

susdit, lequel avis a été reçu par ledit Waxman, bien que celui-ci déclare qu'il n'y a porté aucune attention;

"Considérant que le créancier colloqué a fait enregistrer son privilège susdit en dedans de trente jours de la complétion des travaux susdits, conformément à l'art. 2013 C. civ., et qu'il a poursuivi ledit Waxman pour le montant de \$950, par une action en Cour supérieure de ce district, en date du 13 janvier 1915;

"Considérant que la contestante n'a pas prouvé sa contestation;

"Renvoie ladite contestation avec dépens."

In Review:—

Mr. Justice Archibald, Acting Chief Justice.—This is a contestation of a report of distribution in which Fred Rechman the creditor collocated has been collocated for the sum of \$950, by privilege upon a building belonging to the insolvent, for the cost of installation of certain electrical works for the said building.

The grounds of contestation are, that the creditor collocated had not given any of the notices required by law for the purpose of obtaining the privilege in question; that the said privilege was registered more than thirty days after the date at which the construction was rendered for use; that the privilege was registered after the insolvency of the debtor; that the insolvent was not indebted to the creditor collocated in the amount claimed and that the creditor collocated had not any privilege upon the immovables which are presently distributed.

The creditor collocated answered the contestation by denying the several paragraphs and reiterating that his claim was just and that he had complied with all the formalities necessitated. The enregistration of the privilege in question was against the unsubdivided portion of lot