

tigation be found to be due to natural causes. That is to say, the more careful the preliminary investigation made by the coroner, and the more conscientious and expert he shows himself in the performance of his duties, the fewer the inquests he finds it necessary to hold, and the less his income if he be paid so much per inquest. While if it so happens that his enquiries lead him to suspect the frequent occurrence of any one form of crime at any period, as, for example, child murder, and so to hold an increased number of inquests upon certain classes of cases, immediately he lays himself open to the charge of seeking to increase his income. This ought not to be. In the cities, at least, the coroners ought to receive fixed salaries.

3. *The Jury.*—Under the present system, the jury in Montreal, with rare exceptions, certainly cannot be said to be a capable and representative assembly of citizens. Men engaged actively in any form of business prefer to employ any subterfuge rather than sit for what may be many hours in a morbid atmosphere, for no return whatsoever save discomfort and loss of time. The consequence is that too often the jury is composed of a heterogeneous collection of incapables, gathered from the highways and byeways and bar-rooms of the neighbourhood. The verdict of such incapables is, time after time, at variance with the evidence presented.

4. *Viewing the Body.*—The custom of viewing the body is as old as the coroner system. It arose at a time when violent deaths were as many as doctors were few, and when population was everywhere so sparse that the jury had an important part to play in determining by external examination that death was due to violence, and, again, in identifying the corpse. Now-a-days, in a large town, it is highly probable that not one of the jury will have known the deceased, and the determination of the cause of death may more safely be left to medical men. In any case, it is easy to obtain identification by means other than the irruption of a strange, unseemly rabble into the house of mourning. The general feeling throughout the community is that this intrusion into the circle of bereaved relatives in the very depth of their trouble, permitted by the present law, ought