

to in the letter of "An Elector" in the previous issue, we shall give him our hearty support. We ask all graduates, who have not pledged themselves to Mr. Gould, to vote for Dr. Kelley on the present occasion, that he may be sent to Corporation supported by a large majority of the constituency.

In the Faculty of Medicine Dr. Shepherd is the retiring Fellow, and Dr. Thomas A. Rodger has been nominated to succeed him. If Dr. Shepherd had allowed himself to have been brought forward again, we should certainly have given him our support, and, even as it is, we are sure that a good many votes will be cast for him. He has worked for the graduates' cause in a spirited way, and deserves their best thanks. Dr. Rodger, being in an independent position, will make an excellent representative.

In the Faculty of Law, Mr. J. S. Hall is the retiring Fellow. We believe that he is not very anxious for re-election; but we must say that he has always been a very good man on the Corporation. Mr. R. D. McGibbon is very active, and will take, if elected, a very lively interest in the affairs of the University. It is probable that he will be elected by a very fair majority.

In the Faculty of Applied Science, two candidates have been nominated, Mr. Jeffrey H. Burland and Mr. W. J. Sproule. We fail to see in what Mr. Sproule's qualifications consist. We are afraid he is rather too radical in his opinions, and too immoderately antagonistic to the authorities. While we know that considerable reforms are necessary, we also know that to effect them considerable tact will be necessary. Mr. Burland, though a comparatively young graduate, has always taken a great interest in University affairs, and since leaving college has devoted much time and energy to the advancement of the students' interests. He has, out of his private means, founded a scholarship, and given several prizes to his own Faculty. The Medical profession will not forget his liberal donation of \$500 to the Faculty of Medicine,—another proof of the great interest taken by so young a man in the welfare of his college. To his energy is largely due the establishment and the success of our University Club. Indeed, we believe few graduates have more worthily laboured for their *Alma Mater*, or more fully deserve the support of their fellow-students on such an occasion as this. We therefore advocate Mr. Burland's candidature, believing that through his activity and energy, and the influence he already possesses with the authorities, he will well represent his Faculty on the Corporation, and work vigorously for the true advancement of the University. Every graduate should exercise his right of

voting. It is a positive duty. This is certain, the larger the vote, the greater will Mr. Burland's majority be.

About the system of nominating candidates now in vogue, we shall probably have something to say at a future time. While it is an improvement in many ways on the old plan, it seems to introduce some objectionable features, which ought not to belong to an election in which University men are concerned.

PROF. C. H. McLEOD.

We especially wish to draw our readers' attention to the significant fact that three out of five of the Professors in the Faculty of Applied Science are in favour of Mr. Burland's candidature. Prof. C. H. McLeod, we are sorry to say, is taking a part in the present elections which is not at all becoming in one who holds the position of Professor in the University. As to the reasons which lead him to oppose Mr. Burland so bitterly, we shall not say much now. Mr. McLeod has for some years held a kind of dictatorial sway, which he does not wish to be deprived of. About two years ago, it will be remembered that he tried to drive out Dr. Bell, of Ottawa. Mr. Burland stood up for Dr. Bell on that occasion, and for this reason he has been persecuted ever since with a violence only equalled by the lowness of the electoral dodges at present resorted to. Prof. McLeod has openly declared as Mr. Sproule's greatest qualification the fact that he would vote just as he, Prof. McLeod, wished. This is the man whom the graduates of the University are to have forced on them. We do not know whether everyone is aware of the way in which Prof. McLeod acted in Corporation last year when he shirked the vote on the Admission of Women question, leaving the room just when the vote was going to be taken. We shall have something to say about this Professor at a future time. Anyone who has canvassed as he has done, has not the remotest sense of the dignity belonging to such a position as he holds. Falsehoods have also been spread abroad by some person or persons in order to prejudice the graduates against Mr. Burland because of his connection with the *GAZETTE*.

We lend our support to candidates solely because they are good men. Even Mr. Sproule's friends know that he is no giant. It was also promulgated that a *GAZETTE* clique had been organized, and that we were determined to oppose Dr. Shepherd and put a man of our own choice in, in opposition to the wish of the Medical men. That, also, is untrue. We support Dr. Kelley, because we think him the best man, but Prof. McLeod opposes him because he is determined to support Mr. Burland,