

for discussion of management and program questions. See the minutes of the November 4, 1980, meeting of the Miscellaneous Estimates Committee, p. 27:18.

Order Paper Questions

DND—YEARLY INCREASES IN EXPENDITURES

Question No. 1,882—**Mr. McKinnon:**

What has been the increase in real terms in the Department of National Defence's expenditures, including statutory costs, for each fiscal year 1970-71 through 1979-80?

Mrs. Ursula Appoloni (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Defence):

Defence Services Program
Annual Increase in Real Terms Including
Statutory Costs 1970-71 to 1979-80

	Previous Year Expenditures (\$ millions)	+	Inflation (\$ millions)	+	Statutory Change (\$ millions)	+	Real Increase (Decrease) (\$ millions)	=	Actual Expenditure (\$ millions)
1970-71	1,789.5		97.1		51.4		(120.1)		1,817.9
1971-72	1,817.9		109.2		19.4		(51.3)		1,895.2
1972-73	1,895.2		134.3		54.1		(102.0)		1,981.6
1973-74	1,981.6		174.3		(6.2)		82.3		2,232.0
1974-75	2,232.0		303.2		67.3		(95.3)		2,507.2
1975-76	2,507.2		301.2		64.0		101.3		2,973.7
1976-77	2,973.7		304.2		13.3		73.7		3,364.9
1977-78	3,364.9		293.6		12.6		99.9		3,771.0
1978-79	3,771.0		320.8		(76.5)		92.7		4,108.0
1979-80	4,108.0		327.8		(37.9)		(8.6)		4,389.3

PRESENCE OF U.S. AND CANADIAN MILITARY PERSONNEL IN SAULT STE. MARIE DURING W.W. II

Question No. 2,248—**Mr. Blackburn:**

1. During World War II, were United States military personnel present in Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, and, if so (a) for what reasons (b) which regiments and units (c) what was the maximum strength (d) on what date did the first personnel arrive and on what date did the last personnel depart (e) how many were killed or wounded?

2. Were U.S. civilian personnel attached to this military unit and, if so, what were their names?

3. Were Canadian military personnel stationed in Sault Ste. Marie and, if so (a) how many (b) how many were wounded or killed?

4. (a) Was enemy espionage activity suspected in Sault Ste. Marie and, if so, in what form (b) were there arrests and, if so, how many (c) were charges laid and, if so, what were they?

Mr. John Evans (Parliamentary Secretary to Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Finance):

I am informed by the Departments of National Defence and the Solicitor General as follows: 1. Yes.

(a) U.S. authorities were concerned about disruption to the war effort which could result from damage to the canals due to sabotage and air attacks from enemy vessels which might penetrate Hudson Bay. Although the Canadian Chiefs of Staff considered the risk only a slight one, Canadian authorities acceded to the U.S. request to station U.S. troops on Canadian soil.

(b) Initially, elements of the 100th U.S. Coastal Artillery Anti-Aircraft Regiment. Subsequently, the 110th Coastal Artillery Group.

(c) 666 as at early May, 1943.

(d) First arrival—early April, 1942

Last Departure—mid-February, 1944

(e) None.

2. There is no record of U.S. civilian personnel attached to these forces.

3. Yes.

(a) 163.

(b) None.

4. (a) The RCMP have no information concerning possible enemy espionage activity in Sault Ste. Marie during World War II.

(b and c) See reply to part (a).

CFB GAGETOWN PERSONNEL

Question No. 2,287—**Mr. Corbett:**

1. What is the number of non-service personnel employed at CFB Gagetown and how many are retired service personnel?

2. How many non-service positions were filled in the past five years and what is the breakdown between ex-service personnel and others who were hired in each year?

Mrs. Ursula Appoloni (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Defence):

1 and 2. The number of civilian permanent personnel and temporary personnel as at April 1, 1981, was:

Civilian permanent personnel	652
Civilian temporary personnel	184

Total 836

The number of civilian personnel both permanent and temporary filled in the past five years is as follows: