

*Government Orders*

all at what time it was received. I have asked the Clerk to ascertain the time of arrival of this document as well as its content. I shall get back to the House later in the day if it turns out that this amendment can be considered acceptable. I think that this settles the matter for the time being.

I see the hon. member for Ottawa Centre but I cannot see what more could be said at this time.

**Mr. Harb:** Madam Speaker, you have covered all the main points. I just wanted to point out that they turned down the fax because, as they put it to my assistant, they do not accept faxes. The original had to be delivered to the office. I wanted to make that clear.

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** As I said a moment ago, we will check anyway and if the amendment sent by fax is admissible we will get back to the House at that time using the normal procedure. I have requested that we look into this matter. I believe that we all agree on that.

---

## GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[*Translation*]

### BUDGET IMPLEMENTATION (FISCAL MEASURES) ACT, 1992

#### MEASURE TO AMEND

The House proceeded to the consideration of Bill C-76, an act to amend certain statutes to implement certain fiscal provisions of the budget tabled in Parliament on February 25, 1992, as reported (with amendment) by a legislative committee.

#### SPEAKER'S RULING

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** Subject to the point of order that we talked about a few minutes ago,

[*English*]

There are 17 motions in amendment on the Notice Paper for the report stage of Bill C-76, an act to amend certain statutes to implement certain fiscal provisions of the budget tabled in Parliament on February 25, 1992.

[*Translation*]

After consultation, I have selected Motions Nos. 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 16 and 17, standing in the name of the hon. member for St. Boniface. They will be grouped for debate and the vote on Motion No. 1 will apply to Motions Nos. 3, 6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 16 and 17.

[*English*]

Motions Nos. 2, 5, 8 and 11 standing in the name of the hon. member for Windsor—St. Clair and Motions Nos. 4, 7, 10 and 13 standing in the name of the hon. member for Thunder Bay—Atikokan will not be selected as they are identical to Motions Nos. 3, 6, 9 and 12.

[*Translation*]

I will now call Motions Nos. 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 16 and 17.

[*English*]

Since the hon. member for St. Boniface is not proceeding with his amendments as he is not with us today, I shall in that case select Motions Nos. 4, 7, 10 and 13 standing in the name of the hon. member for Thunder Bay—Atikokan. They will be grouped for debate and the vote on Motion No. 4 will apply to Motions Nos. 7, 10 and 13.

**Mr. Boudria:** Point of order, Madam Speaker. Because of what the Speaker indicated some moments ago I wonder if the House would give unanimous consent that I move the amendments standing in the name of the hon. member for St. Boniface. In addition to solving the problem, it would make the whole other discussion academic save and except for discussion of the principle of it and it would prevent the Speaker from later having to make an additional ruling which might have to change something.

If I could ask for that consent, with of course agreement of the Chair, we could then proceed with the debate in the manner I have just suggested.

**Madam Deputy Speaker:** Would there be unanimous consent for the motions standing in the name of the hon. member for St. Boniface to be moved by another hon. member?

**Some hon. members:** Agreed.