts were furnished. It would be well for the government to give their attention to having these accounts brought in, instead of

going back twenty-five years. He (Stockton) had pointed out an item no account had been rendered. Why were these public servants permitted

to get this money without sending in their accounts? If the government were attentive to their duty these accounts would be in, so that the house would have the information. With reference to the expenditure upon Bear Island bar, that was being continually dragged into the debates of this house. Dr. Stockton said he had taken occasion to look into the matter himself over a year ago, and what did he find? He found that the hon. member (Pinder) had expended thousands of dollars for the dominion government, that the work was well and faithfully performed, and that all he and his son received was \$500 or \$600. Surely \$1.50 a day was not an exorbitant figure.

With reference to the charge or insinuation that money had been paid for services never rendered, the house had an instance of that in the case of the Suspension bridge, where it was proved that men were put upon the pay roll who were never there at all, and not a step had since been taken by the government to recover the money improperly paid. It seemed to him that the government should take some action to get back that money which the commissioner in his report said had been improperly paid. This was a little more modern than transactions that had taken place in 1873. The statement the hon, member for Kent had made as to getting a certificate from the auditor general was one of the most extraordinary performances he had ever known on the floors of this house. If members supporting the opposition wanted any information they would have to give two days' notice of motion.

Hon. Mr. Emmerson said he had thought during the past few days that the mantle of the leader of the opposition had fallen on the hon. member for Westmorland (Sumner). He was glad to see that the hon. member from St. John (Stockton) was back in the harness and that he felt he had some responsibility in connection with the affairs of the province, The matter brought up by the hon. member for Kent (Barnes) certainly had arisen son). It arose apparently out of a He charge hurled across the floors of the house by the hon. member for York (Pinder) as against the good name and reputation of the hon. member for Kent, and the latter had done wisely in placing himself right. It was true that the hon, member for York (Pinder) had not made any direct charge, but by insinuation he wished the people of this country to

FIRST PART.

money was not in almost every instance properly expended. He had a right to assume that it was properly expended until it was shown to the contrary. The mere fact that these accounts often came in late was no reason why suspicions of wrong-doing should be entertained. The hon leader of the opposition had claimed that the money improperly expended in the Suspension bridge matter should be collected. His reply to that was that in a matter of \$60 or \$70, where the testimony was conflicting, he did not feel it was wise, as head of the department, to spend hundreds of dollars in the effort to have the money refunded. As to the auditor general, he wished to say that he was an official that he (Emmerson) had in no way sought to control.

Dr. Stockton-Will you say to the auditor general that he can give me information if I go there and ask for

Hon. Mr. Emmerson-My hon. friend need not ask me any such questions. My hon. friend is in this position-that the government have requested the auditor general to do in one instance what they would not have him to do in another. I state most distinctly and unqualifiedly that I never directly or indirectly had any communication with the auditor general with reference to the matter raised by the hon. member for Kent. It is clear, according to the auditor's reports, that the hon. member for York (Pinder) stands in default before the country for upwards of \$600.

Dr. Stockton-Do you say that all parties in the auditor's report opposite whose names there is no account are defaulters?

Hon. Mr. Emmerson-The same remark I made as to the hon. member for York applies to them all. But, as I have already said, we have no right to assume because no account appears that any person has really committed any wrong

Hon. Mr. Tweedle said the hon. leader of the opposition had sought to make a charge against members of the government that they had authorized the auditor general to furnish information that they would not allow to be furnished to others. He (Tweedie) would make the matter clear. Mr. Barnes had come to him with a statement that he said was taken from the reports, and he (Tweedie) had said that he ought to be careful about it and not to make a statement that was not correct. He (Tweedie) had said it was an old account, and had suggested that he see the auditor general, to see whether the accounts had not been filed. He (Tweedie) had never seen the auditor and his advice was given in the interest of the hon, member for York. Dr. Alward-Will you allow me to

look at that statement? Dr. Stockton-I claim that the hon. member cannot read a paper without it becoming the property of the house. Hon. Mr. Emmerson, continuing, said that the significant feature in connection with the matter was that from 1873 to 1885 the hon. member for York was a commissioner entrusted with the expenditure of public moneys, and it appeared that he never at. any subsequent time up to the

accounts or returns called for to be

FIR

an affidavit

The whole



taken up today, some hon, memoers had remained in attendance upon the house at great inconvenience to them-selves. Of course the hon, gentleman could withdraw his motion if he was not prepared to go on, and give a new motion as suggested

Dr. Stockton said he did not wish to withdraw the motion, and rather than do so he would give the government a chance to vote it down. Hon. Mr. White said the hon. leader of the opposition should know that under the rules of the house a motion

of which notice had been given, if not taken up at the time for which it was fixed, must lapse.

Mr. Speaker-Under the rules a motion not taken up in its proper time would be dropped. But the hon. gentleman, with the unanimous consent of the house, could have it placed on the orders of the day for another day. Dr. Stockton said his object in not withdrawing the motion and giving a

new notice was to "ave time. Hon. Mr. Emmerson said that several hon. gentlemen who had remained over expecting the motion to come up would be absent on Monday, and e who were now away would not be here before Wednesday. If the hon. leader of the opposition was unwilling or not ready to go on with the motion now he (Emmerson) would be quite willing not to require him (Stockton) to withdraw the motion, and would agree to have the matter stand over till Tuesday. Dr. Stockton said that would be per-

fectly satisfactory. Mr. Mott presented a report from

the committee on corporations. Mr. Russell committed a bill to amend chap. 102, Con. Stats., settle-ment of the poor, Mr. Legere chairman.

Progress reported with leave. Hon. Mr. White committed a bill 1 further amendment of act 53rd Vic-toria, chap. 4, entitled an act respecting practice and proceedings in the supreme court in equity, Mr. Russell chairman. Agreed to.

Hon. Mr. Tweedle submitted turns of receipts, checks received by the receiver general, and warrants issued from November 1st, 1897, till February 9th, 1898.

Mr. Mott committed a bill further relating to the town of Campbellton, Mr. Fowler chairman. Bill was under consideration at six o'clock, when committee took recess.

After recess the bill amending the act incorporating the town of Campbellton was further considered in committee of the whole.

Hon. Mr. Emmerson said he was apposed to sections 17, 20 and 21, which, while they might be said to be following previous legislation as re-spects St. John, Fredericton and other places, really went further than that legislation and introduced a new ele-ment which might hereafter be cited as a precedent, and he wished to place himself on record against the secttions

After a general discussion, in which Mr. Mott stood by the sections, the house passed sections 20 and 21. Section 17 stood for further consid-

erration. Progress was reported with leave to sit again.

Mr. Black introduced a bill to in-

of the hon. member for Kings. Hon. Mr. Emmerson-I do not think investigation sworn solemnly that he this can be debated. paid that amount of \$207.50 for towage. If he left the remarks of Dr. Stockton-The hon. member for

Westmorland (Sumner) is a member of the public accounts committee and equally entitled to rise to a question' of privilege.

Hon. Mr. Emmerson-If the hon. member is entitled to speak the whole house has a right to speak. The hon. member for Kings simply referred to a matter in the newspapers reflecting upon his conduct.

Mr. Sumner said that when the hon. member for Kings addressed the house it was understood that he (Sumner) should have the right to reply. He might state, however, that he had a resolution to move before he sat down. If the hon. member only meant to contradict the statement that he (Fowler) had come down from the high horse he (Sumner) would admit that that was correct and sit

Mow Mr. Fowler-It is immaterial to me whether the hon. member sits down, stands up, or stands on his head. Laughter).

Mr. Sumner-Well, I think the hon member would require assistance to stand me on my head. (Laughter), It may have been that the matter was stated a little strongly in the paper. He might say that he did ask the chairman to have the committee adjourned because several members were absent, namely Mr. Pinder, Mr. Robinson, Mr. Dibblee and the provincial secretary.

Mr. Fowler-Mr. Dibblee was there and the provincial secretary was there

Mr. Sumner-Well, he was not there at the time the question arose. I think the hon. member will admit that he said a great deal of time had been lost, and that he proposed to rush things through that morning. Mr. Osman-No, he said he Was anxious to get through with the work so that the report could be presented to the house before the close of the

Mr. Sumner said he thought the statement in the Sun was practically correct except so far as it referred to the hon. member coming down from his high horse.

Mr. Fowler-There was no change of procedure whatever. We simply went on with the business and did all we could.

Mr. Sumner-Yes, I admit that I do not think the facts warranted the use of the language in the paper. Then I think you said that the con mittee last year was dissatisfied with the

tills of the chief commissio Mr. Fowler-No, I did not state

Mr. Sumner said he would admit that the present chairman (Fowler) was very fair in regard to matters that came before the committee, and a great improvement over his predeersors. When anything questionable

was brought fairly before his eyes he would generally take exception to it. Hon. Mr. Tweedle-Where is your

notion? Mr. Sumner-I may say that in the turmoil I had forgotten my motion. would move that the house do now been adjourn.

unchallenged he would be branded throughout the length and breadth of the country as a thief and perjurer. He did not wish his name to go down to posterity as a man who had pilfered and fliched from the public treasury. He held in his hand the sworn affidavit of James D. Irving of Buctouche, to whom the payment referred to was made. While some of the hon. members of the opposition, including its leader, had always used him (Barnes) fairly there were others whose only stock in trade was slander and misrepresentation. The leader of the opposition had told him (Barnes) in conversation that he absolved him from all blame in connection with the matter and that he would withdraw the charge, but he was unable to do so. He would place the following sworn statement against the bald and bold slanders made against himself by the hon. member for York: I, James D. Irving of Buctouche. nty of Kent, and province of New Bruns-k, merchant, do solemnly declare as folwick.

wick, merchant, do solemnly declare as fol-lows; That I am the J. D. Irving mentioned and set forth in the bill or account for towage hereto annexed, marked "A." That I am the sole owner of the tugboat "Alice," which said tugboat was engaged during the season of A. D. 1893 in the towage of a number of cedar logs in raits from the raiting boom in the Buctouche River, in the county of Kent, to the Cocagne bridge, on the Cocagne River, in said county, for which towage and the expenses incurred therein 1 charged the sum of \$207.50. That I did on or about the third day of August, A. D. 1894, render to Mr. James Barnes, member of parliament for the said county the annexed bill or account for said towage made out to the department board of

age made out to the department board of his and received from the said Mr. Barnes sum of two hundred and seven dollars fifty cents in payment of said bill or hat I do not consider the above

That I do not consider the above charge for said towage an exorbitant one, but verily believe the same to be a fair, just and rea-sonable charge for the work, labor and ex-pense incurred in the said towage, and I make this solemn declaration conscientious-ly, believing the same to be true and know-ing it to be of the same effect as if made under oath and by virtue of the Canada evi-dence. 1883. JAMES D. IRVING. Declared at Buctouche, in the County of ent, this first day of March, A. D. 1898, be-

me, gned. ROBERT A. IRVING, for taking affidavits to be ad in the Supreme Court.

Mr. Barnes, continuing, said that he had taken some pains to investigate record of the hon. member York (Pinder). He had found in looking through some of the old auditor general's reports some information that was most damaging to the hon. member. At the same time he would not condemn the hon. member without giving him ample opportunity to reply. He found that in 1873 Warrant No. 36 had been issued to the hon. member for \$77.50 and no account rendered. Would the hon, member kindly give us an account of that amount. Mr. Pinder-Give us the rest of it and I will answer you.

Mr. Barnes said that in 1876 Warrant No. 32 had been issued to the hon. gentleman for \$50-no voucher. In the same year Warrant No. 40 had issued for \$100-no voucher. In 1878 Warrant No. 27-no voucher: for

entleman should meet another. Mr. Barnes said that he had at the had devoted some attention to the hon. member's record, because he wished the country to know the source the from which these slanders had emahon. member for York (Pinder) go nated.

> Mr. Pinder said he did not propos to go at length into this subject of the Bear Island bar. He had not got more than half paid for the work he did there. As for the amounts the hon. member had raked up from the old auditor general's reports, that matter had all been throughly threshed out in this house as well as in the country. If the hon. member would visit the various localities where the money was expended, he would find that it was well expended and the work well lone. In his references to the Cocagne bridge the other day, he had not mentioned the hon. member (Barnes) by rame. As to the contract for cedars he had stated what was true, that the contract was given to a defeated candidate just after the close of an elec tion. With regard to the amount paid for towage, he said then, and he would say now, that he believed \$57 was Mr. William Irving's charge for towing

that raft, and that was all he got. Jas. D. Irving was another man altogether. He did not say where the money went, and did not know. What he said was that it cost only \$50 to tow the raft, and it turned out to be \$57. Mr. Barnes might have paid James D, Irving \$207. If he did, he paid more than he had any business to pay. The transaction of getting the se cedars and handling them was a disgrace to the government. The work was done years before it paid for, and only paid for because of some kicking that was done at the session of the legislature. He would ask the hon. member who was the man that owned the tug boat?

Mr. Barnes-James D. Irving. Mr. Pinder-Well, the man that run the boat was William Irving, and he only received \$57, and he said as soon as he found out that \$207 was paid that he was coming up here to about it. More than that, the bill before the committee was not receipted as paid, but left open. What interest had Mr. Barnes, as representative for Kent, that he should be sent over to bring witnesses, and then charge the county for doing so? He would repeat that all the cedar the government got was 850 pieces, and they cost the province about \$1,700 or \$1,800, or some

thing like \$5 a thousand. If any hon, gentleman opposite really wanted to know something about the Bear Island bar, he (Pinder) would be glad to take the time to show it to him. The people in the vicinity were well satisfied with the way the work had been done.

Dr. Stockton said one statement had been made by the hon, member for Kent that astonished him, namely that t was possible for a private member to get to the auditor general and get such a certificate with reference to the public accounts as the hon. member had read to this house. Was it possible that the government directed the auditor general to give information to members supporting the gov-ernment that was denied to members on this side of the house? Wasn't the auditor general there to give informa-

had been guilty of some offence against the public treasury. The hon. member for York had talked about the Bear island bar, but he had not dealt with his default with reference to the public works mentioned in the auditor general's report. He (Emmerson) was not disposed to assume that because no account had been rendered therefor there was anything wrong about it, but when the hon. gentlemen opposite repeatedly made charges of wrong-doing against the government and their supporters because the words "no account" appear-ed opposite many of these items in the auditor general's report, they ought

not to complain if they were asked to take some of the same medicine. Mr. Pinder-I did not explain these matters. I said that the various amounts drawn by me up to 1885 were properly expended, and that the whole matter had been threshed out. At that time I was a councillor, and the money got for me was handed over to others to expend upon the road. The work was satisfactorily done in every particular, and I am willing today to have any of these matters investigated.

Hon. Mr. Emmerson said that the other day the leader of the opposition had strongly condemned the govern ment because of an item of \$35 down in Sunbury.

Dr. Stockton-I mentioned that answer to a statement made by the hon. member for Sunbury, who stated that every dollar there had been expended. I knew the statement was acorrect from information I had. Hon. Mr. Emmerson-No, the hon. member for Sunbury (Morrow) was appealed to with reference to an exditure upon Oromocto bridge, and there was no reference made to these by-road expenditures.

Dr. Stockton-No, the hon. member said all the money was expended in Sunbury.

Hon. Mr. Emmerson said the hon. nember (Stockton) was attempting o dodge the issue because he knew the lame position he was in. The hon. nember certainly attempted to create prejudice in the minds of the people of the country-that there was some wrong-doing on the part of the gov rnment because the words "no ac count" appear opposite the names of certain parties entrusted with expenditures. He (Emmerson) had then explained to the house that men were appointed commissioners by the counils; that they sent in rep to the amount required; that the bond was made out, and when the bond came back to the department signed and executed the cheque was sent forward to the commissioner; and that the government could not follow him up to see that the money was expended. He had no reason to suppose that the

incorporated in the auditor's report. The gentleman who was in that position should be very careful indeed as respects the charges which he made, not merely against hon. members on the floors of the house, but as against those who were filling public positions throughout the country. As far as the Bear island bar was concerned, the hon. leader of the opposition apbelieve that the hon. member for Kent peared to have constituted himself a committee of inquiry to exonerate the non. member for York. If hon. members opposite would persist in their attempts to injure the good names of hon. members on this side of the house they must expect to be met by such evidence as had been produced here by the hon. member for Kent. Dr. Alward said the government had repeatedly challenged the fullest investigation into all matters connected with their administration. Yet, when the quetsions were asked as to certain matters or things, they took umbrage, and instead of meeting the inquiry fairly and furnishing the proper information, they resorted to a species of tu queque argument. What under heavens had the Bear island bar to do with provincial administration when the opposition sought to get in-formation with respect to the finances of the province, they were referred to transactions which had occurred over a quarter of a century ago, or matters with which the province nothing to do. The hon. premier had charged the hon. member for York with being a defaulter. But when challenged on this side of the house to prove how he was a defaulter, the hon. member did not answer question at all.

> Hon. Mr. Emmerson-I said that in the face of the auditor's reports he was a defaulter, but I would not assume without further evidence that any man was a defaulter because he had rendered no account. But I said it was a significant fact that in all these years, from 1873 down to the present time, no accounts had ever en rendered for any expenditure made by the hon. member for York. Dr. Alward said if the hon, member for York was a defaulter there were many defaulters in this country, for the reports were bristling with names of parties who had never sent in their returns. The hon. member for Kent had sought to create an impressi ion of wrong doing on the part of the hon. nember for York in regard to the Bear island bar, but what evidence had he produced? He had simply given the names of parties who had been paid so much a day for their services, and did not attempt to show that there was any wrong doing. Would the hon, member say that these were overcharges? The hon, member had said that the hon, member for York had employed his friends and neighbors. Was not the government doing this every day with respect to their public works? Hon. Mr. White said that rule to which reference bad been made, while it applied to documents read by min-

mbers. The matter was fully dealt with on page 410 of Bourinot. Dr. Stockton said that the case of

isters of the crown, did not apply to

papers or documents read by private