

Mr. ROSS (*Souris*): I mean a man who is called away, who is called on service.

Mr. DONNELLY: I am in favour of giving such privileges to a man who has been called; but one who has been given exemption, or postponement, is not in the same class; he is not in the army at all.

Mr. FAIR: I do not think it is either necessary or desirable that a member should set up a straw man and knock him down just for the purpose of getting his name on the record. I made my statement quite clear, that I referred to men called up for active service.

Mr. DONNELLY: You said, men called.

Mr. ROSS (*Souris*): I am the one who started this; let me explain it. I said I would include the men who have responded but who are putting in their crops. I know several cases where men are not putting in their crops this year because they do not know what position they will find themselves in at the end of their six months' postponement. I think it is only fair that there should be some statement of policy on the part of the government in respect to this matter. If the position were known and these men got their crop in it would be of real advantage to the country at large. I want to say too that like Dr. Donnelly I am opposed to giving any privileges to anyone who is not called for service actually as a soldier. I think Mr. Fair intends that also.

The CHAIRMAN: Of course, we should have the motion written out. I do not know what the clerk has down here. May we have the clerk read the motion as he has it.

The clerk reads motion as follows:—

Mr. Fair, seconded by Mr. Ross, moved that the committee recommend that the same contract be carried on for the crop year of 1942 and 1943 and be embodied in this committee's report to the house.

Mr. FAIR: I think there has been something omitted. It should read "the same policy with reference to soldiers."

Mr. DOUGLAS (*Weyburn*): Men in the armed forces.

Mr. FAIR: In the services. I do not know just what the wording should be. What I mean is that the same policy as is in effect at the present time with respect to soldiers should be continued.

The CHAIRMAN: Would the committee like to have a further word from Mr. McIvor on the actual working out of the system as it is being applied now?

Mr. WHITMAN: Yes.

The WITNESS: Mr. Chairman, a man that is merely called and is not in the army or in the armed forces does not get this privilege at the present time. He must give, in his declaration, his regiment, his regimental number and so on. There is no difference made between a drafted or enlisted man.

Mr. DOUGLAS (*Weyburn*): Mr. Chairman, may I point out to Mr. McIvor that there is a difference depending on when he enlisted. There is a case about which I wrote to the minister, and which he promised to give favourable consideration to. A man enlisted in the air force prior to the cut-off date; I do not know the exact date at the moment, but it was prior to the cut-off date. He rented his farm. He has 1,000 bushels of wheat as his share; the quota only allows him to deliver 360. The balance of the wheat is lying outside. If he were at home, he would be in a position to feed it, dispose of it in some way or another, or store it. His wife is not in that position. It seems to me that one of the things this committee ought to recommend, if it is going to recommend anything, is that all of the men who are in the armed services and who find themselves in this position where they have surplus grain, ought to be