

number of objections to the title, and, in the absence of the deeds, he could not deal with them satisfactorily. The motion should be dealt with as an application under Rule 603 to quiet the title as to these particular matters, and there should be a reference to the Referee at Toronto to deal with the particular matters as he would under the Quieting Titles Act. R. G. Agnew, for the vendor. No one appeared for the purchaser.

---

WHITE v. BELLEPERCHE—MIDDLETON, J., IN CHAMBERS—MAY 2

*Appeal—Leave to Appeal from Order of Judge in Chambers—Rule 507—Parties—Joinder of Plaintiffs and Causes of Action—Rule 66.*]—Motion by the defendants, under Rule 507, for leave to appeal to a Divisional Court from the order of BRITTON, J., in Chambers, ante 165. Leave was refused by MIDDLETON, J., who said that, although, in his opinion, the case was near the line, he had no reason to doubt the correctness of the order, and a further appeal should not be allowed. Motion dismissed with costs to the plaintiffs in any event. A. W. Langmuir, for the defendants. H. S. White, for the plaintiffs.

---

RE WILLIAMSON, PENNELL v. McCUTCHEON—MIDDLETON, J., IN CHAMBERS—MAY 4.

*Distribution of Estates—Administration—Confirmation of Report—Payment out of Money in Court.*]—Motion by the plaintiff in an administration proceeding for an order confirming the report of a Special Referee and for payment out of the money in Court in accordance with the report. See ante 154. MIDDLETON, J., in a short memorandum, said that the order for distribution should be made as asked. He could add nothing useful to what he said on the former motion (ante 154). W. Proudfoot, K.C., for the plaintiff. W. B. Raymond, for the Union Bank of Canada. S. H. Bradford, K.C., for the widow. A. M. Denovan, for the executors. F. W. Harcourt, K.C., for the infants. H. S. White, for the Sheriff of Peel. A. C. Heighington, for the Bank of Ottawa.