

## S.O. 43

**Mr. Speaker:** The presentation of such a motion for discussion, pursuant to Standing Order 43, can be done only with the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

\* \* \*

## EXPORT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

CRITERIA APPLIED IN PROVIDING FINANCIAL SUPPORT—  
MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. John Rodriguez (Nickel Belt):** Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 to ask for the consent of the House to deal with a matter of urgent and pressing necessity.

**Mr. Cossitt:** No.

**Mr. Rodriguez:** Mr. Speaker, I wish the hon. member for Leeds (Mr. Cossitt) would stop saying "No". We know where his sympathies lie.

In view of the fact that the Export Development Corporation presently uses three criteria when deciding whether to extend financial support to foreign ventures, they being the credit worthiness of the applicant, the viability of the project, and the likelihood that the project would go ahead anyway, and in view of the fact that the use of these three criteria as the only criteria has encouraged the export of jobs from Canada at a time when we need jobs most, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Brant (Mr. Blackburn):

● (1412)

That this House instruct the minister responsible for the Export Development Corporation to instruct the corporation to add to its criteria two other points, namely, that the corporation will take into account the adverse effect of such a loan or guarantee on the competitive position of our industry and on employment in Canada.

**Mr. Speaker:** The presentation of such a motion for debate would require the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

\* \* \*

## LABOUR CONDITIONS

COLLECTIVE BARGAINING IN LABOUR DISPUTE IN  
NEWFOUNDLAND—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. John C. Crosbie (St. John's West):** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity under the provisions of Standing Order 43.

In view of the fact that radio CJYQ 930 Limited of St. John's, Newfoundland, a company beneficially owned by the

[Mr. Cossitt.]

Secretary of State for External Affairs (Mr. Jamieson), and the operator of five AM radio stations in Newfoundland, was ordered by the Labour Relations Board of Canada on January 6, 1978, to bargain collectively with Local 94 of the National Association of Broadcast Employees and Technicians, and in view of the fact that CJYQ 930 Limited refuses to meet with the union representing their employees, despite the order of the Labour Relations Board, and persists in ignoring the collective bargaining agreement still in effect by paying less money than the contract calls for and by insisting on the working of longer hours, I move, seconded by the hon. member for St. John's East (Mr. McGrath):

That the Minister of Labour grant immediately to Local 94 of the National Association of Broadcast Employees and Technicians the permission they have requested under section 148 of the Labour Code so that they can file a complaint with the Canada Labour Relations Board, and that the minister advise the company and his colleague that it is the policy of the government that the provisions of the labour relations law be adhered to and that genuine collective bargaining should be entered into between employer and employees without constant attempts to avoid bargaining by the employer.

**Mr. Speaker:** Presentation of such a motion for discussion at this time can be done only with the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

## ORAL QUESTION PERIOD

[English]

## FINANCE

QUEBEC PROPOSALS RESPECTING SALES TAX

**Mr. Sinclair Stevens (York-Simcoe):** Mr. Speaker, I would like to put my question to the Minister of Finance. In view of the statement made in the National Assembly this morning by the Quebec minister of finance, that in his view the federal government had two options open to it with respect to the matters covered by clause 30 of Bill C-56, would the Minister of Finance indicate if it is the government's intention to accept option No. 2, to use the terminology of the Quebec minister of finance, option No. 2 being making provision for an aggregate payment of \$100 to Quebec City for all taxpayers in Quebec?

**Hon. Jean Chrétien (Minister of Finance):** Mr. Speaker, I have received a letter from Mr. Parizeau in which he accepts the formula which I put into the bill in clause 30(b), so of course we can proceed with it. About the second proposal he has made, I am still looking into it, and if it is better I will be ready to accept it. But at this moment I would prefer clause 30B. I will be in a position to table the ways and means motion later today.

**Mr. Stevens:** My next question to the Minister of Finance is for greater clarification. When the minister refers to option A,