

"Clergy Reserves Fund, now known as the *Upper Canada* Municipalities Fund, "is ordered to be apportioned equally among the several Municipalities; that "the Clergy now petitioning stated last year that they had petitioned the Execu- "tive Government, and "had been unable to get a decision;" that they have been "very liberally commuted with, while very many Clergymen of different Deno- "minations have received nothing; and that it is inexpedient to open the Clergy "Reserves Question, or to divert Funds, which have been solemnly apportioned "to Municipal uses, to the personal benefit of some of the most wealthy Clergy- "men of the Church of *England*, who are already richly endowed from the "Public Funds" inserted instead thereof;

And the Question being put on the Amendment; the House divided: and the names being called for, they were taken down, as follow:—

YEAS.

Messieurs

<i>Aikins,</i>	<i>De Witt,</i>	<i>Gould,</i>	<i>Mattice,</i>
<i>Biggar,</i>	<i>Dorion, Jean B. E.</i>	<i>Hartman,</i>	<i>Mongenais,</i>
<i>Bourassa,</i>	<i>Dorion, Antoine A.</i>	<i>Huot,</i>	<i>Munro,</i>
<i>Brown,</i>	<i>Dosluler,</i>	<i>Jackson,</i>	<i>Niles,</i>
<i>Chuffers,</i>	<i>Fergusson,</i>	<i>Labelle,</i>	<i>Papin,</i>
<i>Christie,</i>	<i>Ferrie,</i>	<i>McDonald, Roderick</i>	<i>Sanborn,</i>
<i>Church,</i>	<i>Fiât,</i>	<i>Mackenzie,</i>	<i>Scatcherd,</i>
<i>Cook,</i>	<i>Foley,</i>	<i>Marchildon,</i>	<i>Valois,</i>
<i>Darche,</i>	<i>Frazer,</i>	<i>Masson,</i>	37. Wright.
<i>Delong,</i>			

NAYS.

Messieurs

<i>Baby,</i>	<i>Dionne,</i>	<i>Lumsden,</i>	<i>Ross, Sol. Gen.</i>
<i>Bellingham,</i>	<i>Dufresne,</i>	<i>McCann,</i>	<i>Shaw,</i>
<i>Bowes,</i>	<i>Fellowes,</i>	<i>Maltheson,</i>	<i>Simard,</i>
<i>Brodeur,</i>	<i>Felton,</i>	<i>Meagher,</i>	<i>Smith, Sol. Gen.</i>
<i>Cameron,</i>	<i>Ferres,</i>	<i>Morrison, Joseph C.</i>	<i>Somerville,</i>
<i>Cartier, Atty. Gen.</i>	<i>Fortier, Octave C.</i>	<i>Morrison, Angus</i>	<i>Southwick,</i>
<i>Cusault,</i>	<i>Fournier,</i>	<i>O'Farrell,</i>	<i>Spence,</i>
<i>Cauchon,</i>	<i>Gamble,</i>	<i>Patrick,</i>	<i>Stevenson,</i>
<i>Cayley,</i>	<i>Gill,</i>	<i>Polette,</i>	<i>Terrill,</i>
<i>Chapais,</i>	<i>Guévremont,</i>	<i>Pouliot,</i>	<i>Thibaudeau,</i>
<i>Conger,</i>	<i>Laberge,</i>	<i>Rhodes,</i>	<i>Turcotte,</i>
<i>Crysler,</i>	<i>Laporte,</i>	<i>Robinson,</i>	<i>Whitney,</i>
<i>Daly,</i>	<i>Lemieux,</i>	<i>Roblin,</i>	53. Yeilding.
<i>Desaulniers,</i>			

So it passed in the Negative.

Then the main Question being put; the House divided: and the names being called for, they were taken down, as follow:—

YEAS.

Messieurs

<i>Baby,</i>	<i>Dionne,</i>	<i>McDonald, Roderick</i>	<i>Ross, Sol. Gen.</i>
<i>Bellingham,</i>	<i>Dufresne,</i>	<i>McCann,</i>	<i>Shaw,</i>
<i>Bowes,</i>	<i>Fellowes,</i>	<i>Mattice,</i>	<i>Simard,</i>
<i>Brodeur,</i>	<i>Felton,</i>	<i>Meagher,</i>	<i>Smith, Sol. Gen.</i>
<i>Cameron,</i>	<i>Ferres,</i>	<i>Morrison, Joseph C.</i>	<i>Somerville,</i>
<i>Cartier, Atty. Gen.</i>	<i>Foley,</i>	<i>O'Farrell,</i>	<i>Southwick,</i>
<i>Cusault,</i>	<i>Fortier, Octave C.</i>	<i>Patrick,</i>	<i>Spence,</i>
<i>Cauchon,</i>	<i>Fournier,</i>	<i>Polette,</i>	<i>Stevenson,</i>
<i>Cayley,</i>	<i>Gamble,</i>	<i>Pouliot,</i>	<i>Terrill,</i>
<i>Chapais,</i>	<i>Gill,</i>	<i>Price,</i>	<i>Thibaudeau,</i>
<i>Church,</i>	<i>Guévremont,</i>	<i>Rhodes,</i>	<i>Turcotte,</i>