

(2) This University should have the final say as to who should constitute its teaching staff.

(3) If the clause stands as at present drafted it means that the hospitals have equal representation on the Committee, as each is represented by its President and two members of its Medical Board; whereas the University is represented by its Principal and four of its Faculty; thus giving each hospital equal representation, and the University the majority.

(4) If the clause is amended to meet the suggestion put forth by Major Haywood, it might well be that the Faculty of Medicine in the University, which normally should be the body to advise me as to the Teaching Staff, might not have any say as to who such Teaching staff should be. Two members chosen from the Teaching Staff might not be of the Faculty of Medicine at all, and therefore, when the filling of an appointment on the Teaching Staff of the University came up for final approval, the University might find itself in a minority.

(5) The Faculty of Medicine is the body which advises as to the policy in the teaching of Medicine to be pursued in the University, and if the members of that Faculty are fit and proper persons to act in that capacity, surely they are the ones who can best select the Teaching Staff. The institution of a plan which would work otherwise seems to me to be unsound.

(6) It also seems wrong to me that the head of a department in Medicine should not have any say as to who the officers of his organisation should be. If Major Haywood's suggestion were adopted, it might be that the head of the Surgical Department and of the Department of Medicine in a hospital would have no say as to who should teach the subjects for which the head of his department is responsible. A principle that would result in such a state of affairs seems to me to be wrong.