Division

Mr. Martin (Essex East): I cannot say.

The house divided on the motion (Mr. Martin, Essex East), which was agreed to on the following division:

YEAS

Messrs:

Aiken Macdonald Basford Batten Beaulé Béchard Benidickson Berger Blouin Brown Cantelon Cardiff Caron Carter Casselman, Mrs. Chatterton Churchill Clancy Coates Crouse Danforth Deachman Diefenbaker Dinsdale Doucett Enns Ethier Fleming (Okanagan-Revelstoke) Forbes Foy Francis Gelber Girouard Granger Grav Greene Grégoire Groos Gundlock Habel Haidasz Hamilton Harkness Harley

MacEwan MacLean (Queens) McBain McCutcheon McIlraith McLean (Charlotte) McMillan McWilliam Mandziuk Martin (Essex East) Matheson Morison Muir (Cape Breton North and Victoria) Muir (Lisgar) Mullally Munro Nicholson Nielsen Noble Nowlan O'Keefe Ormiston Pascoe Pepin Perron Pickersgill Plourde Prud'homme Richard Robichaud Rochon Rondeau Rouleau Rynard Sharp Slogan Southam Stefanson Stewart Tardif Temple Thomas Tremblay Tucker Turner Wahn Walker Watson (Assiniboia) Watson (Châteauguay-Huntingdon-Laprairie) Whelan Willoughby Winkler-108.

NAYS

Messrs:

Fisher

Herridge

Howard

Barnett Brewin Cameron (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands) Knowles

Martin (Timmins) Douglas Cooper

[Mr. Pascoe.]

Hellver

Kennedy

Korchinski

Lachance

Laing

Leboe

Llovd

Loney

Konantz, Mrs.

Prittie Scott

Peters Webster Winch-16.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I declare the motion carried.

Mr. H. W. Herridge (Kootenay West): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege. I heard someone here say that the hon. member for Kootenay East (Mr. Byrne) was paired with the hon, member for Coast-Capilano (Mr. Davis).

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. It being five o'clock the house will now proceed to the consideration of private members' business as listed on today's order paper, namely public bills, private bills.

COMBINES INVESTIGATION ACT

AMENDMENTS RESPECTING PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS, ETC.

Mr. David Orlikow (Winnipeg North) moved the second reading of Bill No. C-33, to amend the Combines Investigation Act (floor penalties, criminal joint tort-feasors, and moieties).

He said: Mr. Speaker, I am proposing this amendment to the combines investigation section of the Criminal Code because I believe the laws of this country should be fair and equitable and should treat every person in the same way whether he be rich or poor, whether he be in a position to obtain a highpriced, competent lawyer, or whether he is not. If a person is poor and, on going into a supermarket in the city of Ottawa or Toronto, or in my own city of Winnipeg, steals \$5 worth of groceries and is apprehended, if it is his first offence he may get a suspended sentence on conviction. He would certainly be fined. If he committed the same offence a second time he would undoubtedly be sent to jail. If he committed it a third time he would go to prison for a fairly long term.

How different is the treatment we mete out in this country to individuals, most of them quite wealthy and important, who direct some of the largest companies in Canada and who have consistently ignored the laws of Canada prohibiting combines, not once but three or more times. These people have been prosecuted and convicted and have got off scotfree, the companies they direct being given a fine of a few thousand dollars, an amount which is insignificant when com-Orlikow pared with the business done or the profits