THE EVENING STAR.

WASHINGTON, D. C.: SATURDAY, JULY 30, 1892.

BRITISH NEWS.

HOUSE OF LORDS—MARCH 29.

The Bishop of Bath and Wells, on the motion of Earl Cowley, moved a first reading to the Bill to amend the Law of Evidence in Criminal Cases.

The Bishop of Lincoln said he should take place on the second reading of the bill rather than on the present occasion.

The Bishop of Exeter said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Carlisle said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Oxford said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Peterborough said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Salisbury said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Winchester said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Worcester said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of York said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of London said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Durham said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Ely said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Hereford said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Gloucester said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Bristol said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Rochester said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Chichester said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Bangor said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of St. Asaph said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Llandaff said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Newcastle said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Manchester said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Liverpool said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Southwell said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Truro said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Wakefield said that he thought the Bill ought to be read a second time, but that it required considerable amendment in committee.

The Bishop of Wells