

We have already shown that so far from its being true that Mr. Huntington made no money, HE AND HIS ASSOCIATE MADE OVER THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS IMPROPERLY ON THIS TRANSACTION. But this letter is the answer to the statement that no complaints were made until after he had moved his Pacific scandal resolutions. But as to how his conduct was viewed in England even by his brother directors, may be estimated from the following extract from a letter from one of those directors dated 26th December, 1873:

"A very remarkable and suspicious affair, which, I think, has been now mentioned to you for the first time, and which to me, probably more than to the other directors, except McEwen, shows me the mind of Huntington all through this affair. Each of the Directors put their names down for 1,000 shares, signed their applications, paid their deposits and calls—all except Huntington. He never applied for shares. McEwen did for him, but Huntington never signed the letter of application, he is not legally even a shareholder, and much less a Director. To all the secretary's applications for calls, he has never answered a word nor acknowledged himself a shareholder. We refused to give up the £50,000 fully paid shares to McEwen unless these calls were paid, and the end of it all was that, to save the company, I had to purchase 1,000 shares from McEwen, £8 paid for £1 a share, and now hold nearly 5,000 shares, mostly bought up from people—friends in distress. I AM QUITE CLEAR THAT HUNTINGTON ALL ALONG KNEW THAT IT WAS A GROSS SWINDLE, AND KEPT CLEAR OF THE SHARES. HE HAS FULLY NEVER BEEN A SHAREHOLDER, AND HAS CRUELLY FLEECE US. AND THIS IS THE MAN WHO SETS UP FOR THE SIMON PURE OF CANADA! SOME PEOPLE SAY THE JOHN BRIGHT OF CANADA. YOU

AND I, AND EVERY ONE WHO HAS ANY HONESTY OF PURPOSE, SHOULD BEND ALL OUR ENERGIES TO EXPOSE SUCH A MAN."

We submit these facts for the information of our readers, and especially for the information of Mr. Huntington's personal organ. We ask them what they think of a newspaper, which not only supports, but is said to be partly owned by the hero of these "Scandalous Companies," venturing to apply the term "COMMON CHEAT" to any public man in Canada.

Mr. Huntington was able to float these "scandalous companies" because of his position as a public man in Canada. Sir James Bain, in his defence of his own participation in the matter, at the meeting on the 27th June last, expressly stated that it was the information obtained from a Canadian house in Glasgow that Mr. Huntington was "a member of the Canadian Parliament," that among other things led him to embark in it, and to give the influence of his name. This man, therefore, whom the Liberal party in Canada delight to honor, was guilty not only of a miserable fraud and swindle, but he was guilty of prostituting the position which he held as a public man in this country, the better to enable him to do it. He is swelling it to-day with the importance of his ill-gotten wealth; but if there is a just Heaven above us, the wails and miseries of widows and orphans, tempted to put all they had into their "scandalous companies," in the hope of large returns lyingly promised them, must sooner or later be avenged.