

I think we must do something to offset the action of the United States. In conclusion, may I make two points. First, this government cannot use Mr. Nixon as a "fall guy" for problems in the Canadian economy. They were here before and they are still here. If the surcharge were removed tomorrow, there would still be economic difficulties in Canada. Using Mr. Nixon as a "fall guy" will not wash.

Mr. Woolliams: That is right.

Mr. Danforth: Second, it is time that this government stopped reacting with "patchwork" policies. It is high time that this government embarked on policies to help the Canadian people rather than to maintain this group in office. When such policies are implemented we shall have a better Canada.

Employment Support Bill

Mr. Ian Wahn (St. Paul's): Mr. Speaker, this has been an interesting debate and I am grateful to have the opportunity of participating in it this evening, even if only for a few seconds. Perhaps I might take this occasion to answer the remarks made by the last speaker, the hon. member for Kent-Essex (Mr. Danforth), who suggested that only ministers and parliamentary secretaries on this side of the House are interested in this matter. My participation will indicate that all backbenchers on this side of the House are interested. I hope to continue my remarks tomorrow, Mr. Speaker.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): Order, please. It being six o'clock this House stands adjourned until tomorrow at two o'clock p.m.

At six o'clock the House adjourned, without question put, pursuant to standing order.
