

be a much better society if the Government provided that guidance. Sure, we all like to get something for nothing; that is human nature. The Government is supposed to give guidance, but what has this Government done? It would be hard to count what the Government has done. There is nothing to count as far as I am concerned.

I have been involved with sports all my life. I know what it means to build an arena, I know what it means to build a community centre. We have to assess the success of these projects after they are built. Did we build too many of them, did we build some of them too big, can the community afford to operate this complex? These are all questions that come up.

With those few remarks at this stage of Bill C-95, I ask of the Hon. Members to think about the measure. Think about the less fortunate people in life, those who look to us for guidance. Do we make things too easy for them? Do we give them too much encouragement to throw their money away because the state will look after them? This is what concerns me. Some people become too dependent on the state. They are asking the state for everything. This takes away the initiative to get out and fend for themselves and their families. These are some of the things we are probably encouraging with these pie-in-the-sky deals. With these few remarks I will yield the floor to someone else, Mr. Speaker.

● (1630)

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): I was about to call the Hon. Member to order. Perhaps as a general admonition I should call the attention of Members to the fact that we are debating Motion No. 1 which concerns the appointment of directors from various endeavours such as art, fitness, medical health and research. I trust that Hon. Members will make an effort to stick with the subject matter of the motion.

Mr. Reid (St. Catharines): I rise on a point of order for clarification of a ruling the Chair made earlier, and to emphasize that each Member may speak for his allotted time of ten minutes on each of the four motions following Motion No. 1 which is before us at this time. I gather that the ruling of the Chair was that Motion No. 1 would be separated from Motion No. 3. This would permit a Member to speak again on Motion No. 3 when it reached its turn. When we conclude any discussion on Motion No. 1 which has to do with appointments to the board of directors, we might then move to Motion No. 2 and continue the debate with respect to that motion.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): So there is a clear understanding of the process, the five motions will be debated in their numerical order. They have now been totally dissociated where they were previously associated. Each individual motion will be debated separately and, if need be, voted on or disposed of separately.

Is the House ready for the question on Motion No. 1?

Some Hon. Members: Question.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Athletic Contests and Events Pools Act

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: On division.

Motion No. 1 (Mr. Regan) agreed to.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): We will now proceed to consideration of Motion No. 2 (Mr. Reid (St. Catharines)).

Mr. Reid (St. Catharines) moved:

Motion No. 2.

That Bill C-95, An Act to provide for government operated pool systems on combinations of athletic contests and events and to amend the Criminal Code and the Income Tax Act, be amended in Clause 14 by striking out lines 11 to 22 at page 6 and substituting the following therefor:

"14.(1) The objects of the Corporation are to organize, operate and manage, alone or jointly with the governments of any one or more provinces with which the Corporation has entered into an agreement or agreements for such purpose, pool systems in accordance with regulations made pursuant to section 16."

He said: Mr. Speaker, Clause 14(1) of the Bill provides for the operation of a sports pool and goes on, in paragraph (b), what we call the Mack truck clause, to allow the Crown corporation to be established under the act to operate any other lawful game which the Governor in Council might direct or decide upon. That is a Mack truck clause.

In my opening remarks I said that this was a poor Bill, and I specifically referred to this provision as an example of an open-ended item for any kind of game which the Crown corporation might wish to become involved in. We know that the Bill contains an amendment to the Criminal Code, so that whatever amendments are required can be made to the Criminal Code to allow any Crown corporation to operate the game referred to.

This Party is on record as being in favour of the 1988 Calgary Winter Olympic Games being properly funded, so that Calgary and Canada will be the hosts of the 1988 games. This could be a milestone in Olympic history.

The offer made by the Provinces was to share and if the Minister was prepared to negotiate further, to assume the commitment made by the Government of Canada to Calgary in the amount of \$200 million so that it would not have to become involved in a lottery at all. The Minister continues to say that he must have passage of this Bill so that he might bargain or argue from strength to meet the demands of the Provinces. Who is the Minister trying to kid? If the Government is interested in sport or in the success of the Calgary games, let the Minister separate the funding of the Calgary games from the sports pool.

There has been enough indication that the sports pool is doomed to failure before it gets off the ground. The Government knows full well that even with passage of this Bill today it will still be a matter of many months or years before Calgary will see revenue from the sports pool to assist it in its promotion of the games.

We as a Party know that support is needed for arts and culture, medical and health research and is badly needed for fitness and amateur sport. How can any of the beneficiaries