The result of the author's critical study of the specimens thus accumulated, has been the rectification of the synonymy of a large number of species, the characterization of one new genus, *Eulepiste*, and the description of twenty-four new species, some of which had been confounded with others previously described.

Clemens' genus Anaphora is required to give place to the earlier generic name Aerolophus of Poey, to which Walker's genera Zaruma, Ubara and Naharra, and Hübner's Pinaris are all nearly allied forms. One new species, A. simulatus, Wlsm., is described. Lord Walsingham acknowledges special indebtedness to Mr. Chambers' "Index" and descriptive work, but in his study of the species before him thinks it advisable to discard one or two of the latter's genera, such as Harpalyce, Dryope, etc., and identifies a considerable number of his species with those of Dr. Clemens and various European authors whose descriptions antedated his.

Concerning some of these eliminations, I am permitted to quote from a letter recently received from Mr. Chambers. With the prefatory remark that "Entomologists, like doctors," will differ "sometimes, and while in the main concurring in his Lordship's opinions, as expressed in the pamphlet under consideration, I feel bound to dissent from a few of his conclusions—a few only—though his more recent familiarity with the species entitles his opinion to much greater weight than mine," Mr. Chambers refers to the species as follows:—

"If my Tinea cometaricella is Clemens' Endarcia simulatricella, I see no raison de etre for the genus Endarcia. I find no greater differences between the neuration of cometaricella and other undoubted Tinea, than there are among the latter themselves.

"Lord Walsingham remarks that the specimen of Depressaria applana, Fabr., in Prof. Fernald's collection, is labelled Gelechia Clemensella, Cham., salicifungiella, Cl., but I don't think it was so labelled by me. Lord W. is mistaken in saying that it is omitted in my 'Index' (though the reference is incorrectly to vol. 9, Can. Ent., instead of to vol. 8), and I say that it appears in some respects to resemble salicifungiella.

"I still think my genus *Harpalyce* distinct from *Cryptolechia*, and in a letter to Lord Walsingham I have stated some of my reasons for this opinion."

Mr. Chambers is not prepared to agree with Lord Walsingham that his Gelechia prunifoliella is identical with his Phaetusa plutella, nor that