

for damages in connection with said subway constructions?

3. To whom were such payments made?

4. Have any such claims been made to the Railway Department that have not been paid? If so, by whom and for what amounts?

5. What has been the cost of the different concrete sidewalks laid on and in connection with the Moncton station yard during the past three years?

6. What was the cost of the concrete sidewalks along Main street, Moncton, N.B., leading to and through said subway?

7. If the concrete and other bridge work in connection with said subway was let by contract, what was the contract price, and what, if any, amount has been paid contractors over and above the contract price of same?

8. Is the Government aware there are said to be other dangerous level street crossings in the city of Moncton? If so, how many, and is it the intention of the Department of Railways to eliminate same in the near future?

9. Were the grades on streets approaching the subway changed from the original plans regarding same? If so, in what particular?

10. Have damages been paid? If so, how much for the properties east of Archibald street, in front of which the street has been lowered from one to ten feet, caused by the construction of said subway?

11. What was the total cost of concrete work in connection with said subway?

Mr. REID:

1. \$132,673.21.

2. Nil.

3. See answer to Number 2.

4. Claims for abutment damages have been presented as follows: George V. Steeves, \$1,000; Edward O. Steeves, \$5,800; M. Francois Hogan and Margaret E. Hogan, \$6,000; James D. LeBlanc, \$12,000; Henry S. Armstrong, amount not named; W. McK. Weldon, amount not named.

5. 1,121.06.

6. \$3,444.38.

7. The contracts for the concrete and other bridge work in connection with subway are at schedule prices for the different items of work.

8. When financial conditions improve it is the intention of the department to complete the elimination of level crossings in the city of Moncton, in accordance with the arrangement made between the management and the city.

9. The rates of grades not changed.

10. No.

11. \$30,411.18.

ENLISTMENTS IN CANADIAN EXPEDITIONARY FORCES.

Mr. EDWARDS:

1. How many officers, N.C.O.'s and men have enlisted in Canada for overseas service during January, February and March of 1916?

2. How many enlisted from each province during the first three months of 1916?

3. How many enlisting from each province were, respectively, British subjects born outside of Canada, French Canadians, German Canadians, and English Canadians (that is, Canadians of English, Scotch, Irish or Welsh descent)?

How many of these enlisting from each province during the first three months of 1916 were, respectively, Methodists, Presbyterians, Roman Catholics, Anglicans and other denominations?

Sir ROBERT BORDEN:

1 and 2. Enlistments of N.C.O.'s and men for overseas service with the Canadian Expeditionary Force for January, February and March, 1916:

No. Military Dist.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Total.
1. Ontario.. . . .	4,071	2,561	2,622	9,254
2. Ontario.. . . .	7,669	7,785	6,981	22,435
3. Ontario.. . . .	3,035	3,409	3,595	10,039
4. Quebec.. . . .	1,537	1,414	2,284	5,235
5. Quebec.. . . .	423	495	542	1,460
6. Maritime Provinces.. . . .	1,915	1,757	3,639	7,311
10. Manitoba and Saskatchewan.	5,375	4,831	8,736	18,942
11. British Columbia and Yukon.	3,384	1,821	1,547	6,752
13. Alberta.. . . .	1,803	2,585	2,759	7,147
Totals.. . . .	28,212	26,658	32,705	88,575

3 and 4. This information is not yet available.

NEW BRUNSWICK AND PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND RAILWAY.

Mr. COPP:

1. How much has been paid on account of the purchase price of the New Brunswick and Prince Edward Island railway?

2. How much of said purchase price remains unpaid?

3. How much interest has been paid on the purchase price of said railway, and to what date is said interest paid?

Mr. REID:

1. Amount paid on account of the purchase price of the New Brunswick and Prince Edward Island railway, \$180,000.

2. Amount of purchase price unpaid, \$90,000.

3. Interest paid on purchase price, \$10,186.30; interest paid to August 1, 1915.

PELAGIC SEALING COMMISSION.

Mr. BARNARD:

1. What was the total cost of the commission to inquire into the question of compensation to sealers, for damages caused by reason of the compulsory cessation of Pelagic Sealing in the North Pacific Ocean?

2. How much, if any, of the said cost has been charged against the funds received from the United States, under the Sealing Treaty between the United States, Japan, Russia and Canada.

Mr. HAZEN:

1. \$35,546.93.

2. None.