THE SENATE

Thursday, November 29, 1990

[Editor's Note: Continuation of proceedings from Issue No. 115L.]

The sitting of the Senate was resumed at 9 a.m., Friday, December 7, 1990, the Acting Speaker in the Chair.

BUSINESS OF THE SENATE

MOTION TO ADJOURN WITHDRAWN

Hon. Royce Frith (Deputy Leader of the Opposition): Honourable senators, I am not rising in the debate, but, as the senator who moved the motion last night under Rule P-1(a), to withdraw that motion pursuant to its terms.

The Hon. the Acting Speaker: Is leave granted, honourable senators?

Hon. Senators: Agreed. Motion withdrawn.

EXCISE TAX ACT CRIMINAL CODE CUSTOMS ACT CUSTOMS TARIFF EXCISE ACT INCOME TAX ACT STATISTICS ACT TAX COURT OF CANADA ACT

BILL TO AMEND—MOTION FOR THIRD READING—DEBATE RESUMED

Hon. Philippe Deane Gigantès: As I was saying yesterday before I was so rudely interrupted—

Hon. Royce Frith (Deputy Leader of the Opposition): By me!

Senator Gigantès: - by Senator Frith-

Senator Frith: The word "rudely" always seems to go with the word "interrupted". You never hear anyone say, "before I was politely interrupted".

Senator Gigantès: I was part way through reading, for your benefit, this excellent study by Mr. Neil Brooks, who provides one of the many alternatives. I will recapitulate this later and give you a clear, very slow explanation of exactly what alternatives to the GST are available. I will be very slow in both English and French because obviously yesterday I was having some problems communicating with Senator Poitras and Senator Simard.

Hon. Jean-Maurice Simard: Don't you start something this morning!

Senator Gigantès: So there are no doubts in anyone's mind about what I am saying, I will speak very slowly and very carefully.

Senator Frith: I do not want the metaphor taken too seriously, but is there not a saying about "sleeping dogs"?

Senator Gigantès: By the way, I was feeling very invigorated after my speech yesterday and had a marvellous dinner with someone who is arguably the most beautiful girl in the country, my 11-year old niece. She did not think much of what we were doing.

An Hon. Senator: Nor do we!

Senator Gigantès: Yesterday we were talking about improving incentives to save. I think we should go over that particular part of the text of Mr. Brooks, because, as he says—I can wait until you finish talking because I think it is important for you to understand this.

Senator Doody: You have lost your audience!

[Translation]

Senator Gigantès: Senator Poitras, please, pay attention, because you are always complaining that we have no alternatives. We do. Just listen!

[English]

I will repeat three of the paragraphs because they were important, and I had been interrupted yesterday.

One of the primary arguments that the government has advanced for the goods and services tax is that as a tax on consumption instead of income it will lead to a higher level of private savings, and thus a higher level of capital formation (that is, plant end equipment), and ultimately a higher standard of living for all Canadians.

This is Senator Poitras' argument. Again he is missing the answer, and later he is going to tell me that I have not proposed any alternatives. As I was saying:

... as a tax on consumption instead of income it will lead to a higher level of private savings, and thus a higher level of capital formation—

Is that not part of the lies your side is spreading around the country?

--(that is, plant and equipment), and ultimately a higher standard of living for all Canadians.

Hon. Jean-Marie Poitras: Don't tell me you are already tired without even having had a question!

Senator Gigantès: Would you like to ask a question?

Unfortunately, every link in this chain of argument is open to serious question.