

Trunkroom cleaning loss was not fault of Aitken

Dear Sir:

In response to your last weeks edition, "Student suffers loss in trunkroom cleaning". I think that it is in order that Mr. Soepangkat be corrected on several points.

First off Mr. Soepangkat has left the final blame for the so called "irresponsible trunkroom cleaning" on Dean of Men, Barry Ward. I wish to inform Mr. Soepangkat that if he wishes to hold anybody responsible for his loss it should be me, for I was responsible for the clean up of the trunkroom.

The trunkroom was cleaned up for two reasons, 1) as pointed out in Mr. Soepangkat's letter the room had not been cleaned for over ten years and it was in an absolute total mess 2) it was felt that the trunkroom could be put to better use if it was cleaned up.

As far as putting an advance notice in the Brunswickan that probably would have been very hard to do considering that the trunkroom was cleaned up prior to the first edition of the Brunswickan. As far as a small notice there was one posted for all House members.

Mr. Soepangkat said in his letter "Some of the House members told me that it was perfectly safe to store things in the trunkroom". Surely Mr. Soepangkat cannot believe that such authority rests in the hands of a few house members? Had he perhaps at the time asked the proper authorities, the Dean of Men or the Ancillary Manager, I am quite sure they would have told him otherwise.

Mr. Soepangkat claims that there are no regulations whatsoever available to residents concerning the facility of the trunkroom. I would refer him to page one of the "Information For Residents" booklet which all men in residence receive at the first of the Academic year. He should look under article D) Storage of Trunks and Boxes. Perhaps it should be noted that nowhere in the book is the privilege granted to off campus students to use UNB residence trunkrooms.

I question the validity of Mr. Soepangkat's statement concerning his cartons. "They were all properly stored in carton boxes and sealed with my names and address clearly printed on all sides of the boxes." I assure you that there was not one box opened that had either a name or an address on it. The only boxes opened were those ones that we could not establish ownership to. All articles such as books, scriblers, etc. were placed in our Library and nothing of such value was thrown out.

Finally I wish to tell Mr. Soepangkat that I am very sorry that some of his personal articles were lost but I wish to remind him that he had no authority to place such cartons in the Trunkroom in the first place and that these cartons definitely did not have his names printed on them.

George Somers
Aitken House
Vice President
Treasurer



Student gets 'entrapped' into trafficking

Dear Sir:

A couple of months ago a compatriot of mine Anthony Kennedy wrote a letter of apology to STU (for not being able to attend classes) via the Aquinian. Myself not wanting to see UNB left out nor The Brunswickan lacking in interesting material am writing a similar note, not of apology but out of lack of anything better to do. My partner and I were busted or should I say arrested in the province wide drug "round up" last year in May after attending my second year at UNB in Fredericton.

It seems the valiant efforts of our RCMP narcotics squad were not in vain. Nineteen of us unfortunates were apprehended May 18th in what has to be the most clear cut case of police entrapment known to man. Unfortunately entrapment does not constitute an illegal procedure in Canada as it does in the US.

My friend and I were entrapped into trafficking that vile substance known as marijuana (alias "killer weed") to two long haired,

bearded and pierced eared narcos fresh from a heroin detail in Ottawa. We may have made the mistake of being involved in the pursuit of euphoria via marijuana but we did not (as was proven in court) have anything whatsoever to do with chemical drugs as we felt the law is right in its pursuit of chemical dealers.

But here we sit convicted to 18 months behind the bars (not to mention the permanent burden of a criminal record) and it literally "blows my mind" to think that

while we rot in jail our oppressors enjoy the pleasures of intoxication by means of what is considered the no. 1 drug problem in North America - alcohol. The four million dollar LeDain Commission has proven that not only alcohol but also tobacco are worse drug problems than marijuana.

I should think in our case a fine or a short term incarceration, similar to the penalties for bootlegging would have proven quite sufficient. But I guess that's the way the "antiquated" ball

bounces. I do hope to return to good old UNB next September should the establishment see fit to turn loose this hardened criminal on society again. Till then fellow students have a brew at the social club for me and God save the world from marijuana.

Rehabilitatively yours

Mike Doherty

P.S. "Freedom is the essence of human dignity"

SUB staff; a bunch of nice guys

Dear Students:

Who are the SUB supervisors? A bunch of nice guys trying to do a job. They are there when you need THEM, but where are YOU when they need you?

Where were the rest of you able-bodied students when the cafeteria had to be put back in order after the Nursing Week Pub? At 2 a.m. THREE SUB supervisors were left with the job of moving dozens of heavy tables and hundreds of awkward chairs back into place to give you a place to eat lunch on Thursday.

The supervisors should be getting full support in their disciplinary work by having stiff

penalties imposed on those charged with offences. Rules are created out of a need for them, and infractions should be treated seriously. The supervisors cannot do their job unless talk is supported by action.

Your consideration and support

could prevent a lot of frustration and aching muscles, and help these hard-working guys make your student activities better for you.

Signed,

Concerned

Reader disagrees with Bruns view

Dear Sir:

I would like to offer you my two cents worth about an article which appeared in last week's Brunswickan (Friday, January 25th, 1974), by Alan Annand entitled "Paintings about pollution". The article was supposed to be a

critique on the Kim Ondaatje exhibition which is now at the Beaverbrook Art Gallery.

In painting as in most of the other arts there is no universal aesthetic value system, consequently art criticism, on an amateur level, is often nothing

(Continued to page 2)