

Chapman was not against Young Men's Christian Associations, and if against anything, it was against the pulling down of churches instead of building them up.

Rev. J. F. Stevenson was strongly favourable to the revival movement. They required a little to watch against the spirit of over-sensation in these matters. He earnestly prayed for a revival in his own heart, and the hearts of those around him. But he thought they ought to be very careful they are not made subservient to a "revivalism" that is against the ordinances of the Christian Church. He confessed he had no faith in that. He believed the ministry to be part of Christ's Church, and he believed when brethren came up and began to pull to pieces the Christian Church, they were not *so far* doing the Lord's work. He did not believe that Mr. Chapman was opposed to revivals of religion, and he thought that men should not be so thin-skinned about this matter as, when themselves saying hard things against the church and ministers, to object to a little healthy criticism.

The Rev. Wm. Williams explained that the offensive heading to the *Globe's* report formed no portion of the synopsis of the address sent from Montreal.

The Rev. A. Duff said he had not heard the address, but he agreed with every word in it.

The Rev. Alex. McGregor, N. S., would like to know what was the meaning of "showers of blessings."

The Rev. Chas. Chapman, in reply, had nothing to say in defence of his address. What he had written was done deliberately, and he could abide by it. If the brethren would read it through, they would see that there was a principle running through the whole of the paper; that was, that the church would do its own work, and not allow it to be taken out of its hands by persons outside. In regard to the *Globe*, he was not afraid that it would hurt his reputation, and hoped to live longer than the paragraph in question.

The discussion then closed.

On motion of the Rev. H. Sanders, seconded by Mr. G. S. Fenwick, it was *Resolved*, That in reference to the attempts which are being made to introduce religious teaching into our common schools, it is desirable to place on record a clear expression of the deep conviction which has been so long conscientiously felt and maintained by our churches, that the civil power, whether represented by the national Government or municipal authority, has, and ought to have, nothing to do with religious teaching, and that we feel it to be our duty to oppose whatever would contravene this deeply cherished conviction.

Mr. H. T. Miller, of the S. B. Seaman's Mission, and late minister of the Seaman's Orphanage in Liverpool, England, was introduced to the Union. The Society he represented had cared for 450 orphan children of sailors, and about 70 orphans and widows had been sent out. He was here to assume the oversight of an auxiliary home for them.

The Union then adjourned to Monday.

THE LORD'S DAY, JUNE 11TH.

The Rev. H. Sanders, of Hamilton, preached in Zion Church in the morning, and the Rev. J. G. Sanderson, of Ottawa, in the evening, but having been ourselves similarly engaged on both occasions, we can give no *resumé* of their discourses. After the evening service, the ordinance of the Lord's Supper was observed, members of the four Congregational Churches, and others, uniting with the Union in the observance. The Rev. Mr. Chapman presided, and the Rev. Messrs. A. Duff, Smith, and others assisting. Many of the city pulpits, of various denominations, were filled by members of the Union during the day.

MONDAY, JUNE 12TH.

After the devotional hour, and routine business, the Rev. Alexander McGregor, delegate from the Congregational Union of N. S. and N. B., was introduced amid