

anesthetics (general or local.)" Now what general or local anesthetics have they got that are not drugs? Again a little further on in this same pamphlet occurs this statement: "Osteopathy declares that internal medication by means of drugs, chemicals or other poisons (not foods or natural remedial agents of known and harmless value) is experimental and empirical, jeopardizing both the health and life of the user, and therefore the use of the same is discouraged and discarded (as a system or method of therapeutics)." This reads to me as though they claimed the right to use drugs at their discretion.

I have here the 1912-13 announcement of the Littlejohn College and Hospital. In looking through the list of text-books recommended I find many which we all recognize as authorities: "Gray on Anatomy," "Foster on Physiology," "Osler on Medicine," "Rose and Carloss on Surgery," and so on through the whole list. Anyone looking at this part of the announcement alone would not be able to distinguish it from that of a regular, commonplace medical school. The only two subjects which are different are "Osteopathic Technique," in connection with which no text-books are named, and "Principles of Osteopathy," in connection with which three text-books are named.

If osteopathy be a new, independent and complete system in itself, and is not the practice of medicine as generally understood, why do their students require to study all these books? The whole thing looks very much to me like a game of "Heads I win, Tails you lose."

Is chiropractic the same thing as osteopathy? The adherents of each claim it is not. Chiropractic appears to me to be a concentrated and simplified form of whatever is original about osteopathy and, therefore, with certain types of mind, that much more potent as sometimes simplicity is the soul of humbug as it is said to be of wit.

Just at present our Legislature is dealing with a very difficult problem, viz., "The Workmen's Compensation Act."

If osteopathic displacements and chiropractic subluxations are to be recognized by law, I venture to say that the administration of this Act will be made much more difficult and less satisfactory to all concerned.

To facilitate discussion, and without attempting to commit this meeting to their adoption, I would from my examination of this subject suggest the following conclusions:—

(1) The time has come when it would be to the best interest of all concerned for the homeopathic practitioners in this Pro-