

SUPPLY—TIMBER LIMITS—DISPOSAL OF
IN THE NORTHWEST—*Con.**McCarthy, M. S.*—*Con.*

Investigation—2347-48. Lumber situation in Alberta—2348. Limits in Alberta in hands of a ring—2348. Interests of settler neglected—2349. Details concerning sales of limits in Northern Alberta—2349-53. Country demands an explanation—2354. Sales during Mackenzie administration—2354-57. Increase in value of limits—2357-58. Investigation should be granted—2359.

Miller, H. H. (South Grey)—2359.

Speakers should confine themselves to facts—2360. Deprecates attack upon Mr. Burrows—2360-61. No one had been discriminated against in matter of acquiring limits—2362. Advertising of sales—2362. Sales by Conservative and Liberal governments—2363-64. Prices obtained—2364. Cancellation of licenses—2365. Hon. John Haggart's connection with limits—2365-67. Timber limits given away by Conservative government—2367-69. Minister of Interior had sought advice of Conservative members in regard to matters affecting their continuance—2371. Mr. Fraser's position—2372.

Morin, J. B. (Dorchester)—2690.

Government pretends to sell, but in reality only giving away timber to party friends—2690. Not getting best price for property—2691. Should net \$150 or \$160 per acre—2691.

Oliver, Hon. Frank (Minister of the Interior)—2486.

Policy of late government and of present administration had been to secure development of a lumbering industry—2486. Policy of eastern Canada did not suit west, so change was made by late government—2486-87. Regulations of 1881—2487-88. Timber licenses granted in 1881-82—2489-93. Principle that governed from 1881-87—2495. Figures of lumber cut in various years; development of the industry—2495-96. Mr. Perley's limit—2497. Mills must follow investment in timber limits—2497. Cedar Lake limit—2498. Mining speculation in British Columbia—2499. Fictitious valuation of timber limits—2501. Forest reserves held by government—2502. Fallacious arguments of opposition—2503. Timber berths awarded since 1896—2505. All sold to the highest bidder in open competition—2505. No favoritism—2507. Limits disposed of with a view to being operated—2509-10. Operators who have not lived up to terms of license notified that licenses will be cancelled—2509. The right to examine original files—2511-12. Cedar Lake limit—2513. Mr. Fraser's connection with tenders—2514-15. Government's policy of forest preservation—2515-16. How the late government granted limits to their friends—2518-19. Not policy, but administration of late government was wrong—2520. Mr. Ward's limit—2520. Inconsistency of late government—2521-22.

SUPPLY—TIMBER LIMITS—DISPOSAL OF
IN THE NORTHWEST—*Con.**Roche, W. J.* (Marquette)—2614.

Criticises administration of Interior Department under Hon. Clifford Sifton—2614. Mr. Turriff's appointment as Dominion Land Commissioner—2615. Disposition of forest wealth a matter of prime importance—2615. Condemns alienation of limits to speculators—2616. Lumber a necessary of life in the west 2616. Investigation of lumber combine by Conservative government—2616. Lumber placed on free tariff list—2616-17. Still complaint of a lumber combine—2617. Investigation held; no action taken by government—2617. The tu quoque argument—2617-18. How timber limits were disposed of during Conservative regime—2618. Regulation of 1872—2618-19. Mackenzie government repeals Act, and did away with competition—2619-20. Limits granted by Mackenzie government—2620-24. Prices paid for limits—2624-26. Rush for limits along line of Grand Trunk Pacific—2627. Disposition of limits north-west of Edmonton—2628. Limits secured by E. J. Elliott and T. A. Burrows—2628-31. Berths held by Alex. Smith—2632-33. Alienation of lands during Mackenzie administration—2634-35. Advertising sales of limits; Edwards and Charlton quoted—2635-38. Change of regulations in 1903—2639-40. Speculative value of limits—2640. How settlers suffer—2640. Cedar Lake limit—2641-42. Cancellation of limits during Conservative regime—2642-43. Why Conservative government did not get settlers into west more rapidly; hostile criticism of opposition—2643. Methods of tendering for timber berths—2643-44. Friends of government favoured—2645-46. Suspicion as to manipulation of tenders—2646. A thorough investigation necessary—2647.

Schaffner, F. L. (Souris)—2655.

Position of Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta in relation to their timber limits different from other provinces—2655-56. Importance of lumber industry to the west—2656. The lumber combine investigation—2656. Production of original documents—2657. Sufficient brought before House to warrant inquiry—2658. Insufficient advertising of proposed sales of limits—2658-59. Friends of government favoured—2659. Tenders a farce—2660. Investigation may reveal who Imperial Pulp Company are—2660. Farmers have to pay what speculators choose to charge for lumber—2661. Not only timber limits, but land and other interests have gone to enrich speculators—2661. Government should grant investigation and endeavour to remove suspicion—2662.

Sifton, Hon. Clifford (Brandon)—2595.

Policy of Conservative government in dealing with timber limits—2595. Why timber limits were given up by lessees—2596. Policy of Conservative govern-