


Sir Arthur Currie, 2.

from the literary subjects, Physics and Chemistry from the scientific subjects and Mathematics, as I have said perhaps the most central and pivotal of all departments. The committee selected in this way would be made up of the following, namely, Professor Stanley or Dr. Woodhead, Dr. Macmillan, Dr. Sullivan, Dr. Johnson, Dr. Eve or Dr. Keys, and I so suggest. I may also venture to point out that it might be proper to tell the members of the committee, when they are appointed, upon what basis the selection has been made.

Finally, I think that the terms of reference of the committee, that is the subjects upon which they are principally expected to report, should be stated as definitely as possible. The following questions, for example, arise:- Should the committee limit itself to telling the story of the growth and work of the Faculty during the last ten years or more with suggestive comments and criticism? Should the committee report carefully on the present and future needs of the Faculty? Should the committee discuss such questions as fees, salaries and ways and means generally? Should the Committee venture to report on individual departments? I really do not see, at present, just what we are going to get out of this inquiry which may not be already read from the records, as all our difficulties at present are personal and financial and it will be very difficult for any committee of the Faculty to report intimately on these matters.

Yours very truly,


Dean